Filed 10 December 14 A10:18 Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza District Clerk Travis District D-1-GV-10-000454

#### CAUSE NO. D-1-GV-10-000454

IN THE DISTRICT COURT STATE OF TEXAS, Plaintiff, v. RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC, RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and WENDY ROGERS. Defendants, AND KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, & FREE, P.C., 126th JUDICIAL DISTRICT Relief Defendant.

## FOURTH APPLICATION FOR FEES BY THE RECEIVER AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL

Eduardo S. Espinosa, court-oppointed temporary receiver for Retirement Value, LLC, files his second application for this incurred by the Receiver and his counsel, K&L Gates, LLP.

#### BACKGROUND

On May 5, 2013 the State of Texas filed this suit against Retirement Value, Richard H. Gray and Bruce Collins alleging that they had perpetrated a \$60 million securities fraud and seeking temporary and permanent injunctive relief, restitution for the losses suffered by investors, renalties and other monetary relief. On that date, the Court entered an order appointing Eduardo S. Espinosa of K&L Gates, LLP as Receiver. The State subsequently unended its suit to include Wendy Rogers as a defendant, and to seek a receivership over two additional entities affiliated with the defendants.

On May 28, 2010, the Court entered a temporary injunction (the "Agreed TI") against Gray and Retirement Value and continued the Receiver's appointment. In the Agreed TI the Court directed and authorized the Receiver to, among other things:

- (a) to take possession of and preserve all books, records and assets of Retirement Value and all assets derived from the operations of Retirement Value;
- (b) to attempt to effect fair restitution to the investor-victims; ar.
- (c) to assist the State in its investigation of the Defendants and others.

The temporary injunction and the receivership will remain in place until the end of the trial of this matter, which is currently scheduled for February 28, 20 11.

To assist the Receiver in the performance of these duties, the Agreed TI authorizes the Receiver to "to hire employees, contractors, consultants, accountants, attorneys, legal assistants, or other assistants under terms to be determined by the Receiver, whose services in the sole discretion of the Receiver, are necessary for an efficient and accurate administration of the receivership estate." Agreed TI at 14, §8. To that end, the Receiver has retained the law firm of K&L Gates, LLP to represent him in connection with this case, to assist him in the performance of his duties and to prosecute or Cefend litigation on behalf of Retirement Value. <sup>1</sup>

By its Order Regarding the First Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel entered on Owber 26, 2010 (the "Fees Order"), the Court modified the basis by which the Receiver and his counsel are paid. Pursuant to the Fees Order, the Receiver shall charge an hourly rate of \$20 per hour and the Receiver's counsel shall discount its rates by 9.5% from its then current hourly rates in effect as of the time services are rendered beginning on August 1, 2010. Pees Order at 2. Moreover, the Receiver and his counsel are to submit to the Court and to

The Receiver has also retained other professionals to assist him. An application to pay the fees of those professionals is the subject of a separate application.

the parties of record their request for payment of fees. If no party of record files an objection to the request for payment within ten days from the filing of the request for payment, then the Receiver shall pay the amount of the request from funds he holds in the receivership e true. Any objection must state with specificity the particular items of the Receiver's request to which the objection is made. If an objection is made, the Receiver shall not pay the context at portion of the invoice until a hearing has been held on the objection, but the Receiver may far, the portions of the request to which no objection is made.<sup>2</sup> Id.

### APPLICATION FOR PROFESSIONAL FELS

By this Application, the Receiver seeks approval from the Court to pay from the assets of the Receivership the fees incurred by the Receiver and him counsel, K&L Gates, LLP, for the time period from November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010. The Receiver has incurred fees of \$34,240 during the period covered by this Application. He has retained the legal services of K&L Gates, which has incurred fees of \$79,648.80 for the period covered by this Application. Affidavit of Eduardo S. Espinosa ("I spi tosa Affid.") at ¶11 (attached as Exhibit 1). While substantial, these fees were both reader able and necessary.

The fees charged by the Receiver and his counsel represent a discount of 19% from the usual and customary fees charged by K&L Gates. As a general matter, the charge for the services provided by K&L Gates is determined by multiplying the total number of hours worked by each timekeeper by that timekeeper's billing rate. *Id.* at ¶6. In this case, the billing rate of each timekeeper was discounted from the usual and customary rates charged by K&L Gates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Purtuant to the Court's Order regarding the Receiver's Second Fee Application entered on December 10, 2010, the Receiver and his counsel are to begin billing by task on work performed a ter the entry of the order. Because the work underlying this Application pre-dates the Lecember 10 Order, the Receiver and his counsel have presented their bill in its usual and customary form.

The Receiver is charging \$320/hour, which represents a 24% discount from his usual and customary rate of \$420/hour. In addition, K&L Gates has discounted its rates by 9.5% and further discounted its bills by approximately \$7,039.59. Espinosa Affid at ¶7. The coart below summarizes the fees charged and the discounts applied.

Involce Summary		Invoice Date
		<u>December</u>
Billed		
Matter .00001		\$105,615.50
Matter .00003		<u></u> *8 272,90
Total	i Barraran Barran Bragamene in dengan di sempiahannya basak selak ipin di disebagai Pangana (1920).	<u>.</u> 13 <u>,888.80</u>
	Rate tes	
Receiver Incurred	\$420.00	\$44,940.00
Receiver Billed	\$320.00	<u>\$34,240.00</u>
Receiver adj	(\$100.00)	(\$10,700.00)
KLG Incurred	various	\$95,592.31
KLG Billed	varic s	\$79,648.80
KLG adj.	$(9, \mathbb{J}^{o\chi})$	(\$8,360.92)
Write-offs	4.50	(\$7,039.59)
Total Adj		(\$26,100.51)

The reasonableness of the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel should be examined in light of the challenges face, by the estate, the work necessary to administer the estate and the accomplishments of the Receiver to date. The estate is large, with significant money, assets and claims against it. It is also complicated to administer. As a result, the Receiver has been required to expend significant time and resources to identify, collect and preserve assets and to determine who is owed money by the estate and against whom the estate may have significant and valuable claims. These efforts have born significant fruit, with substantial recoveries already received by the estate.

Recaper undertook to investigate the business of Retirement Value, to collect the assets readily

The invoice is usually billed the month after the services were rendered, e.g., the December invoice reflects work performed in November.

available to it and to put in place interim measures to protect the value of those assets. That work is largely complete. Over the last two months and continuing in future months, the Receiver is working on two major initiatives. The first is to develop and execute up or a long-term plan for the portfolio of insurance policies that maximizes the value of those policies. The second is to resolve and collect upon the substantial claims that the estate has against various parties. Both initiatives are under way.

The key variable to the success of the estate and ultimately the restitution paid to the investors is the performance of portfolio of insurance policies owned by Retirement Value. Maximization of the value of the portfolio depends upon the expected cash flow to and from the policies (premiums paid and benefits received) as well as on the structure of the portfolio itself.

To determine the expected cash flow from the portfolio, the Receiver, with the assistance of the estate's portfolio servicer, ASG, is in an process of obtaining updated life expectancy calculations for each of the insureds. In order to have the best possible life expectancy calculations, we have requested that each of the insureds consent to the doctors releasing medical information to us. Although each insured is contractually obligated to provide updated medical information and to execute the consents necessary for their doctors to release that information to us, a number of insureds have delayed returning the consents to us and, in a few cases, outright refused to return the orients. This lack of cooperation has hampered out efforts to obtain new life expectancy calculations and has required additional work by ASG, the Receiver and his counsel. To the the whole obtained new life expectancy calculations for 22 of the 44 insureds. As the they life expectancy calculations are received, we are forwarding them to Lewis & Ellis, the estate's actuarial consultants, to prepare cash flow projections for each policy and the portfolio as a whole.

The Receiver and his counsel have also been working to determine the best way to structure of the portfolio so that the Receiver can maximize the assets available for distribution and distribute them in a legally appropriate and equitable manner. Based on his research and the results of the new life expectancy certificates available so far, the Receiver believes that the best course of action is to consolidate the portfolio so that all claimants share in all of the assets of the estate. He sought permission from the Court to do so. The Receiver's proposal is not without controversy and additional litigation before the Court will be necessary to determine the appropriate structure of the portfolio and the most equitable method of distributing assets to the claimants. The Receiver is engaged in ongoing discussions with various groups of investors regarding this issue.

The Receiver and his counsel are also working to collect on claims owed to the estate. The Receiver has filed suit against David and Edizabeth Gray (former owners of Retirement Value) to recover the substantial sums of money paid to them by Retirement Value. This application includes approximately \$8,77°, in fees that were incurred by Receiver's counsel in that matter. In addition, the Receiver has been engaged in negotiations with various other parties, including some licenses, towards the settlement of the estate's claims against them. Further, the Receiver has been engaged in the various licensees who sold investments in the Receiver has been engaged. If the various negotiations currently in progress are not concluded in the near future, the Receiver will file additional suits to collect amounts over 5° the estate.

app ouch towards the claims of the estate. As a general matter, the Receiver is engaging in a gotiations with those against whom the estate has claims rather than immediately filing suit.

Moreover, the Receiver is concentrating his initial efforts on claims that are either the most likely to succeed or which provide for the largest potential recovery.

In addition to the work on the two initiatives discussed above, the Receiver and his counsel have been engaged in various other matters for the estate. For example, the Receiver is defending the estate against a claim for sexual harassment brought by a forcer employee of Retirement Value. In addition, the Receiver has had to respond to litication filed by certain investors against the Defendants and others in Dallas.

#### ARGUMENT

The Receiver's administrative costs, including his fee and that of his counsel, are to be paid out of the funds and other assets of the estate.<sup>4</sup> These costs are considered costs of court and have priority over all other claims against the state. *Jordan v. Burbach*, 330 S.W.2d 249 (Tex. Civ. App. – El Paso 1959, writ ref'd n.r.c.); also Tex. Civ. PRAC. & REM. Code §64.051. The Court should consider the reasonableness of the fees requested by both the Receiver and counsel.<sup>5</sup>

In evaluating the reasonant ruess of the fees, the Court should consider the following factors: (1) the time and labor in olved, the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill required to perform the legal services properly; (2) the likelihood that the acceptance of the particular employment will preclude other employment by the lawyer; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services; (4) the amount involved and the results obtained; (5) in a time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances; (6) the nature and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Receiver will pay the fees requested in this application from the Estate's operating accounts which do not include accounts denominated in the names of the individual defendants or LC?, or the funds set aside for the payment of premiums.

The Receiver has not acted as his own counsel; therefore all of his time is billed at his "Receiver" rate as opposed to a higher rate for his services as an attorney. Espinosa Affid. at ¶4.

length of the professional relationship with the client; (7) the experience, reputation, and ability of the lawyer or lawyers performing the services; and (8) whether the fee is fixed or contingent on results obtained or uncertainty of collection before the legal services have been rendered.

Arthur Andersen & Co. v. Perry Equip. Corp., 945 S.W.2d 812, 818 (Tex.1997). These factors support the award of the requested fees.

Time, labor, skill & complexity. By its nature, a receivership proceeding is unique and complicated. As discussed above, this receivership is particularly complicated due to its size, the assets involved, the poor record keeping of Retirement Value 2...1 the sheer number of people involved (900 investors, 1,000 licensees, 18 insurance commanies and several banks). To properly administer the estate requires a high degree of skill and diligence. Moreover, the Receiver and his counsel have had to devote sign. Feant time to this matter. The exact time expended and work performed by the Receiver and his counsel are shown on the invoices attached to the Espinosa Affidavit. In addition, the Receiver's Initial Report previously filed with the Court summarizes the work of the Receiver and his counsel.

Preclusion of other employment. K&L Gates has not had to decline any representation solely because of its services in this case. However, because of the magnitude of the effort required, the Receiver and certain individual K&L Gates professionals working on this matter have been largely profuled from working on other matters.

Customary Zes. An attorney's usual and customary fees are presumed to be reasonable.

TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 38.003. The fees charged by K&L in this case are the usual and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cert in older cases have described the factors used to consider the reasonableness of a receiver's fee using slightly different terminology. See Taylor v. Taylor, 91 S.W.2d 394, 397-98 (Ter. Div. App. – Amarillo 1936, no writ). However, the factors used by these cases incorporate all of the same considerations set out in the Arthur Anderson factors. In order to simplify this a plication, the Receiver has used the Arthur Anderson framework to discuss the reasonableness of his fees and those of his counsel.

customary fees that it charges to and collects from its clients for the services of the attorneys and other professionals working on this matter, except that the Receiver is charging 24% less that I is usual and customary rate and K&L Gates is charging 9.5% less than its usual and restomary rates on all other timekeepers. Espinosa Affid. at ¶7. Further, the court may take judicial notice of customary fees and of the contents of the case file without further evidence TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 38.004.

Every year, K&L Gates undertakes an analysis of the markets in which it operates in order to determine the appropriate fees to charge for its professionals based on the fees charged by its competitors and peer firms. The goal of this analysis is to set rates for each professional at the median rate for professionals at peer firms in similal practices areas and similar experience. Accordingly, the rates charged by K&L Gates in this matter are well within the norm for firms of its type in Texas. Espinosa Affid. at ¶9.

Amount involved and results obtained. The amount involved in this matter, measured either by the \$77 million invested by the investors or the \$30+ million value of the estate already seized by the Receiver, is very large. Although involved for only six months, the Receiver has already obtained significant results. He recovered the \$1.2 million that the Defendants attempted to secret, settled with Collins for approximately \$320,000 and resolved the outstanding purchase of policies from James Settlement in a manner favorable to the estate under adverse circumstances.

Time livitations. Time is of the essence in a receivership. This is particularly true in the initial stages. The efforts undertaken in this case to recover assets, investigate the facts and preserve the portfolio of polices were conducted on an expedited basis.

The nature and length of the professional relationship. This factor cuts no particular way. However, neither the Receiver nor his counsel has any particular relationship with a v of the parties involved in this matter. Nor is there any possibility of a future relationship with the estate. By their nature, receiverships are a one-time event. As a result, no discount would normally be appropriate. Nevertheless, K&L Gates has provided a discount of 19% off of the fees it would normally charge for the work performed during this time per of.

Experience, reputation, and ability of the professionals. K&I Gates is one of the world's premier law firms. It comprises nearly 2,000 lawyers who practice in 36 offices located on three continents. K&L Gates represents leading global corperations, growth and middle-market companies, capital markets participants and entrepreneurs in every major industry group as well as public sector entities, educational institutions, philipphropic organizations and individuals.

Whether the fee is fixed or contingent. The fees of the Receiver and his counsel are based on upon their hourly rates with a substantial discount. However, the payment of fees depends upon the approval of the court and the availability of assets in the estate – something which could not be known at the time the angagement was accepted and which remain uncertain.

In addition, the Receiver's fees are less than Retirement Value's operating expenses for the four months preceding the receivership. This is significant because the Receiver is tasked with preserving Retir ment Value's assets for the benefit of the investors, which requires, among other things, that the Receiver perpetuate certain aspects of Retirement Value's operations. The following task reflects Retirement Value's expenses for the period of January 1, 2010 through April 36, 2010

R tirement Value's	January	February	March	April	Average
Expenses Payroll	170,140.04	151,665.13	185,159.25	161,598.27	167,140.67
Other Expenses	289,177.90	432,748.48	<u>121,837.00</u>	<u>107,384.87</u>	237,787.06

Retirement Value's expenses averaged approximately \$405,000 per month for the month's preceding the receivership. In contrast, the fees sought by the Receiver and his counse, ince the receivership's inception have averaged \$174,950.62 per month for the first seven month, and as evidenced by total fees requested for the month of December 2010 of \$113,888 30, continue to trend downward. The fees requested are approximately \$53,252 less thin Retirement Value's monthly payroll costs and \$291,000 less than Retirement Value's average monthly expenses in 2010. Moreover, November's fees of \$113,888.80 represent a significant decline from the approximately \$185,128 per month average over the received this, is first six months.

The Receiver anticipates that his fees and the fees of his counsel will fluctuate over the coming months but continue to trend downward. The amount of fees incurred will depend primarily on the Receiver's success in convince glicensees and others who owe money to the estate to pay without requiring recours: to the courts. It will also depend upon other circumstances beyond the control of the Receiver such as the filing of claims against Retirement Value by investors or non-investor claimants as well as the cooperation of the Defendants. The more the Defendants and oth as cooperate with the Receiver, the lower the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel will be. The converse is also true.

Based on the size and complexity of the estate, the difficulties of administering it, the efforts expended and the results obtained, the fees requested by the Receiver and his counsel are reasonable and necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Arg. ably, Retirement Value's operating expenses for April 2010 may be a better proxy for the effect equired to maintain the estate because Retirement Value was not soliciting investments in April due to the cease and desist order imposed by the State Securities Board. Even so, the fees so ught for the Receiver and his counsel are nearly 58% less than Retirement Value's April operating expenses.

ACCORDINGLY, the Receiver requests that this Application be granted in its entirety and that he be authorized to pay the fees requested by him and his counsel from the 1 m is available to the estate.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Napoli

State Bar No. 14803400

K&L Gates LLP

1717 Main Street, State 2800

Dallas, Texas 75201

214.939.5500

214.939.5849 (telecopy)

michael.nar ol'aklgates.com

Mary Schaerdel Dietz

State Ca. No. 03741500

Kai Gates LLP

11. Congress Ave., Suite 900

Austin, Texas 78701

512.482.6800

512.482.6859 (telecopy)

mary.dietz@klgates.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE COURT-APPOINTED RECEIVER OF RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above pleading has been served on the following, via certified mail, return receipt requested and e-mail on this the 14<sup>th</sup> day of December 2010:

Jack Hohengarten
Office of the Attorney General
Financial Litigation Division
300 W. 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Sixth Floor
PO Box 12548
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

Christopher B. Bradford Clark, Thomas & Winters, <sup>L</sup> C. P. O. Box 1148 Austin, Texas 78767

Spencer C. Barasch Matthew G. Nielsen Andrews Kurth, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Geoffrey D. Vonsbart Hance Scarb rough, LLP 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500 Austin, Texas 78701

Patrick S. Richter Shannon, Gracey, Ratliff & Miller, LLP 98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78701

Michael D. Napoli

### CAUSE NO. D-1-GV-10-000454

STATE OF TEXAS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT O
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§	
RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC,	8	<b>70</b>
RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL	8	
COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a	§	. 0
Texas Limited Liability Company,	§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada		
Limited Liability Company, and	§	
WENDY ROGERS,	Š	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Š	
Defendants,	8	
<i></i>	8	
AND	8	
	8	
KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, &	3	•
FREE, P.C.,		
E EXELLY E 1019	8	
Relief Defendant.	8	126 <sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Renet Detenuant,	<b>8</b>	120 OCDICIAL DISTRICT

### AFFIDAVIT OF EDUARDOS. ESPINOSA

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Eduardo S. Espinosa, who is personally known to me, and after being duly sworn according to law, upon his/her oath duly deposed and said:

- 1. My name is Eduardo S. Espinosa. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) years, of sound mind, and fin'y competent to testify in this cause. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, all or which are true and correct.
- 2. I am a partner in the law firm of K&L Gates, LLP. I was admitted to practice law in the State of Louisiana in 1996 and in the State of Texas in 1999. Prior to entering private produce, I was an Enforcement Attorney with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, where I investigated violations of and enforced the antifraud provisions of the

federal securities laws. Since entering private practice in 1998, I have been counsel to multiple defendants in similar proceedings. I am familiar with the reasonable and customary fees charged by attorneys in this type of matter.

- 3. I am making this Affidavit in support of the Fourth Application for Vees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel (the "Application").
- 4. Pursuant to the Court's Order of May 5, 2010 and the Agreed Temporary Injunction Order of May 28, 2010 (the "Agreed TI"), I have employed professionals necessary "for an efficient and accurate administration of the receivership estate." To this goal, I have retained the law firm of K&L Gates to represent me in connection with my duties and responsibilities as Receiver and have utilized a number of K&L Gates lawyers and paralegals to assist me therewith. I have not acted as my own counsel.
- 5. Attached to this Affidavit as Farbht A, and B, are redacted copies of K&L Gates' invoices 2305595 and 2306601, respectively (the "Invoices"). The Invoices detail the services performed, from November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010, by: (a) myself as Receiver; and (b) K&L Gates as Receiver's councel. At the end of each Invoice is a Timekeeper Summary that lists the professional staff that oilled time to this matter during the relevant time period, the number of hours billed and their respective rates.
- 6. As a general matter, the charge for the services provided by K&L Gates is determined by multiplying the total number of hours worked by each timekeeper by that timekeeper's cilling rate.
- The fees charged by the Receiver and his counsel represent a discount of ap in ximately 19% from the usual and customary fees charged by K&L Gates. In this case, the billing rate of each timekeeper was discounted from the usual and customary rates charged by

K&L Gates. The Receiver is charging \$320/hour, which represents a 24% discount from his usual and customary rate of \$420/hour. In addition, K&L Gates has discounted its rates by 9.5% and further discounted its bills by approximately \$7,039.59. The chart below sum varizes the discounts applied.

Invoice Summary		Invoice Date December
Billed		
Matter .00001		\$105,615.50
Matter .00003		\$ <u>272.90</u>
Total		11 <u>3,888.80</u>
The second secon	Rate	
Receiver Incurred	\$420.00	\$44,940.00
Receiver Billed	\$320.00	<u>\$34,240.00</u>
Receiver adj	(\$100.00)	(\$10,700.00)
KLG Incurred	varicus	\$95,592.31
KLG Billed	vari: us	\$79,648.80
KLG adj.	(J. 50/2)	(\$8,360.92)
Write-offs	.5	(\$7,039.59)
Total Adj		(\$26,100.51)

- 8. Attached to this Affidavit as Exhibit C are biographies of myself and Michael D. Napoli, the K&L professionals with primary responsibility over this matter. I have personal experience working with every p rson billing time to this matter, they are each of high quality and their have skills and expertise that are invaluable to assist me in performing my duties and responsibilities in this matter.
- 9. The ourly rates set forth in the Invoices are set at a level designed to compensate the firm fairly for the work of its staff and to cover fixed and routine overhead expenses. Such rates are normal and customary in this market for legal professionals with the same level of expenses and expertise at comparable legal firms in Texas. Each year, K&L Gates undertakes

The invoice is usually billed the month after the services were rendered, e.g., the December invoice reflects work performed in November.

an analysis of the markets in which it operates in order to determine the appropriate fees to charge for its professionals based on the fees charged by its competitors and peer firms. The goal of this analysis is to set rates for each professional at the median rate for prof scionals at peer firms in similar practices areas and similar experience. Accordingly, the rates charged by K&L Gates in this matter are well within the norm for firms of its type in Texas

- 10. The hourly rates charged are reasonable rates for this case, given: (1) the time and labor involved, the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill required to perform the legal services properly; (2) the likelihood that the acceptance of the particular employment will preclude other employment by the K&L Bates professionals; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar services; (4) the amount involved and the results obtained; (5) the time limitations imposed by the client; or the circumstances; (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client; and (7) the experience, reputation, and ability of the professionals performing the services.
- 11. The amount billed for my services during the time period covered by this application is \$34,240.00. The amount billed for my counsel's professional services through November 30, 2010 is \$79,643.83. These amounts were calculated by taking the time billed for each task performed in connection with this case multiplied by the discounted hourly rate for the professional or staff in moer who performed the task. Based on my experience and knowledge of this matter, the fees charged by myself and my team for work from November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010 are reasonable.
- I have reviewed K&L' Gates' invoices for services rendered from November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010. Based on my experience and knowledge of this matter, the vork performed by my staff from November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010 was

reasonable and necessary to properly allow me to fulfill my duties and responsibilities in this case.

13. In addition, the Receiver's fees are less than Retirement Value's operating expenses for the four months preceding the receivership. This is significant because the Receiver is tasked with preserving Retirement Value's assets for the benefit of the investors, which requires, among other things, that the Receiver perpetuate certain expects of Retirement Value's operations. The following table reflects Retirement Value's expenses for the period of January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010.

Retirement Value's	January	February	M rch	April	Average
Expenses			*		
Payroll	170,140.04	151,665.13	185, 159.25	161,598.27	167,140.67
Other Expenses	<u>289,177.90</u>	<u>432,748.48</u>	<u>1∠1,837.00</u>	107,384.87	<u>237,787.06</u>
Total Expenses	<u>459,317.94</u>	<u>584,413.61</u>	306,996.25	<u>268,983.14</u>	<u>404,927.73</u>

Retirement Value's expenses average approximately \$405,000 per month for the months preceding the receivership. In contrast, the fees sought by the Receiver and his counsel since the receivership's inception have average. \$174,950.62 per month, and as evidenced by total fees requested for the month of November 2010 of \$113,888.80, continue to trend downward. The fees requested are approximacly \$53,252 less than Retirement Value's monthly payroll costs and \$292,000 less than Retirement Value's average monthly expenses in 2010. Moreover, Novembers fees of \$113,888.80 represent a significant decline from the approximately \$185,127.59 per month average over the receivership's first six months.

REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

OLANDA M. SOLIS Notary Public

Eduardo S. Espinosa

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this 13th day of Excember 2010.

My Commission Expires: JROSHICIAL COPYLIANIS

Indicial constraints of the state of the sta



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates ur 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

T 214.939.5500

www.klaates.cem

### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa
K&L Gates, LLP
1717 Main Street, Suite 2800
Dallas, TX 75201

Invoice: 2305595

Matter Desc.: Da. id & Elizabeth Gray Clien /Matter #: 1203981,00003

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered for your account during the period ending 11/30/2010. Detailed information regarding these fees is attached.

**Current Charges:** 

Fees

8,272.90

**Total Current Charges** 

\$8,272.90

PAYMENT FOR "CURPE, T AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 7, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to the Pittsburgh Office at 210 Sixth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2613 Attn: Accounts Receivable Department or Reference Invoice: 2305595

Payment Can Also be Made by wire to: The Bank of New York Mellon, ABA Routing Number: 043000261, Account # 127-2657, K&L Gates, AIS Account, Reference Invoice 2305595



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suito 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

1 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 December 8, 2010 Invoice: 2305595

### FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 11/2 1/10:

Matter: 1203981.00003

Matter Description: David & Elizabeth Gray

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	<u> escription</u>
11/9/10	Dietel, K.	1.30	452.96	Fraft discovery requests to Defendants
11/10/10	Dietel, K.	2.00	696.86	Draft discovery requests to Defendants; draft
	ŕ		-0	responses to Defendants' discovery requests
11/11/10	Dietel, K.	0.20	69.79	Finalize discovery requests to Defendants; prepare
	·			Request for Production of Documents and First Set
			60	of Interrogatories for service on Defendants
11/11/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.30	135.75	Review and revise written discovery to David and
	•			Elizabeth Gray
11/12/10	Dietel, K.	0/47	69.69	Confer with M. Napoli regarding service of
				discovery requests and deadlines for Defendants'
				Responses to Plaintiff's Request for Disclosure;
		•		calendar deadline for Defendants' response to
				Plaintiff's discovery requests
11/16/10	Dietel, K.	4.60	1,602.78	Draft Plaintiff's responses to Defendants' discovery
				requests; confer with M. Napoli regarding same
11/19/10	Brov n, A. G.	1.20	217.20	Conduct research in preparation of Responses to
				Defendant's Request for Disclosures; review and
				revise same
11/19/10	L'etel, K.	5.50	1,916.36	Draft Responses to Defendants' discovery requests;
4. C				confer with M. Napoli regarding same
11/10/20	Espinosa, E. S.	0.50	160.00	Review draft response to D. Gray's interrogatories
11/ 9/10	Napoli, M. D.	4.00	1,810.00	Revise discovery responses; confer with E Espinosa re same; confer with K Dietel re same
" v 21/10	Dietel, K.	1.00	348,43	Revise responses to Defendants' discovery requests;
				draft e-mail correspondence to E. Espinosa regarding
				same
11/23/10	Dietel, K.	1.00	348.43	Finalize Plaintiff's Responses to Defendants'
	-			discovery requests and prepare same for service

Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003 December 8, 2016 Invoice: 23 J. 595

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Description
11/23/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.40	128.00	Review Receiver's response to Request. for
	•			Disclosures, Requests for Production & Request for Admissions.
11/23/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.40	181.00	Revise discovery responses
11/24/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.30	135.75	Revise discovery responses
		TOT	AL HOURS	22.90
		ТОТ	AL FOR SER	VICES \$8,272.90

### TIMEKEEPER SUMMARY

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Napoli, M. D.	5.00	452.50	2,262.50
Dietel, K.	15.53	348.43	5,505.20
Espinosa, E. S.	(6.5)	320.00	288.00
Brown, A. G.	1.20	181.00	217.20
Total for All Timekeepers	22.90	\$361.26	\$8,272.90

### INVOICE TOTAL

INVOICE TOTAL	.5	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
	Current c. arges	8,272.90	0.00	8,272.90
	TOTAL I	BALANCE DUE TH	IIS INVOICE	\$8,272.90
	3			
<b>(F.)</b>	YMENT DUE IN FULL	ON OR BEFORE JA	NUARY 7, 2011	
.20				
<b>3</b>				

Judicial cody living to District Clerk Method L. Price



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates up 1717 Main Street Sulte 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

1 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

December 10, 2010 Invoice: 2306601

Matter Desc.: State of Texas vs. Recoment Value LLC, et. al.

C'ient/Matter #: 1203981.00001

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered for your account during the period ending 11/30/2010. Detailed information regarding these fees is attached.

**Current Charges:** 

Fees

105,615.90

**Total Current Charges** 

\$105,615.90

PAYMENT FOR "CURREN" MOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 9, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to the Pittsburgh Office at 210 Sixth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2613 Attn: Accounts Receivable Department or Reference Invoice: 2306601

Payment Can Also be Made by wire to: The Bank of New York Mellon, ABA Routing Number: 043000261, Account # 127-2657, K&L Gates, AIS Account, Reference Invoice 2306601



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates up 1717 Main Street Solle 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

t 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

December 10, 2010 Invoice: 2306601

### FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 11/30/10:

Matter: 1203981.00001

Matter Description: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, a. al.

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	1 escription
11/1/10	Brown, A. G.	4,50	814.50	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries
				from er and
			<b>*</b>	(agent) and e-mail inquiry from conduct research for documentation
			70	requested and prepare for delivery; review
				incoming documentation and update files;
				draft e-mail to M. Napoli regarding calls in
				response to demand letters; conduct
				additional research on and and
		20		regarding sales and demand letters;
				conduct research regarding licensee sales and
11/1/10	Constant P	0.20	48.87	update spreadsheet regarding same Prepare letter to be sent to attorney.
11/1/10 11/1/10	Cunningham, E. Dietz, M.S.	1.40	709.52	Prepare letter to be sent to attorney.  Teleconference with M. Napoli and E.
11/1/10	Dictz, Ivi.S.	1,40	707,32	Espinosa regarding hearing preparation;
				telephone message's and emails to Geoff
				Weisbart; teleconference with G. Weisbart
	. 0			regarding deposition and hearing schedule
				and hearing.
11/1/10	I opinosa, E. S.	1.50	No Charge	Travel to/from Fort Worth
11/1/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.50	1,440.00	Consult with M. Dietz & M. Napoli
				regarding B. Bishop and hearing on balance of balance of Fee App; Review
				correspondence from &
				Review draft letter to counsel and
				consult with M. Napoli & E. Cunningham;
				Prep for meeting with S. Rosen, Meet with S.
				Rosen & P. Richter regarding
				debrief with M. Napoli

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 2 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 27 oc 501

<u>Date</u> 11/1/10	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 7.00	<u>Amount</u> 3,167.50	Prepare second fee application; review update on new LE reports from ASG; finalize response to demand; telecon erence with M Dietz; review response to response to review response to review response to response to review response to review response to response t
11/1/10	Napoli, M. D.	1.50	No Charge	Travel to/from Fort Vorth
11/1/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.20	624.46	Analysis of iss as related; ten prone conference with licensee; trep are table to document communications with licensees; related email correspondence to M. Napoli
11/2/10	Brown, A. G.	1.70	307.70	Cond. in and respond to telephone inquiries from J. Owens and R. Neill; conduct research in documentation requested and prepare for celivery; review incoming documentation and update files; draft response to email from
11/2/10	Dietel, K.	4.50	1,567.94	Draft outline of issues for deposition of R. Gray; draft discovery requests to Defendants
11/2/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.30	558.84	Discuss issues with potential expert for application fee dispute; teleconference with Barry Bishop regarding agreement; Revised proposed order and circulated; conference with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa regarding status.
11/2/10	Espinosa, E. S.	7.60	2,432.00	Review letter from the property of HCF account disbursements and Special Acquisition; Consult with M. Dietz and M. Napoli regarding session with M. Napoli prioritizing administrative and litigation tasks for 4Q2010, 1Q2011 and later; Review Sept and October billing statistics and draft of 2nd fee application; Correspond with M. Napoli and B. Bishop regarding source of funds for Fee App #1 & under-reserved premium obligations, and Special Acquisition; Consult with regarding ; Review of HCF account disbursements and
11/2/10	Napoli, M. D.	8.20	3,710,50	correspond with P. Dennis regarding same. Teleconferences with M Dietz regarding hearing on fee app and hearing on motion to consolidate; prepare agreed order regarding

	ate of Texas vs Reti ter#: 1203981.0000		Page: 3 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 23 x 501	
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	motion to consolidate; review and review agreed order regarding fee application; email correspondence with B Bishop regarding source of funds and recial Acquisition funds; review responses to demand letters; conference in Espinosa regarding tasks for year and and Gray deposition; prepare for Gray deposition
11/3/10	Brown, A. G.	0.60	108.60	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from and conduct research for documentation. Quested and prepare for delivery; traf letter enclosing same
11/3/10	Dietel, K.	1.00	348.43	Review Interrogatories served by R. Gray and calcydar response deadline regarding same, review request; confer with M.
11/3/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.20	608.16	c irculate and email to all; prepare orders for and presentation to judge; prepare docket call; telephone conference with Barry Bishop regarding orders
11/3/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.30	No Caarge	Conference with M Napoli regarding Agreed Orders
11/3/10	Espinosa, E. S.  Napoli, M. D.	6.20	1,984.00	Review email from regarding receiverships, Hill Country Funding, brief research regarding same; Review email from A. Goldate regarding ; Draft instructions to A. Cullen (ASG) regarding November remittance advice; Correspond with A. Cullen and P. Maule regarding updated medicals; Review Napoli-Bishop correspondence regarding Review Bishop's Motion for payment of HCF expenses, advise M. Napoli regarding status of AT&T and Wells Fargo Leasing; consult with M. Dietz and M. Napoli regarding finalization and execution of agreed orders; correspond with K. Henderson regarding updated medical records; monitor email traffic regarding motion to consolidate and funding of under-reserved policies; Review
11/3/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.90	3,122.25	interrogatories Teleconference with J Hohengarten; teleconferences with M Dietz regarding agreed orders; e-mail correspondence with B Bishop and P Richter regarding agreed order

## K&L GATES

11/5/10

Brown, A. G.

2.40

434.40

	ate of Texas vs Retitter #: 1203981.000		Page: 4 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 23 Jt 501	
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	Hours	<u>Amount</u>	on consolidation; analyze Gray res, once to allegations; e-mail correspondence with B Bishop regarding from pare deposition notice; revise a rect order regarding consolidation; proper affidavit in support of fee app 2; revise eapp 2; review interrogatories from Defindants; review Defendants' motion regarding HCF trade debt; confer with B L'spinosa regarding same; teleconference and e-mail communications with P Richter regarding orders; review proposed Rul 11 agreement with Rosen; e-mail correspondence with A Garcia regarding motion a consolidate and Harrison suit; confermith M Dietz and K Dietel regarding
11/4/10	Brown, A. G.	2.50	452.50	onduct and respond to telephone inquiry from investors and conduct research for documentation requested and prepare for delivery; draft letter enclosing same; update investor files
11/4/10	Cunningham, E.	0.10	24,44	Review wage request for unemployment claim.
11/4/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.50	No Charge	Court appearance regarding entry of Order; telephone conference with E Espinosa regarding status
11/4/10	Espinosa, E. S.	7.70	No Charge	Reconcile Fee App #1 with final approved order
11/4/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.20	1,664.00	Review correspondence from W. Rogers; Correspond with Chase bank regarding Roger's request for payment of dwelling insurance premium; Correspond with B. Bishop regarding Roger's November living expenses and property tax payments; Draft correspondence to IRS regarding CP161; Correspond with K. Henderson regarding
11/4/10	Napoli, M. D.	8.30	3,755.75	Prepare for Grey deposition; teleconference with M Nielsen regarding deposition and meeting with insurers; e-mail correspondence with B Bishop and A Garcia regarding Harrison suit; teleconference with S Rosen regarding deposition and Harrison suit; teleconference with M Dietz:

teleconference with M Dietz;

Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries

and

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

	Page: 5
December	10, 2016
Invoice:	23 a 56 1

<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
	• •	•		conduct research for documentatio.
				requested and prepare for delivery; update
				investor files
11/5/10	Dietel, K.	1,20	No Charge	Research regarding scope of horrogatory
				request for accounting; te' none conference
				with regarding
				3 G. Aft
11/5/10	Espinosa, E. S.	8.00	2,560.00	Review correspondence from P. Vitek
				regarding HCF . no TBF Financial;
				Coordinate whoste updates vis-a-vis Motion
				to consol date; Follow up with Chase
				regarding insurance premiums; Correspond
				with P. Connis @ BKD regarding funds
				activ. v. Consult with S. Townsend & P.
				Maune @ ASG regarding status report;
				naview Roger's Objections to Attorney's
			•	rees; review transfers associated with
				funding of Special Acquisition and bank
				records associate therewith; Consult with M.
				Napoli regarding Receiver's position as
				Locke's fees and Roger's objection, Special
				Acquisition, Gray's deposition; Review
				Gray's Nov 2010 living expense affidavit;
				correspond with J. Lange & C. Cervantes @
				1CB regarding
11/5/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.70	No Charge	Review RV billings and advise accounting as
				to the appropriate allocations associated with
				the Court's Order (.4); ensure all pre-August
				outstanding A/R was zeroed out (.3)
11/5/10	Napoli, M. D.	8.20	3,710.50	Prepare for Gray deposition, teleconference
	.0.			with J Hohengarten; teleconference with M
				Nielsen; e-mail correspondence with J
	(6)			Hohengarten regarding consolidation of
				Harrison case; review Rule 11 regarding
			<b>55.4.00</b>	consolidation
11/6/10	1 <sup>1</sup> pinosa, E. S.	2.30	736.00	Update Receiver's QB file; reconcile
٠. ٥	)			FCBXX32; correspond with P. Dennis
.6				regarding same; correspond with ICB
				regarding loan 5077025; correspond with L.
			N. 61	Edwards regarding 707 Walnut
1118/10	Brown, A. G.	0.60	No Charge	Review incoming documentation and update
	m1 . 1 **	0.10	N 68	client files
11/8/10	Dietel, K.	0.10	No Charge	Respond to inquiry from

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 6
December 10, 2010
Invoice: 2700 501

<u><b>Date</b></u> 11/8/10	Attorney Espinosa, E. S.	<u>Hours</u> 2.70	<u>Amount</u> 864.00	Description Reconcile D. Gray's draws from Generations C.U.; correspond with J. Donaldson at GCU regarding November living experies; Correspond with P. Dennic G. KD regarding same; Coording. and review web updates with G. Quinones, T/C with P. Maule @ASG regarding nedical update request letter, email K. Hendrick et.al. regarding same; Consepond w/ regarding Burn Cae; Consult with A. Brown regarding T.V. resolutions; Review correspondence from C. Cervantes @ 1CB regarding the
11/8/10	Napoli, M. D.	8.00	3,620.00	Attend Cichard Gray deposition; confer with M Nielsen; confer with G Weisbart
11/8/10	Napoli, M. D.	4.50	No Charge	Provel to Austin (2.5); travel to Dallas (2.0)
11/9/10	Brown, A. G.	1,00	181.00	conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from investor
11/9/10	Brown, A. G.	1.00	No Charg	Review and organize documents in preparation for filing Second Application for
			0,	Fees by Receiver w/Exhibit and Receiver's Certification of No Objections to Second Motion for Approval of Retention and payment of Professionals with court and file same
11/9/10	Cunningham, E.	1.71	415.40	Telephone conference with J. Bettersworth regarding letter sent to T. draft e-mail to M. Napoli and E. Espinosa regarding letter to T. telephone conference with R. Gray, B. Bishop and M. Napoli regarding allegations
11/9/10	Dietel, K.	0.20	No Charge	Review response to Open Records request; review cease and desist order from Texas Banking Commissioner
11/9/10	Pspinosa, E. S.	7,40	2,368.00	Consult with C. Cerantes at 1CB regarding 3/5 and 3/8 \$800k transaction; meet with M. Nielsen regarding Thursday's meeting with Barry and S. Schwarz; review Loan 5077025 and forward same to BKD; review update from L. Edwards regarding 707 N. Walnut; correspond with J. Donaldson at GCU regarding Gray November draw; review correspondence regarding graphs; correspond with W. Rogers and B. Bishop regarding living expense disbursements; consult with M. Napoli regarding Kiesling

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 7 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 23 x 501

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description ; review.
11/9/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.80	3,077.00	regarding Gray depo; mest with M Nielsen; prepare for Kiesling presentation; interview R. Gray regarding With G Weisbart
11/10/10	Cunningham, E.	0.40	97.74	Complete wage Straction for T. draft letter to Pounsylvania unemployment office regarding wage verification.
11/10/10	Espinosa, E. S.	10.20	3,264.00	Outline p esentation for KPKF's carrier; prepare powerpoint presentation; reconcile "shortfa."; working session with M. Napoli regal "g same; correspond with S. Adams at Walls Fargo, N.B.; review correspondence norm Austin regarding Rainmaker; telephone conference with ATT regarding xxx-889
11/10/10	Napoli, M. D.	10.00	4,525.00	Teleconference with M Nielsen; prepare Kiesling presentation; prepare for settlement conference with Kiesling's counsel and insurer
11/10/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.70	364.27	Review status of demand letters and responses from licensees
11/11/10	Brown, A. G.	0.90	162.90	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from of Strasburger Price and conduct research regarding inquires; draft email to E. Espinosa and M. Napoli regarding discussion with update pleading files
11/11/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.40	No Charge	Telephone conference with M Napoli regarding update and strategy;
11/11/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.10	1,312.00	Preparation for and meet with KPKF's carrier; telephone conference with ; review correspondence from ; review correspondence from S.  Rose; consult with K. Henderson regarding status report; PCL 7140; A. Cullen regarding JSSRV 1236, no lapse Guarantee; correspond with P. Dennis; process A/P run; consult with A. Brown regarding e. Murphy; working session with M. Napoli regarding LE's; maturities, tax applications; B. Bbishop;
11/11/10	Napoli, M. D.	4.50	2,036.25	Prepare for and attend meeting with counsel and insurer for Kiesling; teleconference with ASG regarding policy and updated

## K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 8
December 10, 2016
Invoice: 22 or 561

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description health information; review latest NCIE certificates; review letters from T Quinlin; e- mail correspondence to G Weischungarding same; e-mail correspondence with J Gillum regarding hearing dates, confer with M Dietz regarding hearing dates, onsider effect of maturity on portfolio
11/12/10	Brown, A. G.	0.80	144.80	regarding in regarding discussion with J. upuate files
11/12/10 11/12/10	Cunningham, E. Espinosa, E. S.	0.10 3.80	No Charge 1,216.00	Review letter received from counsel.  Tole, he ne conference with regarding request for data; correspond with cullen regarding JSSRV1236; consult with M. Napoli regarding Weisbart's timeframe for objections, and telephone conference with Mrs.  Deininger at IRS regarding 941 correspondence; review and data along with Andrews & Kurth 5/5/10 letter regarding unrecorded wires
11/12/10	Napoli, M. D.	4.50	2,036.25	E-mail correspondence with G Weisbart regarding 2d Fee App; prepare response to Vitek letter regarding TBF Financial/HCF lease; prepare response to regarding investor ; legal research regarding ; review and consider response to investor communications regarding and review and client files; consider impact of on late investors; confer with E Espinosa regarding same; review and consider response to letter from A Karpf regarding T
11/15/17	Brown, A. G.	1.00	181.00	Review incoming documentation and update files; conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from;
1775/10	Cunningham, E.	0.40	97.74	Strategize with M. Napoli regarding response to attorney's letter of November 12; gather correspondence related to EEOC charge.
11/15/10	Espinosa, E. S.	1.50	480.00	Consult with M. Napoli regarding continuing Gray's deposition, coverage;

# K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description correspond with BKD regarding project management; respond to P. Viteb's correspondence regarding TBF for ancial
11/15/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.80	3,077.00	Correspondence with M F llor regarding insurance; teleconference and correspondence with D linmons regarding claim; review coverage; confer with E Cunninghor regarding response to demand; e- narl correspondence with C Bradford regarding claim; correspondence with M Quinn regarding ; e-mail correspondence with P Richter and S Rosen regarding disclosur acknowledgment; research confer with E Espinosa regarding and e; review/revise response provided to the regarding to the regardin
11/15/10	Quinn, M. J.	1,40	728.53	Telephone conferences and correspondence with licensees in response to demand letters;
11/16/10	Brown, A. G.	0.90	102.90	confer with M. Napoli Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from investor ; review incoming documentation; update files
11/16/10	Cunningham, E.	0.16	No Charge	Draft e-mail to M. Napoli forwarding correspondence regarding EEOC claim.
11/16/10	Espinosa, E. S.	6.80	2,176.00	T/C with P. Dennis and R. Kipp regarding RV's books and records, accounting issues, 2009/2010 tax filings & project planning; T/C with regarding his proposition and data requirements; Consult with M. Napoli regarding inquiries from Research files and funding records; Review response to P. Vitek regarding TBF Financial; Review RV QB files and correspond with BKD regarding deposit entries for Review RV Review K. Hensley's "pending" reconciliation.
11, 6/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.50	2,941.25	Teleconference with M Nielsen regarding Kiesling and escrow; Research Investor n; e-mail correspondence with A regarding and ; teleconference with C Bradford regarding various issues; research

Page: 9
December 10, 2010
Invoice: 23 Jc 501

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 10
December 10, 2010
Invoice: 23 Jt 50 1
Description
; telecon reace
with K Kennedy regarding Rosen letter;
confer with E Espinosa regarding Kiesling
and ; finalize letter to visk regarding
TBF lease; confer with E Canaingham
regarding insurance and response to A Karpf
Telephone conferencesd correspondence
from licensees and ounsel for licensees;
update status che t
Telephone con a lence with
regarding be, medical condition; consult with
M. Napo re, arding BDK document request;
's document request; consult with P.
Riley regarding coordination with D. Kipp;
corre and with A. Cullen regarding
data request; consult with A. Brown
n garding response to investors; respond to
(investor) inquiry; correspond
with L. Spark regarding payroll records from
ADP; review ASG maturity reports;
correspond with K. Henderson regarding
same; correspond with R. Kipp regarding
contact information and due diligence;
D. Combine of a state of the A. Outland of the D.

contact information and due dingence,	
correspond with M. Quinos regarding I	).
Kozick's representation	
Research regarding ownership of	
; research regarding	
; confer with E Espino	sa
regarding and status o	
policy; review/revise invoice for	or fee
app 3; correspondence with A Goldate	
regarding investors and RV payroll; rev	/iew
correspondence from investors; factual	
research regarding tax issues and respo	nd to
questions from accountants;	
Telephone conferences and correspond	ence
with licensees and attorneys for license	es
concerning demand letters; research rel	ated
to license requirements	
Telephone conference with Eddy Espin	iosa to
discuss tax issues related to the Insuran	ice
contracts; review the Master Escrow	
Agreement, a form of the Policy Purch	ase
Agreement and other related materials.	
Telephone conference with M. Napoli	
regarding insurance and response to	
counsel.	

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount
11/16/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.80	416.30
11/17/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.40	1,728.00
			*.
			Q
			Co.
11/17/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.26	3,258.00
	4	10	
	29		
11/17/10	Quinn, M. J.	2.50	1,300.95
11/17/19	Riley, P.	1.70	846.18
O			
11/18/10	Cunningham, E.	0.10	No Charge

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

<b><u>Date</u></b> 11/18/10	Attorney Espinosa, E. S.	<u>Hours</u> 4.80	<u>Amount</u> 1,536.00	Description Consult with P. Dennis regarding it vector Data; review investor list and compile
11/18/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.80	3,529.50	review Chase alerts regarding. P; consult with M. Napoli regarding document request; download WF Ttalments for BKD Research regarding existence of confer with E Espinosa regarding same and planning; onfer with E Cunningham regarding review records
				regarding T employment
11/18/10	Riley, P.	0.80	398.20	Review t. e fc m of Policy Purchase Agreement and other related materials.
11/19/10	Cunningham, E.	0.10	No Charge	Telephonic call to A. Karpfs office regarding The charge.
11/19/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.30	1,696.00	R view Wells Fargo Statements and transmit D. Dennis; consult with M. Napoli
			+_	regarding C. Bradford's request for
				inspection; review Asset Growth's
				participation as a Selling Licensee as
			<b>O</b> *	approved to a "Sponsoring Licensee"; correspond with M. Quinn to Mr. Napoli
				regarding same; correspond with P. Dennis
				regarding BKD invoice/; review K&L
				invoice; draft Fee Application #3 to
				Receivers' Affidavit; correspond with
11/19/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.70	2,036.25	review HIPAA and LE updates Review and consider response to request for
11/19/10	Napon, M. D.	4.000	2,030.23	inspection from C Bradford; research
				records; review responses by
		*		research Asset Growth and Protection;
				analysis of alleged exemption to registration; confer with E Espinosa regarding same;
				continue research of
				; confer with E Espinosa
				regarding same
11/22/10	Cumingham, E.	1.00	244.35	Review e-mails regarding T. telephone
				conference with A. Karpf regarding T. charge and initial demand; telephone
				conference with M. Napoli regarding
				conversation with A. Karpf.
111.72/10	Espinosa, E. S.	1.80	576.00	Correspond with regarding
				; consult with M. Napoli
				regarding hearing dates; review Fee Application #3; correspond with chase
,				regarding W. Rogers December expenses;
				reconcile disbursement

Page: 11 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 2° Jr 50 1

	ate of Texas vs Retir tter #: 1203981.0000		e LLC, et. al.	Page: 12 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 27 Jt 50 1
<u>Date</u> 11/22/10	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 4,50	<u>Amount</u> 2,036.25	Description Review and revise fee app 3; conterwith E Espinosa; teleconference with M Nielsen; e- mail correspondence with C Braitford regarding hearing and deposition dates; confer with E Cunningham regarding discussions with A Kar, f; correspondence with J Parsons regarding hearing dates;
11/22/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.80	416.30	related issues
11/23/10	Brown, A. G.	0.70	126.70	Conduct nd espond to telephone inquiry from investor review incoming documentation; update files
11/23/10	Espinosa, E. S.	6.30	2,016.00	Review draft Fee App #3; Correspond w/ J.  Wine orener regarding Rogers disbursements;  Note with ASG's population of data for  T/C with regarding same;  Consult with M. Quinn & M. Napoli regarding licensees, claims for  Review K. Henderson maturities update table; Reconcile Nov billing issues regarding eDat and administrative expense; Correspond with AT&T regarding amount of final claim for all RV accounts; Monitor e-chatter regarding hearing dates and continuation of Gray's deposition, consult with M. Napoli regarding same.
11/23/10	Napoli, M. D.  Quinn, M. J.	2.80	1,855.25 1,457.06	Confer with J Hardin regarding Kiesling coverage issues; prepare fee app 3; teleconference with J Hohengarten; e-mail correspondence with A Boyer regarding licensees; teleconference with M Quinn regarding licensees; e-mail correspondence with C Bradford regarding Gray and Rogers depositions; e-mail correspondence with P Richter, G Weisbart regarding deposition transcript; review transcript regarding transcript; review weekly update from ASG; analyze and prepare memo regarding latest ISC LE calculations  Analysis of issues related to strategy for
<b></b> . • •			·	negotiating with licensees; conference call with E. Espinosa and M. Napoli;

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Client/Mai	tter #: 1203981.000	101		Invoice: 2° x 501
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description correspondence with counsel for heart ees
11/24/10 11/24/10	Boyer, A. L. Espinosa, E. S.	0.30 4.60	No Charge 1,472.00	Confer with E. Espinosa and M. Napoli Review revised invoice; review I ce App #3 and Affidavit; consult with A. Layer and M. Napoli regarding ; consult with M. Napoli regarding ; consult with M. Napoli regarding ; correspond with ; review CT V's Notice of Appearance; telephone conference with regarding is analysis
11/24/10	Napoli, M. D.	3.50	1,583.75	Review and consider response to correspondence by S Rosen regarding claim; e-man correspondence with regarding depo exhibits; teleconference with A 20,000 regarding licensees; analysis of and legal research regarding some;
11/24/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.20	624.46	Feview and respond to correspondence from counsel for licensees in response to demand letters
11/29/10	Espinosa, E. S.	2,80	896.00	Review BKD invoice for September fees; Review ASG invoice for October services; Review premium remittance advice for Dec premiums; Correspond with A. Cullen regarding LE provider; Correspond with P. Dennis regarding accounting entries for Rogers December living expenses and December premiums; Transfer funds among Wells Fargo accounts and to ASG for Dec premiums; Review correspondence and provide same to ASG.
11/29/10	Quinn, M. J.	2.20	1,144.84	Telephone conference and correspondence with attorneys representing licensees; research and analysis of related issues; correspondence to M. Napoli and E. Espinosa
11/30/10	Cunaingham, E.	0.20	48,87	Draft e-mails to regarding
11/30/1)	Dietz, M.S.	1.00	506.80	Review objections to Second Fee Application and telephone conference with E Espinosa and M Napoli regarding same
117.0/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.80	1,536.00	Correspond with A. Goldate regarding RV's ; Review Roger's amended objection to LLB&L's fee app; Finalize Fee App #3 and submit for filing; T/C with J. Hohengartner & M. Napoli

regarding open matters; Review draft

Page: 13 December 10, 2010

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 14
December 10, 2016
Invoice: 23 J. 561

<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
				response to S. Rosen's 11/24 letter, Correspond with TWC regarding 2Q2010 filing; Telecon with Santiago regarding
				same; Review Weisbart's, Rad ord's and Rosen's objections to Fee op #2; Consult with M. Napoli and M. Datz regarding same.
11/30/10	Napoli, M. D.	4.00	1,810.00	Prepare correspondence S Rosen regarding claim; teleconference with J
				Hohengarten; e-n an correspondence with C Bradford regar 23 14 depositions and
				documents: c hall correspondence with A
				Goldate i gai ling
				review objections to fee application; confer with F aminosa; teleconference with E
				Espineral and M Dietz; research and analysis
				recarding

TOTAL HOURS

290.10

TOTAL FOR SERVICES

\$105,615.90

1	ri	ſΝ	Æ	F.	ĸ	E)	R)	Þ	F.	D	S	T	i.	AT N	И	Δ	RY	7
J		ш	1	r,	n.	ועים	r.	Г.	Ľ	л	. 17	u	11	ш	YI.	<b>~</b> 1	. 18.	L

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Quinn, M. J.	13.60	520.38	7,077.17
Dietz, M.S.	4.90	506.80	2,483.32
Riley, P.	2.50	497.75	1,244.38
Napoli, M. D.	121.30	452.50	54,888.25
Dietel, K.	5.50	348.43	1,916.37
Espinosa, E. S.	106.10	320.00	33,952.00
Cunningham, E.	4.00	244.35	977.41
Brown, A. G.	17.00	181.00	3,077.00
Total for All 1, nekeepers	290.10	\$364.07	\$105,615.90

### K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Current Charges

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 15 December 10, 2010 Invoice: 200 601

INVOICE TOTAL

<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
105,615.90	0.00	105,615.90

TOTAL BALANCE DUE THIS INVOICE \$105,615.90

PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 9, 2011



DALLAS OFFICE 214.939.4906 TEL 212.939.4949 FAX eddy.espinosa@klgates.com

### Eduardo S. Espinosa

#### AREAS OF PRACTICE

Mr. Espinosa's practice includes corporate, domestic and international business transactions, mergers & acquisitions, securities and securities enforcment. His practice includes the formation and governance of corporations partnerships, joint ventures, and limited liability companies; mergers and acquisitions; and the financing of business entities, including private and public freeing of securities, project financing, loan transactions, and letters of credit. For Espinosa has advised public companies on their public reporting requirement, and has represented various market participants before the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission in enforcement proceedings. In addition to his domestic practice, Mr. Espinosa has advised foreign and domestic entities on international commercial transactions and foreign investments, including U.S.-Mexico crost-border real estate transactions.

#### PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

Mr. Espinosa advises clients with the 'enefit of his experience in the government, public and private sectors. Mr. Espinosa began his legal career as an Enforcement Attorney with the Securities and Exphange Commission, where he investigated and prosecuted violations of the fersional securities laws. In private practice, Mr. Espinosa has represented clients in a rankinude of commercial transactions ranging from the enterprise-wide to the operational levels. In addition, he has served as General Counsel to a multi-million sollar distribution company and Senior Transactional Counsel to a multi-national telecommunications company. Mr. Espinosa compliments his legal credentials with a Masters of Business Administration and significant accountage experience. He is also fluent in Spanish.

#### PROFESSIONAL/CIVIC ACTIVITIES

- Louisians State Bar
- State Bar of Texas

#### CC JR. ADMISSIONS

- Supreme Court of Louisiana
- Supreme Court of Texas
- United States Court for the Northern District of Texas

#### **BAR MEMBERSHIPS**

Louisiana Texas

#### **EDUCATION**

J.D., Tulane University School of Law, 1995 M.B.A.; Tulane University, 1995 B.B.A., University of Texas, 1987



DALLAS OFFICE 214.939.4927 TEL 214.939.4949 FAX michael.napoli@klgates.com

### Michael D. Napoli

#### AREAS OF PRACTICE

Mr. Napoli practices commercial and securities litigation. The matters on which Mr. Napoli has recently worked include defense of securities litigation regarding convertible securities on behalf of an investor in small public or manies; defense of a director of a public company accused of a breach of fiduciary duty; defense of a brokerage firm in cases involving a Ponzi scheme; prosecution of litigation on behalf of an automotive finance company against the servicer of the loan portfolio; prosecution of patent infringement and antitrust litigation on behalf of vending machine company; and defense of litigation claiming intringement of patents relating to oil field tools.

#### **COURT ADMISSIONS**

- U.S. Court of Federal Claims
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit
- U.S. District Court, Southern, Nothern and Eastern Districts of Texas
- Supreme Court of Texas

#### BAR MEMBERSHIP

Texas

#### **EDUCATION**

J.D., University of Texas, 1991 (with High Honors; Member, Texas Law Review; Member, Ord r. f the Coif; Member, Chancellors (Grand Chancellor, 1990-1991))

B.A., Baylor U. Fersity, 1988 (with Honors; Phi Beta Kappa)