Filed 10 November 30 P3:59 Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza District Clerk Travis District D-1-GV-10-000454

CAUSE NO. D-1-GV-10-000454

IN THE DISTRICT COURT STATE OF TEXAS, Plaintiff, v. RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC, RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and WENDY ROGERS, Defendants, AND KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, & FREE, P.C., 126th JUDICIAL DISTRICT Relief Defendant.

THIRD AT LICATION FOR FEES BY THE RECEIVER, AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL

Eduardo S. Espinosa, cour*-appointed temporary receiver for Retirement Value, LLC, files his second application for fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel, K&L Gates, LLP.

BACKGROUND

On May 5, 2010, the State of Texas filed this suit against Retirement Value, Richard H. Gray and Bruce Contins alleging that they had perpetrated a \$60 million securities fraud and seeking temporary and permanent injunctive relief, restitution for the losses suffered by investors, renalties and other monetary relief. On that date, the Court entered an order appointing Eduardo S. Espinosa of K&L Gates, LLP as Receiver. The State subsequently unended its suit to include Wendy Rogers as a defendant, and to seek a receivership over two additional entities affiliated with the defendants.

On May 28, 2010, the Court entered a temporary injunction (the "Agreed TI") against Gray and Retirement Value and continued the Receiver's appointment. In the Agreed TI, the Court directed and authorized the Receiver to, among other things:

- (a) to take possession of and preserve all books, records and assets of Retirement Value and all assets derived from the operations of Retirement Value;
- (b) to attempt to effect fair restitution to the investor-victims: a will
- (c) to assist the State in its investigation of the Defendants and others.

The temporary injunction and the receivership will remain in place until the end of the trial of this matter, which is currently scheduled for February 28, 20 (1.

To assist the Receiver in the performance of these duties, the Agreed TI authorizes the Receiver to "to hire employees, contractors, consultants, accountants, attorneys, legal assistants, or other assistants under terms to be determined by the Receiver, whose services in the sole discretion of the Receiver, are necessary for an efficient and accurate administration of the receivership estate." Agreed TI at 14, ¶8. To that end, the Receiver has retained the law firm of K&L Gates, LLP to represent him in connection with this case, to assist him in the performance of his duties and to prosecute or Cefend litigation on behalf of Retirement Value. ¹

By its Order Regarding the First Application For Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel entered on Courbor 26, 2010 (the "Fees Order"), the Court modified the basis by which the Receiver and his counsel are paid. Pursuant to the Fees Order, the Receiver shall charge an hourly rate carbon per hour and the Receiver's counsel shall discount its rates by 9.5% from its then current hourly rates in effect as of the time services are rendered beginning on August 1, 2010. Fees Order at 2. Moreover, the Receiver and his counsel are to submit to the Court and to

The Receiver has also retained other professionals to assist him. An application to pay the fees of those professionals is the subject of a separate application.

the parties of record their request for payment of fees. If no party of record files an objection to the request for payment within ten days from the filing of the request for payment, the the Receiver shall pay the amount of the request from funds he holds in the receivership entire. Any objection must state with specificity the particular items of the Receiver's request to which the objection is made. If an objection is made, the Receiver shall not pay the contested portion of the invoice until a hearing has been held on the objection, but the Receiver may pay the portions of the request to which no objection is made. *Id*.

APPLICATION FOR PROFESSIONAL FELS

By this Application, the Receiver seeks approval from the Court to pay from the assets of the Receivership the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel, K&L Gates, LLP, for the time period from October 1, 2010 through October 3, 2010. The Receiver has incurred fees of \$30,400 during the period covered by this Application. He has retained the legal services of K&L Gates, which has incurred fees of \$82,971.99 for the period covered by this Application. Affidavit of Eduardo S. Espinosa ("I spinosa Affid.") at ¶11 (attached as Exhibit 1). While substantial, these fees were both reasonable and necessary.

The fees charged by the Receiver and his counsel represent a discount of 20.41% from the usual and customary feer charged by K&L Gates. As a general matter, the charge for the services provided by K&L Gates is determined by multiplying the total number of hours worked by each timekeerer by that timekeeper's billing rate. *Id.* at ¶6. In this case, the billing rate of each timekee, or was discounted from the usual and customary rates charged by K&L Gates. The Receiver is charging \$320/hour, which represents a 24% discount from his usual and customary rate of \$420/hour. In addition, K&L Gates has discounted its rates by 9.5% and the discounted its bills by approximately \$10,870.94. Espinosa Affid at ¶7.

The chart below summarizes the fees charged and the discounts applied.

Invoice Summary		Invoice Date 2
Billed		November
Matter .00001		\$112,452.50
Matter .00003		<u>\$919.49</u>
Total		<u>113,371.99</u>
	Rate	
Receiver Incurred	\$420.00	\$39,900.00
Receiver Billed	\$320.00	<u>\$30,400 0.2</u>
Receiver adj	(\$100.00)	(\$9,500.0)
KLG Incurred	various	\$102 552.70
KLG Billed	various	Ր8 <mark>Հ,</mark> 371.99
KLG adj.	(9.5%)	(\$5,709.77)
Write-offs		φ10,870.94)
Total Adj		(\$29,080.71)

The reasonableness of the fees incurred by the keceiver and his counsel should be examined in light of the challenges faced by the crace, the work necessary to administer the estate and the accomplishments of the Receiver to date. The estate is large, with significant money, assets and claims against it. It is also complicated to administer. As a result, the Receiver has been required to expend against time and resources to identify, collect and preserve assets and to determine who is owed money by the estate and against whom the estate may have significant and valuable claims. These efforts have born significant fruit, with substantial recoveries already received by the estate.

The work by the Receiver and his counsel has entered into a new phase. Initially, the Receiver underted to investigate the business of Retirement Value, to collect the assets readily available to it and to put in place interim measures to protect the value of those assets. That work is vargely complete. Over the last two months and continuing in future months, the Receiver is working on two major initiatives. The first is to develop and execute upon a long-

The invoice is usually billed the month after the services were rendered, e.g., the November invoice reflects work performed in October.

term plan for the portfolio of insurance policies that maximizes the value of those policies. The second is to resolve and collect upon the substantial claims that the estate has against valious parties. Both initiatives are under way.

The key variable to the success of the estate and ultimately the restitution paid to the investors is the performance of portfolio of insurance policies owned by Reprement Value. Maximization of the value of the portfolio depends upon the expected cas's flow to and from the policies (premiums paid and benefits received) as well as on the structure of the portfolio itself.

To determine the expected cash flow from the portfolio, the Receiver, with the assistance of the estate's portfolio servicer, ASG, is in the process of of taining updated life expectancy calculations for each of the insureds. In order to have the best possible life expectancy calculations, we have requested that each of the insureds consent to the doctors releasing medical information to us. Although each insured is confractually obligated to provide updated medical information and to execute the consents necessary for their doctors to release that information to us, a number of insureds have delayed enturing the consents to us and, in a few cases, outright refused to return the consents. The lack of cooperation has hampered out efforts to obtain new life expectancy calculations and has required additional work by ASG, the Receiver and his counsel. To date, we have obtained new life expectancy calculations for 18 of the 44 insureds. As the new life expecta cy calculations are received, we are forwarding them to Lewis & Ellis, the estate's actuarial consultants, to prepare cash flow projections for each policy and the portfolio as a whole.

The Receiver and his counsel have also been working to determine the best way to structure of the portfolio so that the Receiver can maximize the assets available for distribution and distribute them in a legally appropriate and equitable manner. Based on his research and the

results of the new life expectancy certificates available so far, the Receiver believes that the best course of action is to consolidate the portfolio so that all claimants share in all of the assets of the estate. He sought permission from the Court to do so. The Receiver's proposal is not without controversy and additional litigation before the Court will be necessary to determine the appropriate structure of the portfolio and the most equitable method of distributing assets to the claimants. The Receiver is engaged in ongoing discussions with various groups of investors regarding this issue.

The Receiver and his counsel are also working to collect on claims owed to the estate. The Receiver has filed suit against David and Elizabeth Gray (former owners of Retirement Value) to recover the substantial sums of money pair to them by Retirement Value. This application includes approximately \$919 in fees that were incurred by Receiver's counsel in that matter. In addition, the Receiver has been eng. ged in negotiations with various other parties, including some licensees, towards the settlement of the estate's claims against them. Further, the Receiver has begun to make demand gran the various licensees who sold investments in the Resale Life Insurance Policy Program. If the various negotiations currently in progress are not concluded in the near future, the Receiver will file additional suits to collect amounts owed to the estate.

Because of the expense and risk inherent in litigation, the Receiver is taking a deliberate approach toward the claims of the estate. As a general matter, the Receiver is engaging in negotiations with those against whom the estate has claims rather than immediately filing suit. Moreover the Receiver is concentrating his initial efforts on claims that are either the most likely to succeed or which provide for the largest potential recovery.

In addition to the work on the two initiatives discussed above, the Receiver and his counsel have been engaged in various other matters for the estate. For example, the Receiver is defending the estate against a claim for sexual harassment brought by a former exproyee of Retirement Value. In addition, the Receiver has had to respond to litigation filed by certain investors against the Defendants and others in Dallas.

ARGUMENT

The Receiver's administrative costs, including his fee and that or his counsel, are to be paid out of the funds and other assets of the estate.³ These costs are considered costs of court and have priority over all other claims against the estate. *Tordan v. Burbach*, 330 S.W.2d 249 (Tex. Civ. App. – El Paso 1959, writ ref'd n.r.e.); *also* Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §64.051. The Court should consider the reasonableness of the fees requested by both the Receiver and counsel.⁴

In evaluating the reasonableness of the fees, the Court should consider the following factors: (1) the time and labor involve¹ the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill required to perform the legal services properly; (2) the likelihood that the acceptance of the particular employment will be clude other employment by the lawyer; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services; (4) the amount involved and the results obtained; (5) the time a mutations imposed by the client or the circumstances; (6) the nature and length of the processional relationship with the client; (7) the experience, reputation, and ability of the lawyer a lawyers performing the services; and (8) whether the fee is fixed or contingent

The Kreiver will pay the fees requested in this application from the Estate's operating accounts which do not include accounts denominated in the names of the individual defendants or I/OF, or the funds set aside for the payment of premiums.

The Receiver has not acted as his own counsel; therefore all of his time is billed at his Receiver" rate as opposed to a higher rate for his services as an attorney. Espinosa Affid. at ¶4.

on results obtained or uncertainty of collection before the legal services have been rendered.

Arthur Andersen & Co. v. Perry Equip. Corp., 945 S.W.2d 812, 818 (Tex.1997). These factors support the award of the requested fees.

Time, labor, skill & complexity. By its nature, a receivership proceeding is unique and complicated. As discussed above, this receivership is particularly complicated due to its size, the assets involved, the poor record keeping of Retirement Value and the slaver number of people involved (900 investors, 1,000 licensees, 18 insurance companies and several banks). To properly administer the estate requires a high degree of skill and diligence. Moreover, the Receiver and his counsel have had to devote significant time to this matter. The exact time expended and work performed by the Receiver and his counsel are shown on the invoices attached to the Espinosa Affidavit. In addition, the Receiver's Initial Report previously filed with the Court summarizes the work of the Receiver and his counsel.

Preclusion of other employment. K&L Gates has not had to decline any representation solely because of its services in this vast. However, because of the magnitude of the effort required, the Receiver and certain individual K&L Gates professionals working on this matter have been largely precluded from working on other matters.

Customary fees. An attorney's usual and customary fees are presumed to be reasonable. Tex. Civ. Prac. & R' M Code § 38.003. The fees charged by K&L in this case are the usual and customary fees that it charges to and collects from its clients for the services of the attorneys and other professionals working on this matter, except that the Receiver is charging 24% less than his

⁵ Cer'ai. older cases have described the factors used to consider the reasonableness of a recei er 3 fee using slightly different terminology. See Taylor v. Taylor, 91 S.W.2d 394, 397-98 (Te c. Civ. App. – Amarillo 1936, no writ). However, the factors used by these cases incorporate a 1 of the same considerations set out in the Arthur Anderson factors. In order to simplify this application, the Receiver has used the Arthur Anderson framework to discuss the reasonableness of his fees and those of his counsel.

usual and customary rate and K&L Gates is charging 9.5% less than its usual and customary rates on all other timekeepers. Espinosa Affid. at ¶7. Further, the court may take judicial notice of customary fees and of the contents of the case file without further evidence. Tex. 37 J. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 38.004.

Every year, K&L Gates undertakes an analysis of the markets in which it operates in order to determine the appropriate fees to charge for its professionals based on the fees charged by its competitors and peer firms. The goal of this analysis is to set rates for each professional at the median rate for professionals at peer firms in similar practices areas and similar experience. Accordingly, the rates charged by K&L Gates in this matter are well within the norm for firms of its type in Texas. Espinosa Affid. at ¶9.

Amount involved and results obtained. The amount involved in this matter, measured either by the \$77 million invested by the investors or the \$30+ million value of the estate already seized by the Receiver, is very large. Although involved for only six months, the Receiver has already obtained significant results. He recovered the \$1.2 million that the Defendants attempted to secret, settled with Collins for a proximately \$320,000 and resolved the outstanding purchase of policies from James Settlement in a manner favorable to the estate under adverse circumstances.

Time limitations Time is of the essence in a receivership. This is particularly true in the initial stages. The efforts undertaken in this case to recover assets, investigate the facts and preserve the fortfolio of polices were conducted on an expedited basis.

The nature and length of the professional relationship. This factor cuts no particular way. However, neither the Receiver nor his counsel has any particular relationship with any of the parties involved in this matter. Nor is there any possibility of a future relationship with the

estate. By their nature, receiverships are a one-time event. As a result, no discount would normally be appropriate. Nevertheless, K&L Gates has provided a discount of 20% off of the fees it would normally charge for the work performed during this time period.

Experience, reputation, and ability of the professionals. K&L Gates is one of the world's premier law firms. It comprises nearly 2,000 lawyers who practice in 36 offices located on three continents. K&L Gates represents leading global corporations, grow'n and middle-market companies, capital markets participants and entrepreneurs in every major industry group as well as public sector entities, educational institutions, philanthropic organizations and individuals.

Whether the fee is fixed or contingent. The fees of the Receiver and his counsel are based on upon their hourly rates with a substantial discount. However, the payment of fees depends upon the approval of the court and the availability of assets in the estate – something which could not be known at the time the engagement was accepted and which remain uncertain.

In addition, the Receiver's fees are less than Retirement Value's operating expenses for the four months preceding the receivership. This is significant because the Receiver is tasked with preserving Retirement Value's assets for the benefit of the investors, which requires, among other things, that the Receiver respetuate certain aspects of Retirement Value's operations. The following table reflects Retirement Value's expenses for the period of January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010.

Retirement Value's	January	February	March .	April	Average
Expenses					
Payroll	170,140.04	151,665.13	185,159.25	161,598.27	167,140.67
Other Expenses	289,177.90	432,748.48	<u>121,837.00</u>	107,384.87	237,787.06
Total Expenses	<u>459,317.94</u>	<u>584,413.61</u>	306,996.25	<u>268,983.14</u>	404,927.73

Ret're nent Value's expenses averaged approximately \$405,000 per month for the months receding the receivership. In contrast, the fees sought by the Receiver and his counsel since the

receivership's inception have averaged \$185,127.59 per month for the first six month, and as evidenced by total fees requested for the month of October 2010 of \$113,371.99, continue to trend downward. The fees requested are approximately \$54,000 less than Retirement Value's monthly payroll costs and \$292,000 less than Retirement Value's average monthly expenses in 2010.6 Moreover, October's fees of \$113,371.99 represent a significant decline from the approximately \$199,500 per month average over the receivership's first fire months.

The Receiver anticipates that his fees and the fees of his counsel will fluctuate over the coming months but continue to trend downward. The amount of fees incurred will depend primarily on the Receiver's success in convincing licensee and others who owe money to the estate to pay without requiring recourse to the counts. It will also depend upon other circumstances beyond the control of the Receiver such as the filing of claims against Retirement Value by investors or non-investor claimants as well as the cooperation of the Defendants. The more the Defendants and others cooperate with the Receiver, the lower the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel will be. The or verse is also true.

Based on the size and conplexity of the estate, the difficulties of administering it, the efforts expended and the result obtained, the fees requested by the Receiver and his counsel are reasonable and necessary.

ACCORDINGLY, the Receiver requests that this Application be granted in its entirety and that he be authorized to pay the fees requested by him and his counsel from the funds available to the estate.

operating expenses.

⁶ Arg acly, Retirement Value's operating expenses for April 2010 may be a better proxy for the effect required to maintain the estate because Retirement Value was not soliciting investments in April due to the cease and desist order imposed by the State Securities Board. Even so, the fees cought for the Receiver and his counsel are nearly 58% less than Retirement Value's April

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Napoli
State Bar No. 14803400
K&L Gates LLP
1717 Main Street, Suite 2800
Dallas, Texas 75201
214.939.5500
214.939.5849 (telecopy)

michael.napoli@klgates com

Mary Schaerdel Dieta State Bar No. 03741500 K&L Gates LL.? 111 Congres: Ave., Suite 900 Austin, Texas 78701 512,482,6800 512,484,6859 (telecopy) mary.liv.tz@klgates.com

A TORNEYS FOR THE COURT-APPOINTED PECEIVER OF RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above pleading has been served a true following, via certified mail, return receipt requested on this the day of November 2010:

Jack Hohengarten Charles McDonald Office of the Attorney General Financial Litigation Division 300 W. 15th Street, Sixth Floor PO Box 12548 Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Christopher B. Bradford Clark, Thomas & Winters, P.C P. O. Box 1148 Austin, Texas 78767

Spencer C. Barasch Matthew G. Nielsen Andrews Kurth, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75201

Sam Rosen Shannon, Gracey, Ratliff & Miller 777 Main Street, Suite 3800 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Terry Scarborough.
Geoffrey D. Weisbart
Hance Scarbo ough, LLP
111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500
Austin, Texas 78701

Michael D. Napoli

CAUSE NO. D-1-GV-10-000454

STATE OF TEXAS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT O
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
,	§	
T 7	§	
v.	8	
	8	0
RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC,	8	Λο,
RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL	§	
COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a	§	. 7
Texas Limited Liability Company,	§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada	§	
Limited Liability Company, and	§	
WENDY ROGERS,	§	
ŕ	8	
Defendants,	§	
2	8	
AND	8	
AND	8	
	8	
KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, &		
FREE, P.C.,	+ 4	
	§	
Relief Defendant.	§	126 th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	• •	

AFFIDAVIT OP DUARDO S. ESPINOSA

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Eduardo S. Espinosa, who is personally known to me, and after being duly sworn according to law, upon his/her oath duly deposed one said:

- 1. My name is Eduardo S. Espinosa. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) years, of sound mind, and frilly competent to testify in this cause. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, all or which are true and correct.
- 2. I am a partner in the law firm of K&L Gates, LLP. I was admitted to practice law in the Pate of Louisiana in 1996 and in the State of Texas in 1999. Prior to entering private procice, I was an Enforcement Attorney with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, where I investigated violations of and enforced the antifraud provisions of the

federal securities laws. Since entering private practice in 1998, I have been counsel to multiple defendants in similar proceedings. I am familiar with the reasonable and customary fees charged by attorneys in this type of matter.

- 3. I am making this Affidavit in support of the Third Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel (the "Application").
- 4. Pursuant to the Court's Order of May 5, 2010 and the Agreed Temporary Injunction Order of May 28, 2010 (the "Agreed TI"), I have employed professionals necessary "for an efficient and accurate administration of the receivership estate." To this goal, I have retained the law firm of K&L Gates to represent me in connection with my duties and responsibilities as Receiver and have utilized a number of K&L Gates lawyers and paralegals to assist me therewith. I have not acted as my own counsel.
- 5. Attached to this Affidavit as Examble A, and B, are redacted copies of K&L Gates' invoices 2289642, and 2290113, respectively (the "Invoices"). The Invoices detail the services performed, from October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010, by: (a) myself as Receiver; and (b) K&L Gates as Receiver's counsel. At the end of each Invoice is a Timekeeper Summary that lists the professional staff that silled time to this matter during the relevant time period, the number of hours billed and their respective rates.
- 6. As a general matter, the charge for the services provided by K&L Gates is determined by nultiplying the total number of hours worked by each timekeeper by that timekeeper's c'lling rate.
- The fees charged by the Receiver and his counsel represent a discount of approximately 20% from the usual and customary fees charged by K&L Gates. In this case, the buling rate of each timekeeper was discounted from the usual and customary rates charged by

K&L Gates. The Receiver is charging \$320/hour, which represents a 24% discount from his usual and customary rate of \$420/hour. In addition, K&L Gates has discounted its rates by 9.7% and further discounted its bills by approximately \$10,871. The chart below sum or rizes the discounts applied.

Invoice Summary		Invoice Date November ¹
Billed	is the residence of the same of the control of the same of the sam	
Matter .00001		\$112,45∠.J0
Matter .00003		t <u>019,49</u>
Total		<u>'13,371.99</u>
	Rafe	
Receiver Incurred	\$420.00	\$39,900.00
Receiver Billed	\$320.00	<u>\$30,400.00</u>
Receiver adj	(\$100.00)	(\$9,500.00)
KLG Incurred	various	\$102.552.70
KLG Billed	varir us	\$82,971.99
KLG adj.	(5.50%)	(\$8,709.77)
Write-offs	4.50	(\$10,870.94)
Total Adj		(\$29,080.71)

- 8. Attached to this Affidavit as Exhibit C are biographies of myself and Michael D. Napoli, the K&L professionals with primary responsibility over this matter. I have personal experience working with every person billing time to this matter, they are each of high quality and their have skills and expertise that are invaluable to assist me in performing my duties and responsibilities in this matter.
- 9. The purely rates set forth in the Invoices are set at a level designed to compensate the firm fairly for the work of its staff and to cover fixed and routine overhead expenses. Such rates are normal and customary in this market for legal professionals with the same level of experience and expertise at comparable legal firms in Texas. Each year, K&L Gates undertakes

The invoice is usually billed the month after the services were rendered, e.g., the November invoice reflects work performed in October.

an analysis of the markets in which it operates in order to determine the appropriate fees to charge for its professionals based on the fees charged by its competitors and peer firms. The goal of this analysis is to set rates for each professional at the median rate for professionals at peer firms in similar practices areas and similar experience. Accordingly, the rates charged by K&L Gates in this matter are well within the norm for firms of its type in Texas

- 10. The hourly rates charged are reasonable rates for this case, given: (1) the time and labor involved, the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill required to perform the legal services properly; (2) the likelihood that the acceptance of the particular employment will preclude other employment by the K&L Bates professionals; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar services; (4) the amount involved and the results obtained; (5) the time limitations imposed by the client; or the circumstances; (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client; and (7) the experience, reputation, and ability of the professionals performing the services.
- 11. The amount billed for r.y services during the time period covered by this application is \$30,400.00. The amount billed for my counsel's professional services through October 31, 2010 is \$82,971 %. These amounts were calculated by taking the time billed for each task performed in connection with this case multiplied by the discounted hourly rate for the professional or staff remore who performed the task. Based on my experience and knowledge of this matter, the fees charged by myself and my team for work from October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 are reasonable.
- I have reviewed K&L' Gates' invoices for services rendered from October 1, 20'0 through October 31, 2010. Based on my experience and knowledge of this matter, the

work performed by my staff from October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 was reasonable and necessary to properly allow me to fulfill my duties and responsibilities in this case.

13. In addition, the Receiver's fees are less than Retirement Value's operating expenses for the four months preceding the receivership. This is significant because the Receiver is tasked with preserving Retirement Value's assets for the benefit of the investors, which requires, among other things, that the Receiver perpetuate certain aspects of Retirement Value's operations. The following table reflects Retirement Value's expenses for the period of January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010.

Retirement Value's	January	February	M, rch	April	Average
Expenses			*		
Payro!l	170,140.04	151,665.13	185, 159.25	161,598.27	167,140.67
Other Expenses	<u>289,177.90</u>	432,748.48	1 <u>∠1,837.00</u>	<u>107,384.87</u>	<u>237,787.06</u>
Total Expenses	4 59,317.94	<u>584,413.61</u>	306,996.25	268,983.14	<u>404,927.73</u>

Retirement Value's expenses average approximately \$405,000 per month for the months preceding the receivership. In contrast, the fees sought by the Receiver and his counsel since the receivership's inception have average. \$185,127.59 per month, and as evidenced by total fees requested for the month of Octobe. 2010 of \$113,371.99, continue to trend downward. The fees requested are approximately \$10,000 less than Retirement Value's monthly payroll costs and \$292,000 less than Retirement Value's average monthly expenses in 2010. Moreover, Octobers fees of \$113,371.99 et resent a significant decline from the approximately \$199,500 per month average over the receivership's first five months.

REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Eduardo S. Espinosa

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this 30th day of Flovember 2010.

KIM COPPEDGE

Notary Public

State of Texas

My Comm. Expires 11-20-2011

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 11-20-2011

Jinskir of Heart of the State o



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

T 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 Newmber 11, 2010 Invoice: 2289642

Matter Desc.: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered and ext er ses incurred for your account during the period ending 10/31/2010. Detailed information regarding there fees and expenses is attached.

Current Charges:

Fees

919.49

Total Current Charges

\$919.49

UNPAID INVOICES AS OF 11/11/2 127

Invoice Date	Invoice Number	Unpaid Amount
10/14/10	2273827	1,111.36
10/14/10	2274674	299.70

TOTAL UNPAID INVAICE(S):

1,411.06

TOTAL DUE

\$2,330.55

PAYMENT FOR "CURRENT AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 11, 2010

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to the Pittsburgh Office at 210 Sixth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2613 Attn: Accounts Receivable Department or Reference Invoice: 2289642



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates ttp 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

t 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Invoice: 2289642

ovember 11, 2010

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 10 31/10:

Matter: 1203981.00003

Matter Description: David & Elizabeth Gray

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amwat	Description
10/11/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.30	135.75	Review return of process by Secretary of
	-		O'	State; attention to fling returns; confer with K
			1	Dietel re answer date
10/18/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.20	90.50	Review answer by Defendants
10/22/10	Dietel, K.	0.20	69.69	Review Defendants' discovery requests to
				Plaintiff; calendar response deadline regarding same
10/22/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.30	135.75	Review discovery from Defendants
10/27/10	Dictel, K.	0.40	139.37	Confer with M. Napoli regarding discovery requests to Defendants; review petition and
•	4			prepare to draft discovery requests
10/29/10	Dietel, K.	1.00	348.43	Prepare discovery requests to Defendants

TOTAL HOURS 2.40

TOTAL FOR SERVICES \$919.49

TIME CATCHER SUMMARY

Time reper	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Na _{l-} oli, M. D. Otetel, K.	0.80 1.60	452.50 348.43	362.00 557.49
Total for All Timekeepers	2.40	\$383.12	\$919.49

K&L GATES

Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

Page: 7 November 11, 2010 Invoice: 2285 42

INVOICE TOTAL

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Prior Outstanding Balance Due	1,111.36	299.70	1,411.06
Current Charges	919.49	0.00	919.49
Net Balance	2,030.85	299.70	2,330.55

TOTAL BALANCE DUE THIS MATTER \$2,330.55

FORE NO. PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE DECLMBER 11, 2010

Indicated the state of the stat



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates up 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

r 214.939.5500

www.kigates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

No rember 17, 2010 Invoice: 2290113

Matter Desc.: State of Tex. vs Retirement Value

LLC, et. al.

Clich, Matter #: 1203981.00001

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered and expenses incurred for your account during the period ending 10/31/2010. Detailed information regarding the expenses is attached.

Current Charges:

Fees

112,452,50

Total Current Charges

\$112,452.50

UNPAID INVOICES AS OF 11/17/2010

Invoice Date	Invoice Number	Unpaid Amount
09/16/10	2255980	160,491.94
10/14/10	2273826	135,282.95
11/12/10	2290112	3,699.24

TOTAL UNPAID IN v DICE(S):

299,474.13

TOTAL DUE

\$411,926.63

FAMENT FOR "CURRENT AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 17, 2010

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to the Pittsburgh Office at 210 Sixth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2613 Attn: Accounts Receivable Department or Reference Invoice: 2290113

Payment Can Also be Made by wire to: The Bank of New York Mellon, ABA Routing Number: 043000261, Account # 127-2657, K&L Gates, AIS Account, Reference Invoice 2290113



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&t Gates ur 1717 Main Street Suito 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

T 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

Svember 17, 2010 Invoice: 2290113

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX-75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 10/31/10:

Matter: 1203981.00001

Matter Description: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, vt al.

Date 10/1/10	Attorney Brown, A. G.	Hours 3.20	Amount 579.20	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from investors; conduct research regarding investments in order to respond to investor questions regarding their individual accounts; draft responses to investor requests for
10/1/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.70	1,824.00	information Correspond with A. Brown regarding HCF premium payments; consult with P. Dennis regarding account data; consult with C. Budner regarding scope of authorization to negotiate fees; consult with B. Powell and M. Dietz on expert testimony; review COOK DB update
	699			from ASG; provide M. Dietz with background information on Fee App; submit Defendant Gray's draw to GCU; telephone conference with regarding (1) conversions and ROTH IRA's (ii) IRA Southwest client and accounts
10/1/10	Napoll, M. D.	1.50	678,75	Teleconference with A Goldate re- research re knowledge of TDI proceeding; research re
10/4/13	Brown, A. G.	1.80	325.80	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from investors; conduct research regarding investments in order to respond to investor questions regarding their individual accounts; draft responses to investor requests for information; revise spreadsheet regarding licensee commissions and sales
10/4/10	Dietz, M.S.	3.50	1,773.80	Telephone conference with M. Napoli and E.

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Napoli, M. D.

8.00

3,620.00

Cheminia	er #. 1203981.000	O1		Invoice: 22 x 113
Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description Espinosa; review application; research other firm's attorney fees; review documents (TI
10/4/10	Espinosa, E. S.	6.00	1,920.00	Order-Application). Consult with B. Rose regarding 10/1/10 wire; speak with Wells Fargo CEO support regarding wire delay; call S. Adan's and W. Giles regarding wire restriction; transfer fund between WF accourts - premium transfers; correspond with F. Lennis @ BKD regarding accounting entries and unrecorded wires; deposit B. Collins' on the at Chase; consult with M. Dietz regarding Fee App., Initial Report, TRO, TI; review and billing; working session with M. Napoli regarding Licensees, Fee App. hearing, etc.
10/4/10	Halter, J.A.	1.90	885.55	A alyze and draft summary regarding e-DAT ρ piect review and efficiency analysis in ρ eparation for court hearing on reasonableness of fee affidavit; conference with E. Espinosa regarding same
10/4/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.50	3,393.75	Prepare for hearing on fee application; confer with E Espinosa and M Dietz re hearing and Dietz testimony; revise complaint and correspondence to H Ackels; teleconference with H Ackels; teleconferences with G Weisbart; teleconference with K Kennedy
10/4/10 10/5/10	Sanchez, J. R. Brown, A. G.	250	339.38 181.00	Prepare documents for production. Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from investors; conduct research regarding investments in order to respond to investor questions regarding their individual accounts; draft responses to investor requests for information; revise spreadsheet regarding licensee commissions and sales
10/5/10	Dietz, M.S.	6.50	3,294.20	Prepare for hearing; attend hearing; conference with Ed Espinosa and Mike Napoli regarding hearing preparation; set hearing.
10/5/10	I spinosa, E. S.	8.00	2,560.00	Round trip to Austin (N/C 5.5 hrs); consult with M. Dietz and M. Napoli; meet with G. Weisbart, M. Napoli and M. Dietz regarding proposed agreement Hearing on receivers' fee application; meeting W. G. Weisbart post-hearing; meet with K. Kennedy, C. McDonald and A. Goldate post-hearing; consult with M. Napoli and M. Dietz

regarding hearing

Travel to Austin (N/C 2.0); prepare for hearing

on fee application; witness preparation with M

November 17, 2010

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 3 November 17, 2010 Invoice: 22 of 113

	*	**	.	Description
Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description Dietz; meet with G Weisbart; prepare d'aft of agreed order; attend hearing on fee application and status conference; confer with M Dietz; E Espinosa, G Weisbart and K Rennedy; confer with K Kennedy; e-mail correspondence re SIS receiver; travel to Dalla. (AC 3.5)
10/5/10	Sanchez, J. R.	1.50	225.00	Continue preparing documents for production.
10/6/10	Brown, A. G.	3.20	579.20	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from investors; conduct refearch regarding investments in order to respond to investor questions regarding their individual accounts; draft responds to investor requests for information, revise spreadsheet regarding licensee commissions and sales
10/6/10	Cunningham, E.	0.00	No Charge	Brief 'e' iew of wage claim.
10/6/10	Dietel, K.	2.50	871.08	Review and analyze state securities laws Review and analyze state security; update so readsheet of active licensees regarding same
10/6/10	Dietz, M.S.	1,00	506.8%	Telephone conference with Barry Bishop; revise orders; telephone conference with Mike Napoli and Ed Espinosa; telephone message to Geoff
10/6/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.10	1,312.00	Weisbart. Correspond with K. Kennedy regarding FINRA & NTM 09-42; review BKD Tax engagement
	~			and correspond with T. Lovelace regarding same; consult with M. Dietz and M. Napoli regarding B. Bishop; consult with regarding R. H. Gray, review draft orders; consult with E. Cunningham regarding Wage Claim
10/6/10	Napoli, M. D	5.50	2,488.75	Prepare order on fee application; teleconference with E Espinosa and M Dietz; e-mail correspondence with P Fitzgerald re Harrision litigation; e-mail correspondence with A Garcia re Harrison litigation; teleconference with P Fitzgerald re Harrison litigation; analysis of
10/6/10	(bunn, M. J.	1.50	780,57	Review and analyze receiver's initial report in preparation for potential actions in recover funds from licensees; related correspondence with M. Napoli
10,'7 ₁ 10	Brown, A. G.	3.20	579.20	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from investors; conduct research regarding investments in order to respond to investor questions regarding their individual accounts; draft responses to investor requests for information; revise spreadsheet regarding

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 4 November 17, 2010 Invoice: 27 at 113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
10/7/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.30	152.04	licensee commissions and sales Telephone conference with Geoff Weisbart
10/7/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.70	1,824.00	regarding entry of order; email to 1. Espinosa. Correspond with Chase Bank and B. Bishop regarding Roger's October expense; review October Life Settlement Report; correspond with ASG regarding and set HPAA; review correspondence from S. Nassen at Coit; correspond with 11. Dietz regarding B. Bishop, D. Gray and the App Hearing; review August time entries: Occult with J. Dotson regarding expenses consult with M. Napoli regarding D. Grey, and Licensees
10/7/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.50	2,941,25	E-mail correspondence with A Garcia; analysis of claims against e-mail correspondence with B Bishop, A Garcia and P imperator of the confermation suit; confer with E respinosa re claims against out of state licensees; research claims against eleconference with M Dietz; review e-mail re G Weisbart;
10/8/10	Brown, A. G.	3.00	42 00	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from ; conduct and respond to e-mail inquiries from and and ; conduct research regarding beneficiary of investment; telephone conference with E. Espinosa regarding response to investor email; revise spreadsheet regarding licensee commissions and sales update investors files with incoming documentation
10/8/10	Espinosa, E. S	5.10	1,632.00	Review additional August entries; correspond with ; review A. Brown's correspondence regarding demand letter, reconcile discrepancies regarding ; consult
i 275/10	Napoli, M. D.	5.70	2,579.25	with G. Quinones re website expertise; call (investor); correspond with C. Budner and M. Hadaway regarding billing discount (n/c 0.3); telephone conference with L. Edwards regarding 707 Walnut; review L. Edwards' bio, PMP Website Research re ; consideration of claims against ; analysis of latest LE reports from ISC; prepare fee app for non-KLG professionals; prepare fee app for KLG

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 November 17, 2010 Invoice: 22 x 113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
10/11/10	Brown, A. G.	1.90	343.90	Conduct and respond to e-mail inquiries from ; revise spreadsheet regarding licensee commissions and sales rollicensee conduct research regarding licensee sales
10/11/10	Cunningham, E.	0.60	146.61	Draft response to wage claim; review file for personne. Information.
10/11/10	Espinosa, E. S.	3.00	960.00	Correspond with B. Rose regarding COO/COB; review holdings; correspond with G. Quinor regarding Website; review October e-Dair Living; review ASG fraud services; evic w BKD Engagement (TAX); telephone conference with Pam at ASG regarding Prudential IRA; consult with M. Napoli regarding ASG services and TX OAG in unit regarding (n/c 0.3)
10/11/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.00	3,167.50	of SECO Investigations; prepare memo for Vuchsas re teleconference with K Kennedy & C McDonald; prepare email re ASG; prepare memo re
10/12/10	Brown, A. G.	2.40	.34.40	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from and the conference with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa regarding spreadsheet of licensee commissions and sales in conduct research regarding spreadsheets for sales in preparation for processing demand letters
10/12/10	Cunningh un E.	0.20	48.87	Finalize letter to TWC in response to wage claim.
10/12/10	Espirosa, E. S.	6.10	1,952.00	Telephone conference with (investor) regarding Provident IRA; telephone conference with regarding W. Rogers' draw; correspond with J. Donaldson at Gen. Fed. C.U.; consult with M. Quinn regarding Licensee; telephone conference with regarding consult with E. Cunningham regarding by position; finalize review of BKD Tax engagement and correspondence; review SECO Group engagement; review e-Dat invoice for Sept. entries; consult with M. Napoli and A. Brown regarding reconciliation of Sale

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 6 November 17, 2° 10 Invoice: 2´ 9' 113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description force and Ringtail date for Licensee rates in growing; correspond with A. Crillen regarding "burn-rate" dates; consult with A. Crillen regarding regarding Records inventor, authority, au
10/12/10	Napoli, M. D.	6,50	2,941.25	Revise contract for Sect engagement; prepare memo; review e-DAT bill; confer with E Espinosa:
10/12/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.50	780.57	R. view draft demand letter for licensees; conference call with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa; review related materials
10/13/10 `	Brown, A. G.	1.80	325,50	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from Investor and licensee ; review and revise spreadsheet for licensee and finalized demand letter and prepare
10/13/10	Dotson, J. R.	0.00	No Charge	for attorney signature E-mails from/to Eddy Espinosa re: coordination of inventorying and transferring Retirement Value records to Dallas office
10/13/10	Espinosa, E. S.	2.4)	736,00	Correspond with L. Edwards regarding 707 Walnut; telephone conference with S. Adams at Wells Fargo; consult with M. Napoli regarding ISC's LEs burn-rate summary and materials
10/13/10	Jo, S. S.	4.50	997.79	Review sales lists for recordation of participant, amount and commissions paid to
10/13/10	Nap лі, M. D.	7.00	3,167.50	Prepare memo; prepare for investor meeting; research re ; confer with E Espinosa re preparation for settlement conference; confer with E Espinosa re investor meeting and presentation; assign project to S Jo; teleconference with K Kennedy; teleconference with J Vaschus; confer with E Espinosa re and motion to consolidate; prepare for settlement conference
10/14/10 10/14/10	Boyer, A. L. Brown, A. G.	0.30 1.90	119.46 343.90	Review letter; finalize; execute for transmission Conduct and respond to e-mail inquiry from investor ; review and revise spreadsheet for licensees; conduct

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

	Page: 7
November	17, 20 (0
Invoice:	27 9/ 113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
10/14/10	DU MO	0.50	252.40	research regarding licens, a les
10/14/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.50	253.40	Telephone conference with Geoff Weisbart and Barry Bishop regarding order and hearing.; letter
				to all regarding hearing.
10/14/10	Dotson, J. R.	0.00	No Charge	E-mails from/to Joe Binicare, coordination of
			-	inventorying and transferring Retirement Value
				records to Dallas office; releconference with E
10/14/10	Espinosa, E. S.	2.30	736.00	Espinosa and Joe Rivion re: same Consult with J. Datson and J. Binion, and M.
10/14/10	Espiliosa, E. S.	4.50	750.00	Napoli regardi 6, coordination of document
				storage; correspond with J. Winebrenner at
				Chase regarding Roger's insurance expense;
			10-01	review invoices for Sept. entries
10/14/10	Jo, S. S.	2.20	487.81	Review sales lists for recording of participant, amount and
				of unissions paid to
10/14/10	Napoli, M. D.	3,00	1,357.50	1 2 sconference with E Espinosa and J Binion re
	1 ,		•	Fuilding; work on demand letters; e-mail
				correspondence with Weisbart's office re
				meeting; redact September invoice (n/c 0.5);
			0*	prepare for meeting with Intervenors; prepare memo
10/15/10	Brown, A. G.	1.00	181.00	Review and revise spreadsheet for
	,			licensees; conduct research regarding
			2	licensee sales
10/15/10	Dotson, J. R.	0.00	No Charge	Conference call with Joe Binion re: coordination
				of trip to Retirement Value offices on Monday and locksmith issues re: moving documents to
	. 4	'0'		Dallas office
10/15/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.70	224.00	Meeting with M.Dietz and M.Napoli re
				B.Bishop and G.Weisbart
10/15/10	Jo, S. S.	1.20	266.08	Review sales list for
10/15/10	Namali A	1.00	452.50	spreadsheet input Redact October invoice (n/c 0.5); revise
10/15/10	Napoli L. L.	1.00	432.30	premium burn analysis and prepare for
	70			Intervenor meeting; teleconference with
				, E Espinosa
10/16/10	Pletz, M.S.	1.00	506.80	Conference with B. Espinosa and M. Napoli
				regarding status and strategy; email from and to
1078/10	Dotson, J. R.	1.70	523.09	B. Bishop regarding order/hearing. Meeting with Joe Binion at Retirement Value
10 .9/70	Doison, J. K.	1,70	323.07	offices re: transferring files to Dallas office;
20				providing J Binion office and file tour re: same;
				picking up some items to immediately send to
				Dallas via interoffice; travel to/from New
				Braunfels for same (N/C 1.5); e-mail to E Espinosa re: quick books software; e-mail to
				Doparosa to, quiek books software, o-mail to

K&L GATES

Date

10/18/10

10/18/10

10/19/10

10/19/10

10/19/10

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Attorney

10/18/10 Espinosa, E. S.

Napoli, M. D.

Quinn, M. J.

Brown, A. G.

Dietz, M.S.

Dotson, J. R.

10/19/10 Espinosa, E. S.

10/19/10 Пароп, М. D.

		myoice, 2 9 113
Hours	Amount	Description
		Eddy Espinosa re: items initially brought back
		for immediate transfer to Dallas . ffice;
		teleconference with Eddy Espinora re: same;
		Teleconference with J Bink nic. same
6.50	2,080.00	Consult with M. Napoli in preparation for
		meeting; meet with G. V'erspart and his clients
		regarding Motion to Consolidate and case
		update; telephone of reference with J. Binion and
		J. Dotson regarding 707 Walnut; review D.
		Grays' Answer
6,50	2,941.25	Prepare for an attend meeting with investors;
		confer wi h E Espinosa re meeting and
		consolidation of portfolio; prepare memo
1,50	780.57	Review usearch in support of claims against
		licensus
1.20	217,20	Cenduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
		in estor ; draft letter enclosing
	*	conduct research
		regarding licensee sales and update
		spreadsheet regarding same in preparation for
		issuance of demand letters
0.00	No (harge	Email to Barry Bishop, et al review email;
0.00	The Charge	review invoices; conference with Mike Napoli.
0.00	No Charge	E-mails from/to Joe Binion and Michael Napoli
0.00	Tio Charge	re: status of transfer of Retirement Value
	7	documents to Dallas offices
(2)	1,376.00	T/C with (investor) re his conversation
	1,570.00	with Review, revise and finalize Sept
40.		and October invoice; Teleconference with
		J.Hohengartner, C.McDonald, K.Kennedy,
		J.Rotunda, A.Goldate & M.Napoli re counsel's
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		transition and strategic matters; Consult with L.Edwards, J.Binion & J.Dotson re 707
		N.Walnut; T/C with L.Spark & M.Napoli re Hill
4.50	2.026.25	Country Funding (n/c 0.3).
4,50	2,036.25	Research re premium shortfall issue;
		teleconference with M Dietz; redact invoices;
		prepare memo to B Bishop re invoices; e-mail
		correspondence with B Bishop re Rogers
		accounts; teleconference with K Kennedy, J.
		Hohengarten, C McDonald, J Rotunda and A
		Goldate; consider issues re suit;
		teleconference with L Sparks; teleconference
		with J Vuchsas re investigation; e-mail
		correspondence re Bebel fee application;
		analysis of investor ownership percentage;

review status report on health update and new

November 17, 2010

Invoice: 27 9/ 113

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page; 9 November 17, 2f 10 Invoice: 2f 9ⁱ 113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description LEs
10/20/10	Brown, A. G.	1.80	325.80	Conduct and respond to telephon inquiry from investor and agent ; conduct research regarding incensee sales; finalize sales data for spreadsneet for licensees
10/20/10	Cunningham, E.	0.40	97.74	Telephone conference with the Texas Workforce Commission regard no R. Gray's unemployment claim; draft e-ma I to E. Espinosa and M. Napoli regarding the suns.
10/20/10	Dotson, J. R.	0.00	No Charge	Receipt and consideration of e-mails from Joe Binion restaus of moving documents from RV offices to Dallas office
10/20/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.50	1,440.00	Consult with M.Napoli re correspondence & Popur's tax submission; Correspond with A.'G re invoicing; Consult w/ E.Cunningham re D.Grany's TWC unemployment claims; Consult v/. J.Binion re 707 N. Walnut; Review Roger's Nov expense request and submit same to Chase
				for processing, correspond with BKD re
10/20/10	Napoli, M. D.	5.00	2,(62 .50	invoicing; Research and prepare memo re premium shortfall
10/20/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.80	936.68	Review and analyze research to support demand letters to licensees; review and revise draft demand letter
10/21/10	Brown, A. G.	2:40	181.00	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from investor ; conduct research for documentation regarding and update client index
10/21/10	Cunningham, E.	1.00	244.35	Telephone conferences with Texas Workforce Commission regarding R. Gray's unemployment claim; draft e-mails to E. Espinosa and M. Napoli regarding the same.
10/21/10	Diet & Pa.S.	1.70	861.56	Telephone conference with Barry Bisop regarding order; conference with Mike Napoli regarding update; update order; circulate order for signature; email from G. Weisbart regarding order and objection timeline; email to and
'w?1/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.20	1,344.00	telephone conference with G. Weisbart regarding same. Consult with B.Collins regarding RV037; telephone conference with J. Swanson, P. Maule, K. Hinkle and M. Napoli regarding policy scrub, expense and relative value; consult with E. Cunningham and M. Napoli regarding unemployment benefits; review Conestoga Form

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 10 November 17, 2610 Invoice: 2691113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description D; review App for Prof. Fees, ASG 3 3KD invoices; consult with L. Edward, and J. Binion regarding 707 Walnut.
10/21/10	Halter, J.A.	0.00	No Charge	Review and respond to inq. iry from M. Napoli regarding scope of collected and review issues; follow up regarding san.
10/21/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.80	3,077.00	Review checklist for poncy review; prepare memo re underfued no of premium accounts; review Conestog Form D; prepare e-mail to A Goldate re Corestoga; confer with E Espinosa re Gray unemplement; e-mail correspondence with E Coni gham re same; confer with E Espinosa re ASG fee app; teleconferences with ASG remaid/marketability review and status of updated LEs; review latest LE reports; teleconferences with M Dietz re fee app, motion to consolidate and teleconferences with Weisbart and Bishop; teleconference with M Nielsen re Harrison litigation; preparation of letter to claims
10/22/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.60	1,792.00	Review materials re Kurz's pleadings in the A&O matter; Review sales and commissions per representative; Consult with M.Napoli in preparation for meeting with and M.Napoli; Consult with P.Maule re ASG's HIPAA calls to insureds; Export Salesforce Data; Review SECOR report; Review D.Gray's requests for admissions; Consult with M.Napoli re S.Rosen.
10/22/10	Napoli, M. D	7.50	3,393.75	Prepare for settlement conference; confer with E Espinosa re same; Attend settlement conference with E Espinosa, and settlement sett
10,75/10	Boyer, A. L.	0.00	No Charge	Confer with report discussion to team
10/25/10	Brown, A. G.	1,20	217.20	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from and conduct research for documentation regarding same and update client index; review incoming documentation

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 11 November 17, 26 10 Invoice: 27 9/ 113

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
10/25/10	Cumulandama B	0.20	48.87	and update files Telephone conference with Tex. Workforce
10/25/10	Cunningham, E.	0.20	40.07	Commission regarding receive sl.'p.
10/25/10	Espinosa, E. S.	3.40	1,088.00	Review draft correspondence with A.Goldate;
				Review correspondence v / 3 Rosen. Review correspondence from A. 3 oyer re
				Review Lewise & Ellis Invoice and correspond
				with J.Lee re sam Consult with M.Napoli re
				burn-rate calcula ons;
10/25/10	Napoli, M. D.	8.00	3,620.00	Finalize applic von for professionals; prepare memo to A Gordate re e-mail
				correspondence with S Rosen; consider issues
				raised by Rosen; confer with E Espinosa re
				in ervention; review petition in
				intervention; e-mail correspondence re petition
				in intervention; e-mail correspondence with G willishart re burn rate analysis; prepare
			•	correspondence to A Goldate and J Hohengarten
				re e-mail correspondence with M Quinn
				re demand letters; analysis of burn rate
4010 = 140	01.767	0.00	400	information from ASG
10/25/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.80	- 1t .30	Revise licensee demand letter; email correspondence with M. Napoli
10/26/10	Boyer, A. L.	0.30	119.46	Review email communication about related
10,20,10	20,01,11121	, 6		transactions and impact on claim; report to team;
				discuss response to contacts
10/26/10	Brown, A. G.	1.57	271.50	Review and revise spreadsheet in preparation for
	<u> </u>	4'0		sending out Demand letters; draft email regarding process for sending out the
				letters from the office; conduct
				research for documentation regarding
				investment and update client index; review
		0.00	N 61	incoming documentation and update files
10/26/10 10/26/10	Cunnii gh um, E. Diet 4, M.S.	0,00 1.70	No Charge 861.56	Review demand letter. Court appearance regarding entry of agreed
10/20/10	Diet 2, 14.5.	1.70	00,100	order; circulate same; telephone conference with
				Ed Espinosa and Mike Napoli regarding Barry
*	10			Bishop request.
10/26/10	Espinosa, E. S.	3.70	1,184.00	Review and licensee
				records re commissions arising out of sale of interests exclusively to affiliates/family
				members; Consult with M.Dietz and M.Napoli
				re B.Bishop's request for a "budget." Correspond
				with J.Lee (L&E); correspond with A.Cullen
)				(ASG) re RV037; Review demand, correspond with L.Edwards re 707 N. Walnut;
				transfer funds among Chase accounts.

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

November 17, 2010
Invoice: 27 91 113

				111/01001 2 3 1 1 3
Date 10/26/10	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	Hours 6.50	Amount 2,941.25	Description E-mail correspondence with B Bish, ble Harrision litigation; e-mail correspondence with counsel re confidentiality agreement; prepare Rule 11 agreement; teleconference with D Roosien responses to review and analyze settlement demand from analyze settlement demand from analysis of responses to demand letters; confer with E Espinosa re san elemand correspondence with A Boyer re response to demand letters; confer with M Detz re requests by Bishop; prepare letter and Hohengarten and A Goldate re
10/27/10	Boyer, A. L.	0.20	79.64	Review response from ; confer with team on response; outline letter to about fact that it is that in bankruptcy
10/27/10	Brown, A. G.	1.50	271.50	C. nduct and respond to telephone inquiries from and ; conduct research for documentation regarding same; update client index; review incoming documentation and update files
10/27/10	Cunningham, E.	2.50	610.88	Confer with M. Napoli regarding response to initial demand; review transcript attached to initial demand; begin drafting response to initial demand.
10/27/10	Espinosa, E. S.	7.70	2,464.00	Consult with P.Dennis re Wells Fargo statements, October & November premium transfer spreadsheets; Transfer November premiums to Main Account and ASG, respectively; Update spreadsheets accordingly; address online access issues; Provide BKD expense details; T/C with J.Hohengarten & M.Napoli re deposition scheduling and appointment as HCF's receivers; Review Roger's Motion to Release funds; Reconcile disbursements to Rogers; Review response to demand letter; Consult with M.Napoli re demand letter; Review draft letter to J.Hohengarten re
10/17/19	Napoli, M. D.	6.50	2,941.25	Teleconference iwth J Hohengarten; teleconference with G Weisbart; review Rogers motions to release funds; confer with E Espinosa re same; e-mail correspondence with B Bishop re Harrison suit; confer with E Cunningham re response to demand; confer with E Espinosa re demand; prepare e-mail to B Bishop re demand and allegation; prepare

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

1 450, 15	
November 17, 2010	Ì
Invoice: 27 # 113	

				Піубісе, 2 30 113
D-4-	A 44 a aug av .	Hours	Amount	Description
Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	fee application;
10/27/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.80	416.30	Finalize demand letters to
10/28/10	Boyer, A. L.	0.30	119.46	Review communications; trans in f same to team;
10/20/10	Doyor, A. L.	0.50	117.40	draft, revise letter about backet, tey; discuss
				with team and finalize
10/28/10	Brown, A. G.	1.30	235.30	Conduct and respond to temphone inquiries from
10/20/10	Diowii, 71. O.	1.50	255.50	; conduct research for documentation
				requested and prepa e for faxing; conduct
				research regarding bankruptcy
10/28/10	Cunningham, E.	1.80	439.83	Continue draft'n, letter to opposing counsel in
10,20,10	Cummignam, D.	1.00	100,00	response to 1. by initial demand.
10/28/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.00	No Charge	Conferen e w th M. Napoli and E. Espinosa
10/20/10	D1012, 111.5.	0.00	110 Chaige	regarding updated burn rate information and
				prepared response to B. Bishop and copy all.
				Review d updated burn rate information.
10/28/10	Espinosa, E. S.	6.10	1,952.00	C nsult with M.Dietz and M.Napoli re
10/20/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.10	1,502,00	Pishop's inquiry and "burn rate" response;
				r view revised burn rate table and verify
				November premium disbursement and account
-	2			balances; consult with R.Kipp & D.McCully re
				transition from T.Lovelace; Consult with
			0,	P.Dennis re statement downloads, and update on
				Receiver's books & records; Review
				correspondence to consult with
				M.Napoli re SECOR & T/C w/
			7	D. Waller re r; Consult with D.Luther
		7		& M.Napoli re
		,0,		
10/28/10	Luther, D.	0.00	No Charge	Telephone conference with E. Espinosa and M.
	,	,	· ·	Napoli regarding potential claims against
				11
10/28/10	Napoli, M 1.	8.20	3,710.50	Confer with M Dietz re Bishop requests and
				motion to consolidate; prepare analysis of
				premium shortfalls for motion to consolidate;
				prepare fee application; confer with E Espiinosa
				re investigation; prepare correspondence
	10			to A Goldate and J Hohengarten re
				review/revise letter to
				; e-mail correspo <u>nden</u> ce with E
				Espinosa and A Boyer re; revise burn rate
				analysis for production to parties; e-mail
				correspondence with re burn rate
				analysis; revise response to A Karpf re T
				claim; e-mail correspondence with B Bishop re
				claim; research regarding
				" in securities fraud; teleconference

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 14 November 17, 20 0 Invoice: 22 #0 115

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description with A Garcia re Harrison suit and n. o*.on to consolidate
10/29/10 10/29/10	Boyer, A. L. Brown, A. G.	0.00 1.50	No Charge 271.50	Confer with team about recent rely onses Conduct and respond to tell phone inquiries from and conduct research for documentation regarding commissions paid and draft email response regarding same; draft email regarding updates to Receivership web site; review incoming locumentation and update files
10/29/10	Cunningham, E.	0.00	No Charge	Review revisio as to response to T. demand; conse with M. Napoli regarding the same.
10/29/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.50	2,941.25	Teleconference with A Goldate; e-mail correspondence with G Weisbart re depositions and audit response; review AGAP PFD and exceptions; review testimony; conferwith E Cunningham re T prepare Fee Application; teleconference with M Nielsen re settlement discussions; review correspondence from the law re licensees;

TOTAL TOUKS

305.50

TOTAL FOR SERVICES

\$112,452.50

TIMEKEEPER SUMMARY

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Boyer, A. L.	1.10	398.20	438.02
Quinn, M. J.	7.90	520.38	4,110.99
Napoli, M. D.	125,20	452.50	56,653.00
Espinosa, E. S.	95,00	320.00	30,400.00
Halter, J.A.	1.90	466.08	885.55
Dietz, M.S.	16.20	506.80	8,210.16
Dietel, K.	2.50	348.43	871.08
Jo, S. S.	7.90	221.73	1,751.68
Cunning nem, E.	6.70	244.35	1,637.15
Dotion, J. R.	1.70	307.70	523.09
Bi w. A. G.	35.40	181.00	6,407.40
Sanchez, J. R.	2.50	135.75	339.38
Schez, J. R.	1.50	150.00	225.00
Total for All Timekeepers	305.50	\$368.09	\$112,452.50

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 15 November 17, 2010 Invoice: 229(113

INVOICE TOTAL

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Prior Outstanding Balance Due	295,774.89	3,699.24	299,474.13
Current Charges	112,452.50	0.00	112,452.50
Net Balance	408,227.39	3,699.24	411,926.63

TOTAL BALANCE DUE THIS MACTER \$411,926.63

PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE L 3C) MBER 17, 2010

```
Judicial Copylianies Option of the Copylian Copylianies of the Copylia
```



DALLAS OFF!CE 214.939.4906 TEL 212.939.4949 FAX eddy.espinosa@klgates.com

Eduardo S. Espinosa

AREAS OF PRACTICE

Mr. Espinosa's practice includes corporate, domestic and international business transactions, mergers & acquisitions, securities and securities enforcement. His practice includes the formation and governance of corporations perfect the financing of limited liability companies; mergers and acquisitions; and the financing of business entities, including private and public offering of securities, project financing, loan transactions, and letters of credit. For Espinosa has advised public companies on their public reporting requirements and has represented various market participants before the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission in enforcement proceedings. In addition to his domestic practice, Mr. Espinosa has advised foreign and domestic entities on international commercial transactions and foreign investments, including U.S.-Mexico cost-border real estate transactions.

PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

Mr. Espinosa advises clients with the lenefit of his experience in the government, public and private sectors. Mr. Fsp no a began his legal career as an Enforcement Attorney with the Securities and Exchange Commission, where he investigated and prosecuted violations of the federal securities laws. In private practice, Mr. Espinosa has represented clients in a rodditude of commercial transactions ranging from the enterprise-wide to the operational levels. In addition, he has served as General Counsel to a multi-militant ollar distribution company and Senior Transactional Counsel to a multi-national telecommunications company. Mr. Espinosa compliments his legal career as an Enforcement, public provides and Espinosa compliments his legal career as an Enforcement, and Espinosa compliments his legal career as an Enforcement, public provides and Espinosa company and Senior Transactional Counsel to a multi-national telecommunications company. Mr. Espinosa compliments his legal career as an Enforcement, public provides and Espinosa company and Senior Transactional Counsel to a multi-national telecommunications company. Mr. Espinosa compliments his legal career as an Enforcement.

PROFESSIONAL/CIVIC ACTIVITIES

- Louis'and State Bar
- State Bar of Texas

CC IR. ADMISSIONS

- Supreme Court of Louisiana
- Supreme Court of Texas
- United States Court for the Northern District of Texas

BAR MEMBERSHIPS

Louisiana Texas

EDUCATION

J.D., Tulane University School of Law, 1995 M.B.A.; Tulane University, 1995 B.B.A., University of Texas, 1987



DALLAS OFFICE
214.939.4927 TEL
214.939.4949 FAX
michael.napoli@klgates.com

Michael D. Napoli

AREAS OF PRACTICE

Mr. Napoli practices commercial and securities litigation. The matters c.n which Mr. Napoli has recently worked include defense of securities litigation regarding convertible securities on behalf of an investor in small public contracts; defense of a director of a public company accused of a breach of fiduciary duty; defense of a brokerage firm in cases involving a Ponzi scheme; prosecution of litigation on behalf of an automotive finance company against the servicer of its loan portfolio; prosecution of patent infringement and antitrust litigation on behalf of vending machine company; and defense of litigation claiming infringement of patents relating to oil field tools.

COURT ADMISSIONS

- U.S. Court of Federal Claims
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Comuit
- U.S. District Court, Southern, No. hern and Eastern Districts of Texas
- Supreme Court of Texas

BAR MEMBERSHIP

Texas

EDUCATION

J.D., University of Texas, 1991 (with High Honors; Member, Texas Law Review; Member, Order of the Coif; Member, Chancellors (Grand Chancellor, 1990-1991))

B.A., Baylor University, 1988 (with Honors; Phi Beta Kappa)