Filed
11 April 11 P4:54
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza
District Clerk
Travis District
D-1-GV-10-000454

CAUSE NO. D-1-GV-10-000454

IN THE DISTRICT COURT STATE OF TEXAS, § Plaintiff, v. RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC, RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a Texas Limited Liability Company, TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and WENDY ROGERS. Defendants, AND **KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, &** FREE, P.C., 126th JUDICIAL DISTRICT Relief Defendant.

FIFTH APPLICATION FOR FEES BY THE RECEIVE'X AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL

Eduardo S. Espinosa, con '-appointed temporary receiver for Retirement Value, LLC, files his second application for 'es incurred by the Receiver and his counsel, K&L Gates, LLP.

BACKGROUND

On May 5, 2010, the State of Texas filed this suit against Retirement Value, Richard H. Gray and Bruce Collins alleging that they had perpetrated a \$77 million securities fraud and seeking tengorary and permanent injunctive relief, restitution for the losses suffered by investor, penalties and other monetary relief. On that date, the Court entered an order appointing Eduardo S. Espinosa of K&L Gates, LLP as Receiver. The State subsequently amended its suit to include Wendy Rogers as a defendant, and to seek a receivership over two additional entities affiliated with the defendants.

On May 28, 2010, the Court entered a temporary injunction (the "Agreed TI") against Gray and Retirement Value and continued the Receiver's appointment. In the Agreed TI, the Court directed and authorized the Receiver to, among other things:

- (a) to take possession of and preserve all books, records and assets of Retirement Value and all assets derived from the operations of Retirement Value;
- (b) to attempt to effect fair restitution to the investor-victims; a.d.
- (c) to assist the State in its investigation of the Defendants and others.

The temporary injunction and the receivership will remain in place until the end of the trial of this matter, which is currently scheduled for May 16, 2011 but will likely be postponed until July 2011.

To assist the Receiver in the performance of these duties, the Agreed TI authorizes the Receiver to "to hire employees, contractors, consultants, accountants, attorneys, legal assistants, or other assistants under terms to be determined by the Receiver, whose services in the sole discretion of the Receiver, are necessary for an efficient and accurate administration of the receivership estate." Agreed TI at 14, ¶8. To that end, the Receiver has retained the law firm of K&L Gates, LLP to represent Finn in connection with this case, to assist him in the performance of his duties and to prosecute or defend litigation on behalf of Retirement Value.¹

By its Order Regarding the First Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel entered or October 26, 2010 (the "Fees Order"), the Court modified the basis by which the Receiver 1.d his counsel are paid. Pursuant to the Fees Order, the Receiver shall charge an hourly 1.2% of \$320 per hour and the Receiver's counsel shall discount its rates by 9.5% from its the current hourly rates in effect as of the time services are rendered beginning on August 1,

2

The Receiver has also retained other professionals to assist him. An application to pay the fees of those professionals is the subject of a separate application.

2010. Fees Order at 2. Moreover, the Receiver and his counsel are to submit to the Court and to the parties of record their request for payment of fees. If no party of record files an object of to the request for payment within ten days from the filing of the request for payment, then the Receiver shall pay the amount of the request from funds he holds in the receiver hip estate. Any objection must state with specificity the particular items of the Receiver's request to which the objection is made. If an objection is made, the Receiver shall not pay the contested portion of the invoice until a hearing has been held on the objection, but the Receiver may pay the portions of the request to which no objection is made. *Id*.

APPLICATION FOR PROFESSIO (AL FEES

By this Application, the Receiver seeks approval from the Court to pay from the assets of the Receivership the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel, K&L Gates, LLP, for the time period from December 1, 2010 through Fabruary 28, 2011. The Receiver has incurred fees of \$74,366 during the period covered by this Application. He has retained the legal services of K&L Gates, which has incurred fees of \$281,591 for the three-month period covered by this Application. Affidavit of Eduard S. Espinosa ("Espinosa Affid.") at ¶11 (attached as Exhibit 1). While substantial, these fees were both reasonable and necessary.

The fees charged by the Receiver and his counsel represent a discount of 22.5% from the usual and customary the charged by K&L Gates. As a general matter, the charge for the services provide thy K&L Gates is determined by multiplying the total number of hours worked by each timesteeper by that timekeeper's billing rate. *Id.* at ¶6. In this case, the billing rate of each timesteeper was discounted from the usual and customary rates charged by K&L Gates. The deceiver is charging \$320/hour, which represents a 30% discount from his usual and customary rate of \$455/hour. In addition, K&L Gates has discounted its rates by 9.5% and further discounted its bills by approximately \$45,924.32. In the aggregate the discounts and

write-offs associated with this Fee Application amount to \$75,483.61. Espinosa Affid at ¶6. The chart below summarizes the fees charged and the discounts applied.

Invoice Summary					
Services Rendered in:		<u>December</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fees Requested					
Matter .00001		\$110,409.97	\$131,272.61	\$96,576.13	\$33ბ,∠58.71
Matter .00003		<u>\$2,953.05</u>	<u>\$11,246.58</u>	<u>\$3,468.75</u>	3 <u>17,668.38</u>
Total		\$113,363.02	\$142,519.19	\$100,044.28	\$355,927.09
	Rate				
Receiver Incurred		\$40,320.00	\$33,943.00	\$28.0,`3.ວບ	\$102,336.50
Receiver Billed	\$320.00	\$30,720.00	\$23,872.00	\$19,744.00	\$74,336.00
Receiver adj		(\$9,600.00)	(\$10,071.00)	(4),229.50)	(\$28,000.50)
KLG Incurred	various	\$109,782.74	\$144,083.10	103,208.85	\$357,074.70
KLG Billed	various	\$82,643.02	\$118,647.19	\$80,300.88	\$281,591.09
KLG adj.	(9.5%)	(\$8,675.23)	(\$12,454 08)	(\$8,429.37)	(\$29,559.29)
Write-offs		(\$18,464.49)	(\$12,981.23)	(\$14,478.60)	(\$45,924.32)
Total Adj		(\$27,139.72)	(\$25,4.5.91)	(\$22,907.97)	(\$75,483.61)

The reasonableness of the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel should be examined in light of the challenges faced by the estate, the work necessary to administer the estate and the accomplishments of the Receiver to date. The estate is large, with significant money, assets and claims against it. It is also complicated to administer. As a result, the Receiver has been required to explaid significant time and resources to identify, collect and preserve assets and to determine who is owed money by the estate and against whom the estate may have significant and valuable claims. These efforts have born significant fruit, with substantial recoveries are dy received by the estate.

In December, January and February, the Receiver and his counsel devoted substantial attention to the resolution of claims by and against the estate. The significant tasks during this time period include

Investigation, assertion and negotiation of claims against Kiesling Porter. These negotiations have recently led to a \$710,000 settlement with Kiesling Porter on behalf of the Receiver, the State and a class of investors lead by the Intervenors. In the coming weeks, we will request court approval of the settlement.

- Preparation of claims against Dick Gray and Wendy Rogers to recover the funds they received from Retirement Value. We have reached a settlement with I ick Gray resulting in the return of cash and property worth about \$650,000 in the coming weeks, we will request court approval of this settlement. We have also engaged in substantive negotiations with Wendy Rogers but have been unable to reach an agreement.
- Assertion of a claim for death benefits under policy PLI140-111109-DM in the amount of \$10 million. After receiving the Receiver's claim for benefits, Pacific Life Insurance Company raised a number of questions about the propriety of the transfer of the policy from the original owners to James Statement Services. We have investigated the transfer from the original owner to James Settlement and have worked with Pacific Life's counsel as well as counsel for the insured's family to resolve these questions. This matter has been resolved, and the Pacific Life has remitted the policies face value plus in a rest from the insured's date of death to the Receiver.
- Litigation with a set of investors attempting to receive a preferential payout in advance of other investors. After an evidentiary hearing, the court ruled in favor of the Receiver.
- Completion of the updated life expectancy analyses on the insureds in the portfolio and review of the initial analysis of the portfolio by the actuaries.
- Incorporation of the updated life expectancies into the actuarial analysis and substantial progress on modering the portfolio's projected cash flows.
- Analyzed the fiscal and tax implications associated with Retirement Value's organizational structure.

The work by the Receiver and his counsel has entered into a new phase. Initially, the Receiver undertook to investigate the business of Retirement Value, to collect the assets readily available to it and to put in place interim measures to protect the value of those assets. That work is largely complete. Over the last two months and continuing in future months, the Receiver is a crking on two major initiatives. The first is to develop and execute upon a long-term plan for the portfolio of insurance policies that maximizes the value of those policies. The second is to resolve and collect upon the substantial claims that the estate has against various parties. Both initiatives are under way.

The key variable to the success of the estate and ultimately the restitution paid to the investors is the performance of portfolio of insurance policies owned by Retirement Va'ue. Maximization of the value of the portfolio depends upon the expected cash flow to a w from the policies (premiums paid and benefits received) as well as on the structure of the portfolio itself.

To determine the expected cash flow from the portfolio, the Receiver vih the assistance of the estate's portfolio servicer, ASG, has obtained updated life expectancy calculations for each of the insureds. In order to have the best possible life expectancy calculations, we have requested that each of the insureds consent to the doctors releasing medical information to us. Although each insured is contractually obligated to provide ur dated medical information and to execute the consents necessary for their doctors to release that information to us, a number of insureds have delayed returning the consents to us and, in a few cases, outright refused to return the consents. This lack of cooperation happered our efforts to obtain new life expectancy calculations and required additional effortive ASG, the Receiver and his counsel. We have now obtained new life expectancy calculations for all of the remaining 43 insureds.² The new life expectancy calculations were forwarded to Lewis & Ellis, the estate's actuarial consultants, to prepare cash flow projection for each policy and the portfolio as a whole. We expect to issue a report this month which will discuss some of these issues in greater detail.

The Receiver and his counsel have also been working to determine the best way to structure of the partfolio so that the Receiver can maximize the assets available for distribution and distribute them in a legally appropriate and equitable manner. Based on his research and the results of the new life expectancy certificates available so far, the Receiver believes that the best country of action is to consolidate the portfolio so that all claimants share in all of the assets of the

In a few instances, the decision was made to secure updated life expectancy certificates, even though updated medical records were not provided or available.

estate. The Receiver previously sought permission from the Court to do so, but agreed to postpone resolution of the matter until the actuarial analysis was completed. The Re eiver anticipates that he will re-urge the Court for permission to consolidate the portfolicy vitnin the next few months. The Receiver's proposal is not without controversy and additional litigation before the Court will be necessary to determine the appropriate structure of the portfolio and the most equitable method of distributing assets to the claimants. The kereiver is engaged in ongoing discussions with various groups of investors regarding this issue.

The Receiver and his counsel are also working to collect on claims owed to the estate. The Receiver has filed suit against David and Elizabeth Gr.y (former owners of Retirement Value) to recover the substantial sums of money nad to them by Retirement Value. This application includes approximately \$17,668.38 in ites that were incurred by the Receiver and Receiver's counsel in that matter. In addition, the Receiver has been engaged in negotiations with various other parties, including some iconsees, towards the settlement of the estate's claims against them. Further, the Receiver has begun to make demand upon the various licensees who sold investments in the Resale Use Insurance Policy Program.³ If the various negotiations currently in progress are not concluded in the near future, the Receiver will file additional suits to collect amounts owed to the estate.

Because of the expense and risk inherent in litigation, the Receiver is taking a deliberate approach toward the claims of the estate. As a general matter, the Receiver is engaging in negotiations with those against whom the estate has claims rather than immediately filing suit. Morec 'ea, the Receiver is concentrating his initial efforts on claims that are either the most likely

³ The Receiver has recently retained the law firm of George & Brothers, LLP to prosecute claims

against the licensees. George & Brothers will be paid a contingency fee based on the amount fat recovered from the licensees. The Receiver will pay reimbursable expenses up to \$50,000 out of pocket and any additional expenses will be paid out of any recovery from the licensees.

to succeed or which provide for the largest potential recovery, including engaging in mediation with certain parties. After consultation with the State and the Intervenors, we have decided to retain contingency fee counsel to prosecute claims against the licensees.

In addition to the work on the two initiatives discussed above, the Peceiver and his counsel have been engaged in various other matters for the estate.

ARGUMENT

The Receiver's administrative costs, including his fee and that of his counsel, are to be paid out of the funds and other assets of the estate.⁴ These costs are considered costs of court and have priority over all other claims against the estate. *Ioi dan v. Burbach*, 330 S.W.2d 249 (Tex. Civ. App. – El Paso 1959, writ ref'd n.r.e.); *also* Tex. Civ. PRAC. & REM. Code §64.051. The Court should consider the reasonableness of the fees requested by both the Receiver and counsel.⁵

In evaluating the reasonableness of the fees, the Court should consider the following factors: (1) the time and labor involved, ane novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill required to perform the legal services properly; (2) the likelihood that the acceptance of the particular employment will preclude other employment by the lawyer; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services; (4) the amount involved and the results obtained; (5) the time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances; (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client; (7) the experience, reputation, and ability of the lawyer or lawyers performing the services; and (8) whether the fee is fixed or contingent

⁴ The Receiver will pay the fees requested in this application from the Estate's operating accounts which do not include accounts denominated in the names of the individual defendants or ¹ CF.

The Receiver has not acted as his own counsel; therefore all of his time is billed at his "Receiver" rate as opposed to a higher rate for his services as an attorney. Espinosa Affid. at ¶4.

on results obtained or uncertainty of collection before the legal services have been rendered. Arthur Andersen & Co. v. Perry Equip. Corp., 945 S.W.2d 812, 818 (Tex.1997). support the award of the requested fees.

Time, labor, skill & complexity. By its nature, a receivership proceeding is unique and complicated. As discussed above, this receivership is particularly complicated the to its size, the assets involved, the poor record keeping of Retirement Value and the reer number of people involved (900 investors, 1,000 licensees, 18 insurance companies and several banks). To properly administer the estate requires a high degree of skill and diligence. Moreover, the Receiver and his counsel have had to devote significant im; to this matter. The exact time expended and work performed by the Receiver and his counsel are shown on the invoices attached to the Espinosa Affidavit. In addition, the Receiver's Initial Report previously filed with the Court summarizes the work of the R :ce ver and his counsel.

Preclusion of other employment. K&L Gates has not had to decline any representation solely because of its services in this case. However, because of the magnitude of the effort required, the Receiver and certain individual K&L Gates professionals working on this matter have been largely precluded from working on other matters.

Customary fees. An attorney's usual and customary fees are presumed to be reasonable. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & Rev. CODE § 38.003. The fees charged by K&L in this case are the usual and customary fees the it charges to and collects from its clients for the services of the attorneys and other profess nals working on this matter, except that the Receiver is charging 30% less than his

⁶ Cert in older cases have described the factors used to consider the reasonableness of a

receiver's fee using slightly different terminology. See Taylor v. Taylor, 91 S.W.2d 394, 397-98 (Te., Civ. App. – Amarillo 1936, no writ). However, the factors used by these cases incorporate and of the same considerations set out in the Arthur Anderson factors. In order to simplify this population, the Receiver has used the Arthur Anderson framework to discuss the reasonableness of his fees and those of his counsel.

usual and customary rate and K&L Gates is charging 9.5% less than its usual and customary rates on all other timekeepers. Espinosa Affid. at ¶6. Further, the court may take judicial 10 ice of customary fees and of the contents of the case file without further evidence. Tex. Cav. Prac. & Rem. Code § 38.004.

Every year, K&L Gates undertakes an analysis of the markets in vin on it operates in order to determine the appropriate fees to charge for its professionals based on the fees charged by its competitors and peer firms. The goal of this analysis is to set rates for each professional at the median rate for professionals at peer firms in similar practices are areas and similar experience. Accordingly, the rates charged by K&L Gates in this matter are well within the norm for firms of its type in Texas. Espinosa Affid. at ¶8.

Amount involved and results obtained. The amount involved in this matter, measured either by the \$77 million invested by the investors or the \$30+ million value of the estate already seized by the Receiver, is very large. Although involved for only six months, the Receiver has already obtained significant results. He recovered the \$1.2 million that the Defendants attempted to secret; settled with Collins for a proximately \$320,000; resolved the outstanding purchase of policies from James Settlem in in a manner favorable to the estate under adverse circumstances; and successfully mediated with the Relief Defendant and Richard H. Gray, recovering additional assets in excess of \$1.2 million for the estate. The Receiver also recovered \$10 million on a policy of insurance after satisfying the objections of the insurer.

Time is of the essence in a receivership. This is particularly true in the initial stages. The efforts undertaken in this case to recover assets, investigate the facts and preceive the portfolio of polices were conducted on an expedited basis.

The nature and length of the professional relationship. This factor cuts no particular way. However, neither the Receiver nor his counsel has any particular relationship with any of the parties involved in this matter. Nor is there any possibility of a future relationship with the estate. By their nature, receiverships are a one-time event. As a result, no discount would normally be appropriate. Nevertheless, K&L Gates has provided a discount of 22.5% off of the fees it would normally charge for the work performed during this time pe 131.

Experience, reputation, and ability of the professionals. K&L Gates is one of the world's premier law firms. It comprises nearly 2,000 lawyers who practice in 37 offices located on three continents. K&L Gates represents leading global corporations, growth and middle-market companies, capital markets participants and entreprene its in every major industry group as well as public sector entities, educational institutions, philanthropic organizations and individuals.

Whether the fee is fixed or contingent. The fees of the Receiver and his counsel are based on upon their hourly rates with a substantial discount. However, the payment of fees depends upon the approval of the court and the availability of assets in the estate – something which could not be known at the time the engagement was accepted and which remain uncertain.

In addition, the Receiver's fees are less than Retirement Value's operating expenses for the four months preceding the receivership. This is significant because the Receiver is tasked with preserving Retirement Value's assets for the benefit of the investors, which requires, among other things, that the Receiver perpetuate certain aspects of Retirement Value's operations. The following tather reflects Retirement Value's expenses for the period of January 1, 2010 through April 20, 2010.

Retirement Value's	January	February	March	April	Average
Expenses					
Payroll	170,140.04	151,665.13	185,159.25	161,598.27	16~ 1 ,0.67
Other Expenses	<u>289,177.90</u>	432,748.48	121,837.00	<u>107,384.87</u>	<u>237,707.06</u>
Total Expenses	<u>459,317.94</u>	<u>584,413.61</u>	<u>306,996.25</u>	<u>268,983.14</u>	<u>4</u> 0 <u>4,927.73</u>

Retirement Value's expenses averaged approximately \$405,000 per morth for the months preceding the receivership. In contrast, the fees sought by the Receiver and his counsel since the receivership's inception have averaged \$158,058.14 per month for the first ten months, a metric which continues to trend downward. By comparison, the fees raquested for services rendered in December 2010, January 2011 and February 2011 are, on average, approximately \$48,500 less than Retirement Value's monthly payroll costs and \$286,000 less than Retirement Value's average monthly expenses in 2010. Moreover, the fees for services rendered during December 2010, January 2011 and February 2011 represent a significant decline from the approximately \$175,000 per month average over the receivership's first seven months.

The Receiver anticipates that his fees and the fees of his counsel will fluctuate over the coming months but continue to trend downward. The amount of fees incurred will depend primarily on the Receiver's success in convincing licensees and others who owe money to the estate to pay without requiring recourse to the courts. It will also depend upon other circumstances beyond the control of the Receiver such as the filing of claims against Retirement Value by investors or non-investor claimants as well as the cooperation of the Defendants. The

_

Arguebly, Retirement Value's operating expenses for April 2010 may be a better proxy for the effort required to maintain the estate because Retirement Value was not soliciting investments in April due to the cease and desist order imposed by the State Securities Board. Even so, the fees sought for the Receiver and his counsel are significantly less than Retirement Value's April operating expenses.

more the Defendants and others cooperate with the Receiver, the lower the fees incurred by the Receiver and his counsel will be. The converse is also true.

Based on the size and complexity of the estate, the difficulties of administ ring it, the efforts expended and the results obtained, the fees requested by the Receiver and his counsel are reasonable and necessary.

ACCORDINGLY, the Receiver requests that this Application by granted in its entirety and that he be authorized to pay the fees requested by him and his counsel from the funds available to the estate

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Napoli State Bar No. 14803400 K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 280 Dallas, Texas 75201 214.939.5500 214.939.5849 (telecopy, michael.napoli@kl3.tes.com

Mary Schaerde D.etz State Bar Nc 03741500 K&L Gate ILP 111 Congress Ave., Suite 900 Austin, Texas 78701 512 462.6800 112 482.6859 (telecopy) mary.dietz@klgates.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE COURT-APPOINTED RECEIVER OF RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above pleading has been served on the following, via certified mail, return receipt requested and e-mail on this the 11th ('17 of April 2011:

Jack Hohengarten Office of the Attorney General Financial Litigation Division 300 W. 15th Street, Sixth Floor PO Box 12548 Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Christopher B. Bradford 2620 Kinney Oaks Ct. Austin, Texas 78704

Spencer C. Barasch Matthew G. Nielsen Andrews Kurth, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Geoffrey D. Weisbart Hance Shari orough, LLP 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500 Austin, Texas 78701

Patrick S. Richter Shannon, Gracey, Ratliff & Miller, LJ 7 98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78701 Alberto T. Garcia III Garcia & Martinez, L.L.P. 5211 W. Mile 17 ½ Road Edinburg, Texas 78541

Michael D. Napoli

Unditical copy of the state of

CAUSE NO. D-1-GV-10-000454

STATE OF TEXAS,	§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT C	ľ
	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
 ,		
V.	§ §	
*•	8	
INTERPRETATION AND STOP STATE THE TITLE	§ .	
RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC,	8	
RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL	§	
COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a	§	
Texas Limited Liability Company,	§ TRAVIS CCUNTY, TEXAS	
HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada		
Limited Liability Company, and	§ §	
WENDY ROGERS,	§	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	8	
Defendants,	§ § §	
Delendants	8	
AND	8	
AND	8	
	8	
KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, &		
FREE, P.C.,		
	§	
Relief Defendant.	§ 126 th JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
	▼ -	

AFFIDAVIT C F EDUARDO S. ESPINOSA

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Eduardo S. Espinosa, who is personally known to me, and after being duly sworn according to law, upon his/her oath duly deposed and said:

- 1. My name is Eduardo S. Espinosa. I am over the age of twenty-one (21) years, of sound mind, and fally competent to testify in this cause. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein all of which are true and correct.
- I am a partner in the law firm of K&L Gates, LLP. I was admitted to practice law in the State of Louisiana in 1996 and in the State of Texas in 1999. Prior to entering private practice, I was an Enforcement Attorney with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, where I investigated violations of and enforced the antifraud provisions of the

federal securities laws. Since entering private practice in 1998, I have been counsel to multiple defendants in similar proceedings. I am familiar with the reasonable and customary fees charged by attorneys in this type of matter.

- 3. I am making this Affidavit in support of the Fourth Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel (the "Application").
- 4. Pursuant to the Court's Order of May 5, 2010 and the Agreed Temporary Injunction Order of May 28, 2010 (the "Agreed TI"), I have employed professionals necessary "for an efficient and accurate administration of the receivership estate." To this goal, I have retained the law firm of K&L Gates to represent me in connection with my duties and responsibilities as Receiver and have utilized a number of K&L Gates lawyers and paralegals to assist me therewith. I have not acted as my own counsel.
- 5. Attached to this Affidavit as Exhibit A, B, C, D, E and F are redacted copies of K&L Gates' invoices 2335849, 2335850, 2335853, 2335854, 2353867 and 2353868 respectively (the "Invoices"). The Invoices detail the services performed, from December 1, 2010 through February 28, 2011, by: (a) myself as Receiver; and (b) K&L Gates as Receiver's counsel. At the end of each Invoice is a Timela eper Summary that lists the professional staff that billed time to this matter during the relevant time period, the number of hours billed and their respective rates.
- 6. As a general matter, the charge for the services provided by K&L Gates is determined by multiplying the total number of hours worked by each timekeeper by that timekeeper's hilling rate.

22 5 6 from the usual and customary fees charged by K&L Gates. In this case, the billing rate of each timekeeper was discounted from the usual and customary rates charged by K&L Gates.

The Receiver is charging \$320/hour, which represents a 30% discount from his usual and customary rate of \$455/hour. In addition, K&L Gates has discounted its rates by 9.5% and further discounted its bills by approximately \$45,924.32. In the aggregate the discounts and write-offs associated with this Fee Application amount to \$75,483.61. The chart below summarizes the fees charged and the discounts applied.

Invoice Summary	a de la companya de	140,000			and the same standing
Services Rendered in:	Antonio de la companya del companya del companya de la companya de	December	<u>January</u>	February	<u>Total</u>
Fees Requested				. 1	
Matter .00001		\$110,409.97	\$131,272.61	e0,576.13	\$338,258.71
Matter .00003		<u>\$2,953.05</u>	<u>\$11,246.58</u>	<u>\$3,468.75</u>	<u>\$17,668.38</u>
Total		\$113,363.02	\$142,519.19	\$100,044.88	\$355,927.09
	Rate	and the second		100 mm	en capación de la
Receiver Incurred		\$40,320.00	\$33,945.00	\$28,073.50	\$102,336.50
Receiver Billed	\$320.00	\$30,720.00	\$33,272.00	\$19,744.00	\$74,336.00
Receiver adj		(\$9,600.00)	/ \$: ^, J71.00)	(\$8,329.50)	(\$28,000.50)
KLG Incurred	various	\$109,782.74	\$144,083.10	\$103,208.85	\$357,074.70
KLG Billed	various	\$82,643.03	\$118,647.19	\$80,300.88	\$281,591.09
KLG adj.	(9.5%)	(\$8,673 %)	(\$12,454.68)	(\$8,429.37)	(\$29,559.29)
Write-offs		(\$18 464, 15)	(\$12,981.23)	(\$14,478.60)	(\$45,924.32)
Total Adj		(\$27,1 <u>,</u> ^.72)	(\$25,435.91)	(\$22,907.97)	(\$75,483.61)

- 7. I have personal experience working with every person billing time to this matter, they are each of high quality and their have skills and expertise that are invaluable to assist me in performing my duties and rest ansibilities in this matter.
- 8. The hourly rates set forth in the Invoices are set at a level designed to compensate the firm fairly for my work of its staff and to cover fixed and routine overhead expenses. Such rates are normal and customary in this market for legal professionals with the same level of experience and expertise at comparable legal firms in Texas. Each year, K&L Gates undertakes an analysis of the markets in which it operates in order to determine the appropriate fees to thange for its professionals based on the fees charged by its competitors and peer firms. The goal of this analysis is to set rates for each professional at the median rate for professionals at

peer firms in similar practices areas and similar experience. Accordingly, the rates charged by K&L Gates in this matter are well within the norm for firms of its type in Texas.

- 9. The hourly rates charged are reasonable rates for this case, given: (1) for time and labor involved, the novelty and difficulty of the questions involved, and the skill required to perform the legal services properly; (2) the likelihood that the acceptance of the particular employment will preclude other employment by the K&L Gates professionals; (3) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar services; (4) the amount involved and the results obtained; (5) the time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances; (6) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client; and (7) the experience, reputation, and ability of the professionals performing the services.
- 10. The amount billed for my services coming the three-month time period covered by this application is \$74,336.00. The amount billed for my counsel's professional services during the three-month time period covered by this application is 281,591.09. These amounts were calculated by taking the time billed for each task performed in connection with this case multiplied by the discounted hourly rate for the professional or staff member who performed the task. Based on my experience and knowledge of this matter, the fees charged by myself and my team for work from December 1, 2010 through February 28, 2011 are reasonable.
- 11. I have reviewed K&L' Gates' invoices for services rendered from December 1, 2010 through February 28, 2011. Based on my experience and knowledge of this matter, the work performed by my staff from December 1, 2010 through February 28, 2011 was reasonable and recessary to properly allow me to fulfill my duties and responsibilities in this case.
- 12. In addition, the Receiver's fees are less than Retirement Value's operating expenses for the four months preceding the receivership. This is significant because the

Receiver is tasked with preserving Retirement Value's assets for the benefit of the investors, which requires, among other things, that the Receiver perpetuate certain aspects of Retirement Value's operations. The following table reflects Retirement Value's expenses for the period of January 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010.

Retirement Value's	January	February	March	April	Average
Expenses					
Payroll	170,140.04	151,665.13	185,159.25	161,590.27	167,140.67
Other Expenses	289,177.90	432,748.48	121,837.00	<u> </u>	237,787.06
Total Expenses	<u>459,317.94</u>	<u>584,413.61</u>	<u>306,996.25</u>	<u>268,983.14</u>	404,927.73

Retirement Value's expenses average approximately \$405,0% per month for the months preceding the receivership. In contrast, the fees sought by he Receiver and his counsel since the receivership's inception have averaged \$158,058 per month for the first ten months, a metric which continues to trend downward. By comparis 1, the fees requested for services rendered in December 2010, January 2011, and Februar 2011 are, on average, approximately: (i) \$48,500 less than Retirement Value's monthly payroll costs; and (ii) \$286,000 less than Retirement Value's average monthly expenses is 2010. Moreover, the fees for services rendered during December 2010, January 2011, and rebruary 2011, represent a significant decline (on average, about 34%) from the approximately \$175,000 per month average over the receivership's first seven months.

REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

PHYLLIS C. NALL Notary Public State of Texas My Comm. Expires 11-05-2011 Eduardo S. Espinosa

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this 8th day of Amia 2011.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: JROSE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

Josephicial Constitution of the state of the



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

т 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Matter Desc.: State of Texas vs.
Retirement Value LLC, et. al.
C'i "'/Matter #: 1203981.00001

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered and expenses incurred for your account during the period ending 12/31/2010. <u>Detailed information regarding these fees and expenses is attached.</u>

Current Charges:

Fees

110,409.97

Total Current Charges

\$110,409.97

PAYMENT FOR "CURY, "N. AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE MARCH 20, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to K&L Gates, RCAC, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98104-1158, Reference Invoice: 2335849



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018 T 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

ebruary 18, 2011

Invoice: 2335849

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 12/1/16.

Matter: 1203981.00001

Matter Description: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, *t. al.

Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Lecription
12/1/10	Brown, A. G.	1.90	343.90	and respond to telephone and email
				inquiries from P. Marvin, K. Petrovic, D.
				Eckermann, R. Walthers and J. Jimenz; conduct
				research for documentation requested and prepare
			40	for delivery
12/1/10	Brown, A. G.	1.00	No Charge	Review, analyze and compare Objections to Second
				Application for Fees by the Receiver; prepare
			69	document highlighting various objections by the
				parties for attorney review
12/1/10	Cunningham, E.	0.40	97.74	Telephone conference with Texas Workforce
	8	40		Commission regarding
				unemployment; complete employer response to
	· ·			application for unemployment.
12/1/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.20	608.16	Telephone conference with James Parsons - staff
, _, _,				attorney for Judge Treana-Doyle regarding
				scheduling hearing; email regarding same to James
				Parsons and all attorneys; telephone conference with
				M. Napoli regarding hearing; conference with G.
				Weisbart regarding meeting prior to argument
12/1/10	Es, inosa, E. S.	4.90	1,568.00	Consult with A. Brown regarding analysis of
12, 1, 10	Esp dosa, E. S.	1.50	1,5 00.00	objections, change of beneficiaries; correspond with
				R. Diaz at TWC regarding abatement; consult with
				M. Dietz and M. Napoli regarding pending motions
0				for 12/7 hearing; reconcile AT&T billings to RV, D.
				Gray and HCF; review Wells Fargo Leasing/TBF
				Financial records in preparation for objection to
				Gray's motion; attend to Project Management
				Planning; review ASG maturity report and consult
				with M. Napoli regarding proposed language;
				download WF November statements
				download wi indventor statements

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 2 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

<u>Date</u> 12/1/10	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 6.10	<u>Amount</u> 2,760.25	Description Telephone conference with J Hohengarten (.3); email correspondence with S. Rose and R. Richter regarding Bejock (.2); e-mail correspondence with C Bradford regarding (.1); review/research AT&T accounts for respondence with motion to pay (.2); review/research HBF Fin a grad and Wells Fargo lease for response to motion to pay accounts (.2); prepare response to motion to pay accounts (1.6); confer with M. Diet regarding motions for hearing (.2); review/revise maturity notice (.1); prepare
12/1/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.70	No Charge	response to Poggs motion to release funds (3.1) Review ar a analyze correspondence from attorney
12/1/10	Quimi, IVI. J.	0.70	110 Charge	for several increses in response to demand letters
12/2/10	Brown, A. G.	0.60	108.60	Review recoming documentation and respond to email request from
12/2/10	Dietel, K.	6.60	2,299.64	Dr' ft Answers and Objections to Defendants' Incorpogatories to the State of Texas and Receiver E.
12/2/10	Dietz, M.S.	2.50	1.267.00	Espinosa (1.2); confer with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa regarding Response to Motion to Release Funds (.2); research and analyze case authority for Response to Motion to Release Funds (5.2) Telephone conference with consulting expert
12/2/10	Diotz, Hi.o.	2.50	S	regarding review of attorney bills in preparation for hearing (.8); telephone conference with court administrator regarding hearing schedule (.1); prepare consulting expert agreement (.3); prepare packet of pleadings/motions, etc. for consulting expert (1.3)
12/2/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.60	1,792.00	Telephone conference with M. Napoli, M. Dietz and J. Howard; consult with M. Napoli and K. Dietel regarding objections to defendants' motions; review Bejcek's motion; telephone conference with L. Edwards regarding potential rental income; analyze Roger's Chase funds; consult with M. Napoli regarding KPKF mediation; forward WF November statements to P. Dennis at BKD; review D. Gray's HCF proforma cash flows; review draft response to Roger's motion; consult with M. Napoli in preparation for hearing
12/2/10	Hardin, J.R.	0.60	No Charge	Work session on insurance research with M. Napoli; work session on insurance research with M. Sikes
12/7/19	Napoli, M. D.	7.20	3,258.00	Telephone conference and correspondence with M. Nielsen regarding Kiesling and mediation (.3); email correspondence with G. Weisbart regarding same (.1); confer with E. Espinosa regarding Kiesling mediation (.2); prepare response to Rogers motion to release funds (4.5); prepare response to

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 3 February 18, 201 L Invoice: 2335849

Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
				Gray motion to pay accounts (1.0); e-mail
				correspondence with counsel regarding hearings (.3);
				review/revise Rule 11 regarding interrogatories (.1);
				e-mail correspondence with regarding
				information request (.2); review Bejcek motion to
				release funds (.4); prepar bjection to hearing (.3);
				confer with M. Dietz an 1 E. Espinosa regarding
				objection and motion (.2); telephone conference with
				M. Dietz and J. Her and regarding fee hearing (.5);
				review consulting agreement for J. Howard (.1)
12/2/10	Quinn, M. J.	3.30	No Charge	Research and analyze claims
				from licensees; review correspondence from
				licensees a. d counsel
12/2/10	Sanchez, J. R.	0.60	81.45	Prepare and load exhibits, Confidential Docs from
				AG at deposition transcript to Ringtail database.
12/3/10	Brown, A. G.	4.80	868.80	Review incoming documentation and update
				p. aging and investor files; review and revise letter
			4	Judge regarding Objections to Hearing on Bejcek
				Intervenors Motion to Release Funds, fax to
				chambers and electronically file same; review and
			•	prepare for filing Receiver's Response to Gray's
			60	Motion to Require Payment of Accounts;
			O	electronically file same; draft letters to counsel
				enclosing same and prepare for mailing; prepare
				spreadsheet analysis of objections to Receiver's fee
10/2/10	D' + 1 17	7.50	2 (12 22	in preparation for hearing
12/3/10	Dietel, K.		2,613.23	Review e-mail correspondence from M. Napoli
		1		regarding Objection to Hearing on Bejcek
				Intervenor's Motion to Release Funds from the
				Receiver's Control (.2); prepare correspondence to
				court regarding Objection to Hearing on Bejcek
				Intervenor's Motion, circulate to E. Espinosa and M.
	20			Dietz for comments, finalize same and prepare for
	G			filing with Court (1.3); draft Response to W. Rogers
				Motion to Release Funds and review and analyze
				authority regarding same (4.5); confer with E.
				Espinosa and M. Napoli regarding Response (.3);
e de				confer with E. Espinosa regarding Response to R.
				Gray's Motion to Require Payment of Accounts (.2);
				review and revise Response to R. Gray's Motion to
				Require Payment of Accounts and prepare same for
				filing with court (.8); telephone conference with J.
				Hohengarten's office regarding Rule 11 Agreement
				on extension of time to respond to Defendants'
				Interrogatories to the State of Texas and Receiver
				(.2)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 7 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

Date	Attorney I	Hours	Amount	Description
$\frac{D440}{12/3/10}$	Dietz, M.S.	0.50	253.40	Review letter to judge and emails regarding Bejcek
	,			motion (.2); review email from and to court reporter
				(.1); review emails regarding hearing objection (.1);
				letter to expert (.1)
12/3/10	Espinosa, E. S.	7.40	2,368.00	Compile Fee Application (2 and Objection; compile
			_,- 。 。	HCF Analysis; review RY 3 governing documents,
				Roger's bank account and disbursements; review
				Objection and hearing Bejcek's Motion on 12/7;
				correspond with M. Quinn regarding CA Corp Code
				and registration; review and finalize
				Objection to Gray's Motion to Pay Accounts; review
				and revise Objection to Roger's Motion to Release
				Funds; rev. Rule 11 Agreement regarding Gray's
				Interrog. tories
12/3/10	Napoli, M. D.	1.80	814.50	Revie v correspondence from J Parsons regarding
,_,	- ···· P · · · · · · · ·			her ing; prepare letter to court regarding Bejcek
				m. tion; teleconference with K. Dietel and E.
			* ,	Espinosa regarding response to motion to release
				funds and other matters scheduled for Tuesday
				hearing
12/3/10	Quinn, M. J.	3.80	1,977.44	Research and analysis concerning licensee claim
			~O'	
			O	s; confer with M. Brown; correspondence
		/	7	with E. Espinosa; update licensee contact chart
12/3/10	Sikes, J. M.	4.50	997.79	Research regarding definition of
10/4/10	F : F C	4.10	1 212 00	under TX law.
12/4/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.	1,312.00	Draft Affidavit in Support of Objection and Roger's
				Motion for Release of Funds; review of Objection to
				Fee App #2 Chart; email C. Bradford regarding HCF
12/5/10	Nanali M.D.	0.40	181.00	premiums Povince revise response to motion to release funds
12/5/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.40	181.00	Review revise response to motion to release funds and affidavit in support
12/6/10	Brown, A. G.	1.50	271.50	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from
12/0/10	Diowii, A. G.	1.50	271.30	and (agents); review incoming e-
	\ O			mail from and and draft
				responses to same; review incoming documentation
	1.0			and update pleading files; update client list; review
				and organize documentation in preparation for filing
				with court; file same with court
12/6/10	Dietz, M.S.	3.50	1,773.80	Telephone conference with expert witness regarding
12/6/10	,			documents sent for review (.2); telephone conference
				with court administrator regarding hearing (.2);
				prepare for hearing (1.); meet with G. Weisbart
				regarding hearing (1.4); email to all (.1)
12/6/10	Espinosa, E. S.	6.40	2,048.00	Finalize Objection to Roger's Motion to Release
				Fund and Receiver's Affidavit (1.1); review FCB
				account statement and reconcile with records (.3);

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Invoice: 2335845 Date Attorney Hours Amount Description review Analysis and Objections (.3); compile exhibits and supporting document: regarding hearing (1.2); telephone conference with J. Howard (.4); consult with M. Napoli and M. I etz in preparation for hearing, subsequently joined by J. Howard (1.5): review ISC November in vice and compile A/P run for BKD (.4); consult with A. Brown regarding investor inquiries; address response to (.3); review Defendants applications for attorney fees (.2); review Tovember fees regarding Fee App #4 (.7) 12/6/10 Review Roger's Motion to Quash Subpoena (.2); Espinosa, E. S. 0.50 No Charge attention to *ravel accommodations for 12/7 (.2); monitor counsel correspondence regarding sched ling of 12/7 hearing (.1) 12/6/10 Week Lession with M. Sikes on research findings; Hardin, J.R. 0.80 No Charge review research findings and case law 12/6/10 Napoli, M. D. 2.50 No Charge Travel to Austin 2,986.50 Review/revise response to Rogers motion to release Napoli, M. D. 6.60 12/6/10 funds (.3); review research regarding number of occurrences for Kiesling policy (2.0); prepare for hearings on various motions (2.0); meet with M Dietz and G Weisbart regarding various issues (1.0); prepare J Howard for testimony (.5); prepare E Espinosa for testimony(.8) 177.38 12/6/10 Sikes, J. M. Draft correspondence to and discuss with J. Hardin: research regarding related acts in insurance policies 12/7/10 Brown, A. G. 217.20 Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from D. Lightman, counsel to investor (.5); review incoming documentation (.2); update files (.2) respond to email inquiry from 12/7/10 Dietz, M.S 5.90 2,990.12 Prepare for hearing (.5); meet with all parties and attorneys in Judge Triana's jury room (3.8); revise and circulate proposed order (.2); telephone conference with expert (.2); review emails regarding scheduling hearing (.2) 12/7/10 Es, iuosa, E. S. 6.30 2,016.00 Prepare outline of Case Status Report Presentation (1.3); meet with J. Hohengarten, G. Weisbart, P. Richter, C. Bradford, B. Johnson, M. Dietz and M. Napoli regarding Case Progression Fee App #2, Objections and Motions (4.0); debriefing with M. Napoli and M. Dietz (1.0) Espinosa, E. S. No Charge Travel to/from Austin, TX 5.00 Napoli, M. D. 4.90 2,217.25 Prepare for hearing on fees (1.0); attend conference with counsel regarding settlement, fees, mediation and other issues (3.5); correspondence with M Nielsen regarding Kiesling mediation (.2); confer

Page:

February 18, 201

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
12/7/10 12/8/10	Napoli, M. D. Brown, A. G.	3.10 0.40	No Charge 72.40	with J Hohengarten and E Espinosa regarding claims against licensees and others (.2) Travel to Dallas Review incoming documentation and update
12/8/10	Brown, M. A.	3.50	1,472.90	pleadings files Research regarding securities under California aw and availability of exemption of qualification of sales of securities ; conference with S.
12/8/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.00	506.80	Katz regarding results of research Work on order of 1 transmit to all, follow-up with emails (.8), relephone conference with C. Bradford regarding resistics of getting order to him for his submission, etc. (.2)
12/8/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.10	1,312.00	Corre or indence regarding 12/19 hearing (.4); review dra't proposed order regarding Fee App #2 (.2); review P. Richter's proposed Rule 11 Agreement and consult with M. Napoli regarding same (.3);
			\$ CO.	correspond regarding extension of Gray's time and review his deposition (.2); consult with M. Napoli regarding the \$2.6 million in Wells Fargo main account on 5/5/10; KPKF's allocation of same to various liability accounts; KPKF's transfer of funds from main account to policy specific accounts; facts surrounding Bejcek's deposit and legal effect thereof (.7); review open A/P regarding PS and subset (.2); review RV's records regarding correspond with M. Napoli, A. Goldate and regarding same (.7) Prep work regarding Fee Application #4 (.2); correspond with S. Wodans at Wells Fargo (.1); review Weisbart's Class Action filing (.3); correspond with P. Dennis regarding A/P (.3); review ASG maturity report (.1); review C. Bradford's submission and consult with Napoli regarding same (.4)
12/8/10	Har 🗓 🕻 J.R.	0.90	342.09	Meeting with M. Napoli regarding insurance research
12/8/10	l'a z, S. D.	0.20	No Charge	Discussion and analysis with M. Brown regarding state securities and definition of in relation to
12/8/.0	Napoli, M. D.	8.50	3,846.25	Revise proposed order (.2); respond to request for information from Idaho Securities Department (.6); confer with E. Espinosa regarding Kiesling mediation(.4); confer with J. Hardin regarding Kiesling coverage issues (.8); work on 4th Fee Application(1.0); review and confer with E. Espinosa regarding Bejcek proposed Rule 11 (.2);

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 7 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335849

Data	Attomory	Цопис	Amount	Description
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description
				review and respond to proposed Rule 11 regarding
				objections to 3d Fee App (.1); telephone conferences
				with M. Nielsen regarding mediation (.4); review
				Intervenors class action petition (3); e-mail
				correspondence with G. Wassart regarding same
				(.1); review and revise requiness to Gray
				interrogatories (1.5); review and analyze Defendants
				supplemental memorandum on the 2d Fee App (.4);
				prepare response a time (1.8); confer with E.
				Espinosa regarding KPKF treatment of \$2.6 million
				and effect of per lent (.7)
12/9/10	Boyer, A. L.	0.30	No Charge	Review communications from counsel for Lorin
	• /		S	Corporation and Fellowship; contact each to discuss
				resolution
12/9/10	Brown, M. A.	2.40	No Charge	Research regarding sales of
12.7,10	210 (111, 111, 111,		110 01111 80	sec rules under California law and
				So made dilati cama an ana
				; prepare
				correspondence to M. Quinn summarizing results of
				research
12/9/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.30	152.04	Review and revise letter of submission to judge
12/9/10	Espinosa, E. S.	3.00	96 7.0 0	Review proposed written submission; revise and
12/3/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5.00	707.30	consult with M. Napoli regarding proposed
				modifications (.6); correspond with B. Harloff
			Co	
				regarding his subs invoices (.3); transmit FCB and
				Chase statements to BKD; correspond with P.
		20		Dennis at BKD regarding account reconciliations
		1		(.4); review Gray's monthly Affidavit and Property
				Tax request (.2); record and correspond with CTW
				regarding Rainmaker invoice (.2); consult with M.
				Napoli regarding Gray's TWC benefits (.3); review
				OAG's submission in opposition to Gray's Objection
	0			(.2); review November fee billings (.7)
12/9/10	Napoli, 'n. U.	4.20	1,900.50	Prepare letter to Court regarding Defendants
				objection to 2d Fee App (1.8); confer with M. Dietz
				regarding same (.3); e-mail correspondence with P.
				Richter regarding 3d Fee App (.1); review and
				execute Rule 11 regarding 3d Fee App (.1); confer
				with E. Espinosa regarding KPKF mediation (.3);
				telephone conference with J. Hohehgarten regarding
				Defendants objection (.2); review State's response
				(,3); e-mail correspondence with J. Parsons
				regarding orders, scheduling and objections (.1);
12/9/10				prepare mediation presentation (1.0)
12/9/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.40	728.53	Research and analysis of issues related to claimed
				exemption from registration; related correspondence

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 6
February 18, 201
Invoice: 23258-49

Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
12/10/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	101.36	Telephone conference with J. Parsons regarding
				entry of order (.1); telephone confer ce with E.
				Espinosa regarding same (.1)
12/10/10	Espinosa, E. S.	3.50	1,120.00	Attention to account balances at d transfer funds (.4);
				telephone conference with S. Adams at Wells Fargo
				(.1); telephone conference with (Investor)
				(.2); finalize invoice for November fees and obtain
				authorization to reduce billing (.3); correspond with
				BKD regarding fu.: transfer (.2); correspond
				regarding Gillum: setting of LLB & L's Motion (.1);
				draft Fee Application #4 and Affidavit in Support
				(1.1); correspond with M. Nielsen and J.
				Hohergarth regarding mediation (.2); scheduling
				January rediation dates (.2); review Gray's request
				to l'au 'd' te assets (.4); review Rule 11 Agreement
				file 1 by Weisbart (.1); correspond with C. Bradford
				regarding Proposed Agreed Order Releasing Roger's
				Evad (.2)
12/10/10	Napoli, M. D.	3.50	1,583.75	Telephone conferences with M. Nielsen regarding
12/10/10	т (проп, 1.1. 2.	2.20	1,000	mediation (.4); confer with E. Espinosa regarding
				Kiesling mediation(0.4); telephone conference and e-
			-0*	mail correspondence with B. Mock regarding
				mediation (.2); e-mail correspondence with counsel
				regarding LLBL fee application (.1); e-mail
		. (correspondence with J. Parsons (.1); prepare
				mediation presentation (1.9); telephone conference
				with J. Hohengarten (.2); review correspondence
		40		from C. Bradford regarding Gray defense fund and
				e-mail from E. Espinosa regarding same (.2)
12/10/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.20 N	lo Charge	Review and analyze correspondence from counsel
				for licensees; related research regarding claimed
	0,			exemption
12/11/10	Espinosa, I	0.70	224.00	Review ASG LE report; revise Fee Application #4
				(.5); administrative attention to website (.2)
12/13/10	Dietc ¹ K.	1.00	348.43	Confer with M. Napoli regarding Receiver's
	CO			Answers to Defendants' Interrogatories (.2); confer
				with E. Espinosa regarding revisions to Receiver's
	.67			Answers to Defendants' Interrogatories (.2); revise
				and finalize Answers to Defendants' Interrogatories
				(.6)
12/13/10	Espinosa, E. S.	6.80	2,176.00	Reconcile Order Approving Fee Application #2 with
	·r		,	Actual Invoices; correspond with BKD to
				Accounting Department regarding recordation of
				remittance(.8); prepare Exhibit responding to
				Interrogatories reflecting disbursement from
				defendants' account (1.8); refine Exhibit reflecting
				funds frozen in May (.5); review Receiver's response
				in the state of th

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 February 18, 2014 Invoice: 2335849

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description
Date	Attorney	110415	<u> Milouit</u>	to Interrogatories and verification (.6); draft 3rd
				Motion to Pay Professional Fees; \na1/yze BKD's
				invoice and tee-up conversation regarding billing
				rates and discount (1.6); consult with M. Napoli
				regarding mediation strate, v, Cray's request to sell
10/10/10	N P M D	0.50	2.046.25	assets, Interrogatories, Fe Application #4 (1.5)
12/13/10	Napoli, M. D.	8.50	3,846.25	Confer with E. Espinos, regarding Gray
				interrogatories(.2); telephone conference with R. Stoddard and M. N. Izen (in lieu of preparing a
				formal mediation nemo (1.8); revise response to
				interrogatories (4); prepare mediation presentation
				(5.3); revice 4th Fee Application (.8)
12/13/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.80	No Charge	Research a. ¹ analysis of claimed exemption; prepare
				correspondence to counsel for licensees in response
12/14/10	Brown, A. G.	1.50	271.50	Cordi ct and respond to telephone inquiry from
				and collected documentation requested and
				fo. ward via email (.9); review and respond to email (.3); update client index (.3)
12/14/10	Espinosa, E. S.	7.30	2,336.00	Telephone conference with R. Kipp regarding BKD's
	, · - ·		_,,,,,,,,	partner rates; amend engagement agreement to
				reflect rate range, caps and blended rate target (.5);
			60	revise Application for professional fees to reflect
			O	agreed to modifications regarding BKD, circulate
			60	same to M. Napoli (.2); correspond with P. Dennis
				regarding bank accounts where we do not receive statements, disbursements o/b/o defendants and
				updated QB file (.2); submit Gray's Dec 2010 living
		.4'0		and tax disbursements (.2); correspond with ASG &
				Lewis & Ellis regarding accessing policy
				information and scheduling an all-hand telephone
		4		conference (.3); consult with K. Dietel regarding
				discovery requests, RV's governing docs, lack of Company Agreement and reference in
	60.			Organizational minutes regarding same (.3); review
	io co			investor correspondence (.2); preparation for
				KPK&F Mediation including compilation of
				timeline reflecting proceeds from investors and
				disbursements to KPK&F and RV on a month-by
				month basis; preparation of presentation material
12/14/10	Hardin, J.R.	0.30	114.03	regarding same (5.4) Work session with M. Napoli on Stowers demand
12/14/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.90	3,122.25	E-mail correspondence with J. Blair regarding
	mp oxx, x.x. 20 .	0.20	-,	Weisbart call (.1); prepare mediation presentation
				(5.0); research Stowers (.5); review and revise
				application for professional (non-KLG) fees (.2);
				review MedMarc policy in preparation for
				mediation(1.0); confer with E. Espinosa regarding

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

_				
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
12/15/10	Egninogo E C	9.30	2.076.00	Gray request to sell assets (.2)
12/15/10	Espinosa, E. S. Hardin, J.R.	9.30 0.60	2,976.00 228.06	Mediation regarding KPK&F @ \(\hat{Q}\) Stoddard Research Stowers issue
12/15/10	Napoli, M. D.	9.50	4,298.75	Prepare for and attend mediat or
12/15/10	Quinn, M. J.	2.20	1,144.84	Correspondence to counsel tep-esenting licensees;
12/13/10	Quinii, ivi. v.	2.20	1,111.01	related email correspondence with E. Espinosa and
				M. Napoli; analysis of i sues raised by counsel for
				licensees
12/16/10	Espinosa, E. S.	1.90	608.00	Telephone conference with B. Rose, A. Cullen, P.
				Maur and J. Lee regarding progression of updated
				medicals, LF and actuarial analysis, lay-out game
				plan for fivalizing data accumulation by year's end
				and finalizing actuarial analysis in January (.7);
				review 1 SG's December invoice and projections
				(.2); the hone conference with regarding
				his analysis of portfolio's potential IRR (1.0), information regarding R. James and Milkie.
12/16/10	Napoli, M. D.	1.60	724.00	L- nail correspondence with R Stoddard regarding
12/10/10	rapon, m. D.	1.00	724.00	mediation (.1); e-mail correspondence regarding
				Bejcek motion (.1); review LLBL objection to CTW
				fees (.1); telephone conference with G Weisbart
			-O'	regarding Kiesling and other issues (1.0); telephone
				conference with J. Hohengarten regarding Kiesling
				and other issues (.3)
12/16/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.60	312.23	Review and respond to correspondence from counsel
10/15/10	G : 1 E	0.10	N. 61	for licensee
12/17/10	Cunningham, E.	0.73	No Charge	Telephone call to EEOC mediator regarding status of negotiations.
12/17/10	Espinosa, E. S.	5 30	1,696.00	Funds management regarding IRS refund of 941 fees
12/1//10	Espinosa, E. S.	3.50	1,000.00	(.2); review Weisbarts objection (.3); correspond
				with J. Morgan regarding office depot (.3); release of
				HCF funds to John Hancock Company (.2); strategy
	07			session and analysis with M. Napoli regarding: (i)
				conversation with Weisbart regarding KPK & F
				mediation, Gray's request for funds; (ii) ASG/LE
				analysis, (iii) Becjek's Motion brief; (iv) Gray's
				request for funding; (v) Assertion of Claim against
				RV principal; (vi) planning for a meeting with OAG
				and TSSB; (vii) R. James; (viii) licenses-claims, top
				20; (ix) CA & FL; (x) tax implication of RV; and
				Beste's communications with investors (4.1); telephone conference with M. Quinn regarding CA
				(.2)
12/17/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.40	No Charge	Coordinate schedules regarding meeting with TSSB
12,17,10	_opinoo u , D . o.	0.10		and OAG (.2); monitor Richter/Parson/Napoli
				correspondence regarding 1/19 hearing (.2)

Page: 13 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 17 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

Date	Attorney 1	Hours	Amount	Description
12/17/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.40	2,896.00	Research regarding Receiver's (.3); e-mail
	p		_,01 0.00	correspondence with P. Richter regarding Bejeek
				motion (.1); e-mail correspondence with J. Parsons
				regarding hearings and orders's rategy session with
				M. Napoli and analysis regarding: (i) conversation
				with Weisbart regarding 'C' & F mediation, Gray's
				request for funds; (ii) ANG/LE analysis; (iii)
				Bejcek's Motion brief; (1v) Gray's request for
				dunging; (v) Asse. of Claim against RV
				principals; (vi) pic nning for a meeting with OAG
				and TSSB; (viii) James; (viii) licenses-claims, top
				20; (ix) C/. & iL; (x) tax implication for RV and
				(xi) Beste communications with investor (4.1);
				conside, potential bar order and indemnification of
				settling I censees (.6); e-mail correspondence with J.
				Ho'engarten (.1); analysis of Bejcek claim and
				p. rosed stipulations (1.2)
12/17/10	Quinn, M. J.	0.70	364.27	valysis of issues related to available releases to
				icensees; telephone conference with E. Espinosa
				and M. Napoli; correspondence with counsel for licensees
12/20/10	Brown, A. G.	0.60	108.60	Review and respond to email request from
12/20/10	Diown, 71. G.	0.00	700.50	(.4); review incoming documentation and update
				files (.2)
12/20/10	Dietel, K.	1.20	418.12	Review and analyze potential legal theories
				regarding Bejceks Intervenors claim against the
				estate and strategy session with M. Napoli regarding
		4.0		same (1.2)
12/20/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.10	557.48	Review emails and prepare proposed order and
				briefing schedule regarding same and circulate (.8);
	3			teleconference with M. Napoli regarding proposed
	.0 '			order and hearing schedule; email to all regarding
10/00/10		2.50	000.00	same (.3)
12/20/10	Espinos, F. S.	2.50	800.00	Download January premiums from secure server,
				transfer funds among WF accounts, wire premium
	4.90			remittance to ASG and correspond with ASG &
				BKD regarding fund transfers (1.2); correspond with
Č				K. Henderson regarding LE and updated medicals
9.7				(.2); coordinate 12/29 meeting with the state and
				travel arrangements (.4); attention to 01/19/11 hearing docket and parties' correspondence regarding
				same (.2); correspond with A. Goldate regarding
	Espinost, F. S.			imaging (.2); telephone conference with M. Quinn
				regarding CA licensees settlement efforts; consult
				with M. Napoli and K. Dietel regarding Bejcek's
				motion (.3)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 12 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

<u>Date</u> 12/20/10	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 6.40	<u>Amount</u> 2,896.00	Description Telephone conferences with M. Dietz regarding briefing schedule and order setting Bejcek hearing (.1); telephone conference with M. Nielsen (.3); analysis of Bejcek claim (4.4): review claim form for PLI140 claim (.1); telephone conference with M Quinn regarding settlement discussions with licensees(.4); e-mail correspondence with counsel regarding hearing and briefing schedule (.1); research regarding a gracity to sue and defend (1.0)
12/20/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.20	No Charge	Conference call with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa concerning resum ses to defenses and other issues raised by Veenses; correspondence on related issues
12/21/10	Brown, A. G.	1.10	199.10	Conduct an 1 espond to telephone inquiry from an 1 (.2); collect documentation requer tell and forward via email (.5); review and rest on 1 to email follow-up request from () update client index (.1)
12/21/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	101.36	
12/21/10	Espinosa, E. S.	2.50	800.00	Telephone conference with C. Bradford and M. Napoli regarding 1/19 hearing and group motions for additional funds (.6); consult with M. Napoli regarding same (.3); review, execute and transmit claim forms regarding (.3); attention to 1/19 docket (.2); review analysis of Application #3 & #4 objection (.5); email J. Segerdahl and C. Budner regarding release of funds (.6)
12/21/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.50	3,393.75	Response and analysis of Bejcek claim (3.2); teleconference with C. Bradford regarding motions, mediation and request for funds (.4); confer with E. Espinosa regarding request for funds and response (.3); circulate proposed order regarding briefing schedule (.1); analysis of objections to 3rd and 4th Fee Apps (3.0); telephone conference with M. Nielsen regarding mediation (.3); e-mail correspondence with G. Weisbart regarding Kiesling (.2)
12/21/16	Quinn, M. J.	1.20	624.46	Telephone conferences with several lawyers representing licensees concerning potential settlement and response to issues raised in defense
12/2/10 12/22/10	Dietz, M.S. Espinosa, E. S.	0.10 0.50	No Charge 160.00	Review emails regarding hearings, mediation dates Correspond with C. Bradford and 1stCB regarding AXA premium; consult with M. Napoli regarding Objection analysis and C. Bradford's motion for funds from Special Acquisition funds

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 13 February 18, 2014 Invoice: 2335649 Description

<u>Date</u> 12/22/10	Attorney I	Hours 1.00	<u>Amount</u> No Charge	Description Meeting with M. Napoli regarding issue of investor
	,		9	whether monies contributed on the eve of
				receivership are property of the estate
12/22/10	Napoli, M. D.	4.90	2,217.25	Telephone conference with G V1/21sbart regarding
				Kiesling (.4); prepare e-mc i to R. Stoddard
				regarding settlement proposal (.2); e-mail
				correspondence with C. Bradford regarding various
				issues (.1); e-mail correspondence regarding
				mediation (.1); research and analysis in response to
				Bejcek motion (3.3); confer with J. Fine regarding same (.8)
12/23/10	Cunningham, E.	0.20	48.87	Telephone conference with EEOC mediator
				regarding s.~* as of negotiations with Moss
12/23/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.70	224.00	Corresp, nd with M. Kaye regarding maturity (.4);
				corres to 1d with ATT (.1); download updated QBB (.2)
12/23/10	Fine, J.	1.50	No Charge	Research escrow funds issues
12/23/10	Quinn, M. J.	1.00	520.38	ate contact status chart for licensees; review
				related correspondence
12/27/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.30	152.04	Brief telephone conference with M. Napoli regarding
			^	status of order setting hearing and briefing; circulate
10/07/10	F : F C	0.60		email regarding same (.3)
12/27/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.60	192.00	Correspond with C. Bradford regarding RV's tax
12/27/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.20	Lo Charge	accounting and its implication
12/27/10	Espinosa, E. S.	0.30	1.0 Charge	Correspond with J. Segerdahl regarding Intervenor Objection to Fee Application #3 and #4 (.3)
12/27/10	Fine, J.	2.00	No Charge	Further research on what is/is not property of the
12,27,10	1 1110, 0.	30	, ito charge	estate
12/27/10	Napoli, M. D.	2.60	1,176.50	Telephone conference with M. Nielsen regarding
	•			Kiesling (.2); telephone conference with R Stoddard
				regarding Kiesling (.2); review and respond to
	0,			Bradford e-mail regarding tax issues (.4); research
				and analysis regarding Bejcek claim (1.8)
12/28/10	Brown, v. v.	1.00	181.00	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from M.
				Cramer (.2); review and respond to email requests
				from J. Stanion(.3); update client index (.2); respond
				to telephone request from AT&T regarding
				cancellation of Retirement Value service (.3)
12/28/16	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	101.36	Review emails; email to J. Parson regarding order
12/28/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.00	1,280.00	(.2) Attend to accounting associated with Fee Apps #3
12/20,	Espinosa, E. S.	4.00	1,200.00	and #4 (.5); correspond with M. Hadaway and P.
				Dennis (BKD) regarding accounting entries (.2);
				attention to funds management at Chase (.1);
				transmit Consultants fees to BKD for payment (.2);
				review correspondence from investors (.1); consult
				with M. Napoli regarding (a) KPK&F and Weisbart's

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 17 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335849

Date	Attorney 1	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
				review of coverage issues; (b) efficient resolution of
				Weisbart's objections (.4); consult with A. Brown
				regarding ATT correspondence (1); preparation for
				12/29 meeting with TSSB and CAG (.7); review
				Defendant's objections to Feepp #4 and consult
				with M. Napoli regarding papact on Weisbart
				discussions (.3); review Draft Agreed Order
				regarding Rogers Funds (.1) working session with
				M. Napoli regardi. 12/29 meeting with (1.3)
12/29/10	Namel: M.D.	6.50	2.041.25	
12/28/10	Napoli, M. D.	6.50	2,941.25	Review McDermett motion to transfer in Harrison
				(.3); e-mail con spondence with M. Nielsen and G.
				Weisbart regarding Kiesling mediation (.1); prepare
				for meeting "4th the State (3.5); review late
				objectic. s by Defendants to 3d and 4th Fee App (.3);
				confe. w th E. Espinosa regarding same (.2); update
				ISC L∑s (.4); confer with E. Espinosa regarding
				2.10 and 2011 tax filings (.3); e-mail
			*	Correspondence regarding scheduling (.1); work on
				response to Bejcek motion (1.3)
12/29/10	Dietz, M.S.	1.30	658.84	Obtain agreement and sign on proposed order (.3);
			~ ^	work on proposed temporary injunction extension
			~O `	(.8); message to court coordinator regarding Agreed
				Order and letter transmitting same (.2)
12/29/10	Dotson, J. R.	1.60	492.32	Conference with M. Dietz regarding temporary
			6	injunction research issues and trial date (.1); research
				regarding same per M. Dietz request (1.5)
12/29/10	Espinosa, E. S.	750	2,400.00	Meet with J. Hohngartner, J. Rotunda and A.
12,23,10	Espinosa, E. S.	10	2,100.00	Goldate & M. Napoli regarding status updates (7.5)
12/29/10	Espinosa, E. S.	4.00	No Charge	Travel to Austin (2.0); travel to Dallas (2.0)
12/29/10	Napoli, M. D.	7.50	3,393.75	Prepare for and attend meeting with J. Rotunda, A
12/29/10	Napon, M. D.	1.50	5,595.15	Goldate and J Hohengarten
12/29/10	Napoli, M. D	4.50	No Charge	Travel to Austin (2.5); travel to Dallas (2)
12/29/10	* 1	0.60	108.60	
12/30/10	Brown, A. G.	0.00	106.00	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
12/20/10	Dieta M	1.00	50C 90	(.5); update client index (.1)
12/30/10	Dietz M.S.	1.00	506.80	Work on order resetting trial date; review research
	4.70			(.8); teleconference with M. Napoli regarding orders
10/20/10	S I D	0.20	Z1 74	and strategy (.2)
12/30/10	Po.son, J. R.	0.20	61.54	Discuss preliminary results of research regarding
C.X	7			extension of trial date and injunction issues with M.
				Dietz
12/30.'10	Espinosa, E. S.	1.10	352.00	Review draft order resetting trial dates (.2); review
				"maturity" correspondence from K. Henderson and
O				consult with M. Napoli regarding same (.2); consult
				with M. Napoli regarding yesterday's meeting (.3);
				reply to investor inquiries (.4)

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335649

<u>Date</u> 12/30/10	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 2.10	<u>Amount</u> 950.25	Description Review and comment on proposed order regarding Rogers funds (.2); prepare claim a rainst Gray (1.5); e-mail correspondence with A. Goldate regarding NY Licensees 0.2); telephone conference with M. Dietz regarding hearing schedule, trial schedule and
				continuance (.2)
12/31/10	Dietz, M.S.	0.10	No Charge	Review research on reset or trial issues (.1).
12/31/10	Dotson, J. R.	1.10	338.47	Follow-up research regarding resetting of trial date and temporary injuration issues per M. Dietz request
				(1.0); discuss results of same with M. Dietz (.1)
		тот	AL HOURS	324.50
		101	ALHOURS	324.30

TOTAL FOR SERVICES

\$110,409.97

TIMEKEEPER SUMMARY

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>'uvrs</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Quinn, M. J.	10.90	520.38	5,672.15
Dietz, M.S.	19.20	506.80	9,730.56
Napoli, M. D.	113.60	452.50	51,404.00
Brown, M. A.	3.50	420.83	1,472.90
Hardin, J.R.	1.80	380.10	684.18
Dietel, K.	16.30	348.43	5,679.42
Espinosa, E. S.	96.00	320.00	30,720.00
Dotson, J. R.	2.90	307.70	892.33
Cunningham, E.	0.60	244.35	146.61
Sikes, J. M.	5.30	221.73	1,175.17
Brown, A. G.	15.20	181.00	2,751.20
Sanchez, J. R.	0.60	135.75	81.45
Total for All Time keepers	324.50	\$340.25	\$110,409.97

INVOICE TOTAL

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Current Charges	110,409.97	0.00	110,409.97
	TOTAL BA	LANCE DHE	\$110 409 97

Jungicial constraints of the state of the st



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

т 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 Sebruary 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335850 Matter Desc., David & Elizabeth Grav

Client/watter #: 1203981.00003

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered and expenses incurred for your account during the period ending 12/31/2010. Detailed information regarding these fees and expenses is attached.

Current Charges:

Fees

2,953.05

Total Current Charges

\$2,953.05

PAYMENT FOR "CUR', "N I AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE MARCH 20, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to K&L Gates, RCAC, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98104-1158, Reference Invoice: 2335850



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

bruary 18, 2011

Invoice: 2335850

Tax ID No. 25 0921018 T 214.939.5500 www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 12/51/20:

Matter: 1203981.00003

Matter Description: David & Elizabeth Gray

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	L_s_ription
12/3/10	Brown, A. G.	0.20	No Charge	Office conference with K. Dietel regarding
				preparation for document production and related
			•	issues
12/3/10	Dietel, K.	0.20	69.59	Exchange e-mail correspondence with N. Laurent,
				counsel for Defendants, regarding document
				production and Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's
			+,60	Request for Disclosure
12/9/10	Brown, A. G.	1.10	199.10	Review responses to Interrogatories and Request for
				Production and gather relevant documents in
		. 4'(7	preparation for production
12/10/10	Brown, A. G.	250	90.50	Draft listing of relevant documents to defendant's
				request for production; prepare documents for
	4			electronic delivery and forward to attorney for review
12/13/10	Brown, A. C	0.20	No Charge	Office conference with K. Dietel regarding
				preparations for document production to defendant
12/13/10	Dietel, K.	0.50	174.21	Review documents for production in response to
				Defendants' Request for Production (.3); confer with
				A. Brown regarding documents for production (.2)
12/14/10	Distor, K.	0.70	243.90	Exchange e-mail correspondence with M. Napoli and
	C			E. Espinosa regarding responsive documents (.2);
				review and analyze Defendants' Answers to Plaintiff's
				Interrogatories and Responses to Plaintiff's Request
				for Production (.3); draft e-mail correspondence to M.
				Napoli regarding Defendants' discovery responses (.2)
12′14/10	Dietel, K.	4.80	No Charge	Review and analyze documents for production in
				response to Defendants' Request for Production
12/15/10	Brown, A. G.	1.00	181.00	Prepare document for production to defendants
12/15/10	Dietel, K.	1.50	522.65	Review e-mail correspondence from M. Napoli
				regarding document production (.1); review and

Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

February 18, 201 L Invoice: 2335 650

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
<u>Date</u>	recorney	110015	<u> </u>	analyze documents for response to Defendants'
				Request for Production (1.2); confe. with A. Brown
				regarding document production (2)
12/16/10	Brown, A. G.	0.80	144.80	Review, bates number and finaliz accuments for
	,			production to defendants
12/16/10	Dietel, K.	0.60	209.06	Review document production in response to
	•			Defendants' Request for Production of Documents
				(.2); confer with A. Brown regarding finalizing
				documents for production (.1); draft correspondence
				to N. Laurent, countel for Defendants, regarding
				service of docun on s in response to Defendants'
				Request for Fronuction (.2); review e-mail
				corresponder. from N. Laurent regarding same (.1)
12/16/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.30	135.75	Review p. posed production and correspond with K
				Diete! r ve rding same
12/17/10	Dietel, K.	0.50	174.22	Confir with M. Napoli regarding confidentiality
				agreement (.2); review and analyze confidentiality
				agreement for protection of discovery materials (.3)
12/21/10	Dietel, K.	0.10	No Charge	Review and respond to e-mail correspondence from
				N. Laurent, counsel for Defendants, regarding
				confidentiality order
12/22/10	Dietel, K.	0.80	278.74	Draft Confidentiality Stipulation and Agreed
10/05/10	D' - 1 17	1.00		Protective Order as to Discovery Materials
12/27/10	Dietel, K.	1.00	348.43	Revise Confidentiality Stipulation and Agreed
				Protective Order as to Discovery Materials (.9);
		4	7	exchange e-mail correspondence with counsel for
		.70		Defendants, N. Laurent, regarding proposed
		74	7	confidentiality agreement and forward copy of same
12/27/10	Napoli, M. D.	0.40	181.00	(.1) Review and revise Confidentiality Stipulation
12/2//10	mapon, m. D.	0.40	101.00	Review and revise Confidentiality Supulation

TOTAL HOURS 15.20

TOTAL FOR SERVICES

\$2,953.05

TIMEKE EJ ER SUMMARY

<u>Timek, ver</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Nat 1, M. D.	0.70	452.50	316.75
	5.80	348.43	2,020.90
Field, K. Frown, A. G.	3.40	181.00	615.40
Total for All Timekeepers	15.20	\$194.28	\$2,953.05



Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

Page: 3 February 18, 201 (Invoice: 2335850

INVOICE TOTAL

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Current Charges	2,953.05	0.00	2,953.05
	TOTAL BAL	LANCE DUF	\$2,953.05

PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE M. CH 20, 2011

Josephical Contraction of the state of the s



K&L Gates LIP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

т 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa
K&L Gates, LLP
1717 Main Street, Suite 2800
Dallas, TX 75201

February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335853

Matter Desc.: D vid & Elizabeth Gray

Client/Marter #: 1203981.00003

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered and expenses incurred for your account during the period ending 01/31/2011. Detailed information regarding these terms and expenses is attached.

Current Charges:

Fees

11,246.58

Total Current Charges

\$11,246.58

PAYMENT FOR "CURRENT AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE MARCH 20, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to K&L Gates, RCAC, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98104-1158, Reference Invoice: 2335853



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

> February 18, 2011 1 voice: 2335853

Tax ID No. 25 0921018 T 214.939.5500 www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 01/31/1

Matter: 1203981.00003

Matter Description: David & Elizabeth Gray

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Descriotion
$\overline{1/5/1}1$	Dietel, K.	0.30	108.60	Review comments and changes to Confidentiality
				Ag. of ment from N. Laurent, counsel for Defendants
				(2), confer with M. Napoli regarding same (.1)
1/7/11	Dietel, K.	0.50	181.00	P.eview Defendants' First Amended Original Answer
				and Counterclaim (.3); review Defendants' second set of
			~0	discovery requests (.2)
1/7/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.60	1(2.0)	Consult with M. Napoli regarding David Gray's answer
				& counterclaims and TBOC requirements
			.5	
1/11/11	Dietel, K.	0.70	253.40	Revise Confidentiality Agreement regarding comments
		0		from N. Laurent, counsel for Defendants (.5); review
		14.0		and respond to e-mail correspondence from N. Laurent
				regarding same (.2)
1/12/11	Dietel, K.	0.10	No Charge	Exchange e-mail correspondence with N. Laurent,
		3		counsel for Defendants, regarding confidentiality
)		agreement
1/18/11	Dietel, K.	0.20	72.40	Telephone conference with counsel for Defendants, N.
1/20/11	B1 - 1 - 17 G	0.20	72 40	Laurent, regarding confidentiality agreement
1/20/11	Dietel, K.	0.20	72.40	Review and respond to e-mail correspondence from
	4.95			counsel for Defendants, N. Laurent, regarding
1/04/11	D 1 17	4.20	1 520 40	confidentiality stipulation
1/24/11	Detal, K.	4.20	1,520.40	Review Defendants' Counterclaim and draft Original
				Answer, Verified Denial and Affirmative Defenses to
Ô				same (3.5); exchange e-mail correspondence with N.
	,			Laurent, counsel for Defendants, regarding revised
				confidentiality stipulation (.2); review revised
				confidentiality stipulation and draft clean version
1/24/11	Napoli M D	5.50	2,687.85	incorporating changes from counsel for Defendants (.5) Propers 2nd amonded position (5.0), ravious and raviga
1/24/11	Napoli, M. D.	3.30	2,001.03	Prepare 2nd amended petition (5.0); review and revise answer to counterclaim (.3); review proposed changes
				to confidentiality order (.2)
				to confidentiality order (.2)

Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

Page: 2 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335853

<u>Date</u> 1/25/11	Attorney Dietel, K.	<u>Hours</u> 2.30	<u>Amount</u> 832.60	Description Draft Receiver's Responses to Defendants' Second Set of Requests for Admissions and Second Set of Interrogatories (1.0); draft Plea in Abatement, Original Answer and Verified Denial (1.0); suculate discovery and support designation deadling (2)
1/25/11	Napoli, M. D.	3.70	1,808.19	and expert designation deadlines (.3) Prepare second amended pet i on (3.5); confer with E Espinosa regarding same (.2)
1/26/11	Dietel, K.	2.60	941.20	Draft Agreed Motion for Entry of Protective Order (.5); prepare final executed antidentiality agreement and draft e-mail correspondence to N. Laurent, counsel for Defendants, regarding same (.3); review and respond to e-mail correspondence from N. Laurent regarding Agreed Motion for Entry of Protective Order and timing for production of outstanding document (.3); confer with R. Sanchez regarding preparation of document production (.3); review Defendants' requests and documents responsive to same (1.0); confer with M. Napo i regarding same (.2)
1/26/11	Napoli, M. D.	3.30	No Charge	Frepare 2nd Amended Petition (3.0); consider documents for production (.3)
1/27/11	Brown, A. G.	1.00	185.53	Review and organize documents in preparation for filing (.3); file Agreed Motion for Entry of Protective Order with the court (.3); draft supplemental FAQ's (.4)
1/27/11	Dietel, K.	4.60	1.665.20	Prepare Agreed Motion for Entry of Protective Order for filing with court and service on Defendants' Counsel (.2); draft Receiver's Responses to David Gray's Second Set of Interrogatories (2.2); review and revise Second Amended Original Petition (2.2)
1/28/11	Brown, A. G.	\$ 40	74.21	Review and organize documents in preparation for filing (.2); file Receiver's Plea in Abatement, Answer and Verified Denial in Gray Cross Claim (.2)
1/28/11	Dietel, K.	1.80	651.60	Revise Receiver's Responses to Defendants' Second Request for Admissions (.4); follow-up and telephone conference with client regarding Plea in Abatement, Original Answer and Verified Denial to Defendants' Counterclaim (.3); finalize Plea in Abatement, Original Answer and Verified Denial to Defendants' Counterclaim and prepare same for filing and service (.3); draft Answers and Objections to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories (.8)

TOTAL HOURS

TOTAL FOR SERVICES

\$11,246.58

32.00



Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

Page: 3 February 18, 201 a Invoice: 2335853

TIMEKEEPI	TR SIIN	MMARV
	IN SUL	VIIVIAN I

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Napoli, M. D.	9.20	488.70	4,496.04
Dietel, K.	17.40	362 (5.)	6,298.80
Espinosa, E. S.	0.60	32.\.uu	192.00
Brown, A. G.	1.40	185.53	259.74
		N -	
Total for All Timekeepers	32.00	\$351.46	\$11,246.58

INVOICE TOTAL

	<u>L'et 5</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Current Charges	1.,746.58	0.00	11,246.58
	TOTAL BA	LANCE DUE	\$11,246.58

PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE MARCH 20, 2011

Indicial constraints of the state of the sta



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

т 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tebruary 18, 2011
Invoice: 2335854
Matter Desc.: State of Texas vs.
Retirement Value LLC, et. al.
C'i "/Matter #: 1203981.00001

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered and expenses incurred for your account during the period ending 01/31/2011. <u>Detailed information regarding these fees and expenses is attached.</u>

Current Charges:

Fees

131,272.61

Total Current Charges

\$131,272.61

PAYMENT FOR "CURY, "N.)" AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE MARCH 20, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to K&L Gates, RCAC, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98104-1158, Reference Invoice: 2335854



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

ebruary 18, 2011

Invoice: 2335854

Tax ID No. 25 0921018 T 214.939.5500 www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 01/71/11.

Matter: 1203981.00001

Matter Description: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC. et al.

Date	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Lycription</u>
1/3/11	Brown, A. G.	1.50	278.30	onduct and respond to telephone inquiries from (.4); collect and forward documentation requested by (.4)
				update client index (.2); telephone conference with
			-0"	from IRA Plus Southwest regarding
				letter sent to investors on valuation of account and
				follow-up regarding same (.5)
1/3/11	Dietel, K.	0.50	181.00	Legal research regarding issues for Response to
				Bejceks' Motion (.5)
1/3/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.<0	320.37	Court appearance regarding entry of Agreed Order
		. 4.0.		(brief) (.3); circulate order (.2); review emails
				regarding scheduling issues (.1).
1/3/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.50	1,440.00	Respond to (investor) regarding value of his
				investment in RV (1.4); review correspondence from
	O ,	,		K. Henderson regarding PacLife's recall of PL1140
	-0,			remittance (.2); review Weisbart's request for
	G			information (.2); review IRA Southwest's form 5498
				inquiries to IRA account holders & review IRS
				instructions for form 5498 (.7); address
				inquiry regarding FMV (.3); review Rogers' Jan
				2011 living allowance request (.2); attention to
				scheduling mediation and January 19 hearing (.4);
	cialco			review ISC's LE invoices (.1); telephone conference
				with delaCruz at AT&T regarding RV account billed with HCF's consolidated statement (1.0)
. (3/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.30	2,590.11	Prepare cross-claim against Gray and Rogers (4.0);
13/11	Napon, M. D.	3.50	2,390.11	e-mail correspondence with G. Weisbart regarding
				information request(.2); confer with E. Espinosa
				regarding information request(.3); confer with E.
				Espinosa regarding response by Pacific Life counsel
				10

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 2
February 18, 2011
Invoice: 2335854

				Invoice: 235\854
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u> to PL1140 claim (.3); e-mail correspondence with
			1.66.00	counsel regarding scheduling hearings and mediation (.3); analysis and research regarding claims against PL1140 funds and effect on consolidation (.5)
1/4/11	Brown, A. G.	0.90	166.98	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry of (.2); review in a ming email and forward to attorneys for review (.3); review incoming
1/4/11	Dietel, K.	3.00	1,086.00	documentation and update files (.4) Legal research regarding issues for Response to Bejceks' Motion
1/4/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	106.79	Prepare Order for Court/hearing (resetting trial)
1/4/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.10	No Charge	Review V all Street Journal 1/2/11 article regarding lawsuits against life settlement insurers
1/4/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.80	1,856.00	Review letter to S. Toretto at PacLife, finalize,
				execute & transmit same. (.4); correspond with ASG regarding PacLife's request for supplemental
				c. cumentation (.2); funds management at Wells
				rgo and queue 50 statement download for 12/10
				(.3); review Quickbooks accounts and correspond
				with P. Dennis regarding reconciliation of Wells
			_ ^	Fargo accounts (.6); revise response to (.5);
			- O	correspond with ASG regarding Weisbart's request
				for information, updated medicals and open item
				status report (.4); review revised ISC invoice (.2)
			69	process Gray & Rogers Jan 2011 Living expense
				requests (.5); correspond with J. Lee regarding data
				access for actuarial analysis (.4); Respond to inquiry
		150	8 Co.	from (.5); correspond with ASG
				regarding soliciting additional medical records from JSS (.4); correspond with R. Kipp and P. Riley
				regarding upcoming mediation and coordination of
				tax analysis (.3); consult with M. Napoli regarding
				mediation strategy (1.1).
1/4/11	Napoli, M D.	8.50	4,153.95	E-mail correspondence with G. Weisbart regarding
			ŕ	Kiesling and information request (.1); analyze and
				respond to correspondence from Pacific Life
				regarding PL1140 claim (.3); confer with E.
	Q,			Espinosa regarding same (.3); prepare
				correspondence to Pacific Life (1.2); communication
				with ASG regarding Pacific Life (.1); e-mail
				correspondence with P. Richter regarding Bejcek
	Napoli, M D.			motion (.1); review and revise statement regarding
J				IRA valuations (.8); correspondence with J. Lee
				regarding actuarial analysis (.1); confer with E.
				Espinosa regarding Gray and Rogers mediation (.5); revise proposed stipulation for Bejcek motion (1.0);
				prepare claim against Gray and Rogers (4.0)
				propers craim against Gray and Rogers (7.0)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

	State of Texas vs. F latter #: 1203981.0		alue LLC, et.	al. Page: February 18, 201
				Invoice: 2335854
<u>Date</u> 1/4/11	Attorney Quinn, M. J.	<u>Hours</u> 2.80	Amount 1,495.06	<u>Description</u> Correspondence and telephone conferences with
17 17 11	Quimi, 111. J.	2.00	1,155.00	licensees and counsel concerning restonses to claims of exemption and potential settlement; update licensee contact chart
1/4/11	Riley, P.	0.60	312.23	Review email from E. Estinota concerning tax issues ; review the tax returns and analyze the same.
1/5/11	Brown, A. G.	1.00	185.53	Conduct and respond to relephone inquiry from and (.3); review and respond to email requests from , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1/5/11	Dietel, K.	0.30	108.60	Review Percek Intervenors' Supplemental Brief in Support of 'their Motion to Release Funds (.2); confer with M. Napoli regarding same (.1)
1/5/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.80	1,216.00	Correspond with R. Kipp regarding tax issues (.3); co respond with P. Dennis regarding A/P processing (.1) review Bejceks' proposed stipulations and M. Appoli's comments (.4); telephone conference with
				B. Reid regarding licensee's (.6); review invoices for website and New Braunfels Utilities (.2); review list of names from TSSB (.2); correspond with ASG
			CO.	regarding PacLife production (.3); correspond with S. Toretto regarding PL1140 policy (.2); Telephone conference with M. Miller at Drinker Biddle
				regarding same (.4); correspond with J. Dotson regarding Rogers' Rainmaker invoice (.1);
				correspond with ASG regarding response from JSS regarding medical information (.2); review correspondence from C. Bradford regarding mediate
1/5/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.60	4,202.82	(.3); consult with M. Napoli regarding same (.2) E-mail correspondence with S. Torreto of Pacific
	co ²	·		Life regarding PL1140 claim (.2); telephone conference with M. Miller (Drinker Biddle) regarding PL1140 claim (.3); e-mail correspondence
	.0			with A. Goldate (.2); research information requested by SSB (.4); gather LE information for Qvest (.1); e- mail correspondence with ASG regarding Pacific
	(0,			Life information request (.2); e-mail correspondence with J. Blair regarding mediation (.1); telephone conference with S. Schwarz regarding Kiesling
100	Napoli, M. D.			settlement (.3); e-mail communication and telephone conference with M. Nielsen regarding Kiesling
)				settlement (.3); review Bejcek supplemental motion (.5); prepare response to Bejcek motion (4.5); prepare claim against Gray and Rogers (.4);
				telephone conference with J. Hohengarten regarding

medication (.2); analyze correspondence from C.

	State of Texas vs. Relatter #: 1203981.00		Value LLC, et.	al. Page: 7 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335854
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Description Bradford regarding mediation (.3); telephone conference with B. Reid regarding licensees (.6)
1/6/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	106.79	Review letter from Bradford regarding mediation; review emails regarding hearing plans
1/6/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.60	1,152.00	Telephone conference with r. Dennis reconciling outstanding account imb ounces (1.6); coordinate tax matters with R. Kipp a. d r. Riley (.1); review SIS' demand on Gray (.2); consult with M. Napoli regarding Bejock account implances, Grey's motion for additional functional SIS' demand on Gray (1.7)
1/6/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.20	No Charge	Monitor Fejcen's correspondence between P. Richter and M. Na, and
1/6/11	Napoli, M. D.	6.90	3,372.03	Revise Peieck stipulation (.3); e-mail correspondence with P. Richter regarding stipulation (.4); e-mail correspondence with A. Cullen and P. Peier regarding growth of COI (.2); review proposed order on Gray 3rd fee application (.1);
			Q	review correspondence from C. Bradford regarding SIS demand (.1); analyze Gray motion to release
			60,	funds (.8); prepare response to Bejcek motion (4.0); analysis of homestead issues (.5); analysis of PL1140 comingling (.5)
1/6/11	Riggs, M. L.	1.10	243.90	Analyze exception to the homestead exemption where home is purchased with proceeds of a fraud (.9); research fraudulent transfer theory (.2)
1/7/11	Brown, A. G.	1.70	185.53	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from (.2); review and respond to email request from (.2); review incoming documentation and update client files (.6)
1/7/11	Dietel, K.	2.00	724.00	Review and analyze case authority for Response to Bejcek Motion to Release Funds (1.0); confer with M. Napoli regarding Response (.5); draft Response to Bejcek Motion to Release Funds (.5)
1/7/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.40	1,088.00	Correspond with B. Reid regarding contingency counsel (.3); telephone conference with B. Baillette and M. Napoli regarding same (.8); correspond with ASG regarding Issues" (.3); consult with M. Napoli regarding Issues" (.3); consult with M. Napoli regarding M. Roger's Rainmaker invoice, supplemental January expenses (.6); transfer funds to Chase Op Acct, and correspond with P. Dennis regarding same (.2); review and process W. Roger's supplemental

expense request (.4).

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

	Page:
February	18, 2℃1 t
Invoice:	2335854

<u>Date</u> 1/7/11	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	Hours 8.20	<u>Amount</u> 4,007.34	Description Analyze ISC LEs (.3); prepare e-mail to E. Espinosa regarding results of LEs (.1); e-n. vil correspondence with B. Reid and B. Baillette regarding licensees (.1); telephone conference with G. Weisbart (.5); telephone conference with b. Baillette & C. Boneau regarding contingency for for licensees (.8); e-mail correspondence with counsel regarding order on Rogers funds (.1); prepare response to investor (.4); analy in and response to correspondence from investors (.1); research regarding standing under (.1); e-mail correspondence with A. Cullen regarding premiums due (.1); e-mail correspondence regarding estate tax issues (.1); prepare response to Bejcek Supplemental Brief (5.2)
1/8/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.20	64.00	Emai Vells Fargo regarding Account Analysis Striements
1/8/11	Napoli, M. D.	3.00	1,466.10	1. Poare response to Gray motion for additional of fense funds
1/10/11	Brown, A. G.	1.70	315.40	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from (.2); review letters from , review files and draft responses to same (1.1); review incoming documentation and update client files (.4)
1/10/11	Dietel, K.	1.00	362.00	Review and analyze information and authority for Response to Bejcek Intervenors' Motion to Release Funds
1/10/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	106.79	Prepare and make docket calls for hearings next week.
1/10/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.90	1,888.00	Telephone conference with C. Bradford regarding Tax Issue and Sale of Assets (.5); review correspondence from M. Miller regarding PL1140; discuss response with M. Napoli (.3); correspond with J. Winebrensa at Chase regarding Roger January expense (.1); correspond with W. Giles at Wells Fargo regarding Analyzed Account Earnings Allowance and S. Adams and 2010 Account Statements (.7); correspond with G. Weisbart regarding at (.1); review and revise correspondence to and

Wells Fargo regarding Analyzed Account Earnings Allowance and S. Adams and 2010 Account Statements (.7); correspond with G. Weisbart (.1); review and revise regarding at and correspondence to (.5); correspond with P. Dennis at BKD regarding Roger Supplemental January expenses, Gray's January expenses, HCF premium disbursements (.4); review 54-WF account balances as of 12/31/10 and reconcile various issues and prepare a report reflecting account balances and main account value (2.3); correspond with ASG regarding policy premium information (.1); review

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
				production of supplement information for Weisbart
				(.4); analyze Main Account trans. ctic n 3/23/10 -
1/10/11	Nanali M D	8.20	4,007.34	3/30/10 in connection with Beicek's Motion (.5)
1/10/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.20	4,007.34	Prepare response to Bejcek motion (6.1); e-mail correspondence with regarding PL1140
				claim (.2); e-mail correst vacance with M. Fellers
				regarding insurance on building (.1); revise
				correspondence to invesiors O'Neill and Petersen
				(.2); prepare respect to information request by
				Weisbart (1.0); onter with E. Espinosa regarding
				same (.2); tele 'in ne conference with M. Nielsen
				(.2); e-ma'i con espondence with G. Weisbart
1/10/11	Nolgon M S	0.20	No Charge	regarding Silving and Gray motion (.2) Review materials forwarded by M. Napoli
1/10/11	Nelson, M. S. Riley, P.	0.30 1.70	884.65	Review that the Review he Company's tax returns for 2009; review
1/10/11	idicy, i.	1.70	004.03	the to m agreements and other background materials;
				analyze issues related to the Company's income taxes
			•	<u>cr</u> 2009 and 2010.
1/11/11	Brown, A. G.	0.50	92.77	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry of
				(.2); review and respond to email request from
1/11/11	Dietel, K.	9.30	3 3 6 50	(.3) Draft Response to Bejceks' Motion to Release Funds
1/11/11	Dictor, IX.	9.50	33(0)0	(4.6); review documentation and authority regarding
				same (4.2); confer with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa
			9	regarding Response (.5)
1/11/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.16	No Charge	Review Order of Court
1/11/11	Espinosa, E. S.	6.50	2,112.00	Telephone conference with M. Nelson and M.
		1		Napoli regarding PL1140 policy (.5); telephone
	•			conference with R. Kipp, P. Dennis, S. Holden, P. Riley, M. Napoli regarding 2009, 2010, 2011 tax
				returns(1.7); telephone conference with W. Giles at
	0			Wells Fargo regarding Analyzed Account rates of
	0			return and fund management (.8); working session
				with M. Napoli and K. Dickel regarding response to
				Bejcek's Motion and prepare for hearing (2.7);
	. 70			correspond with P. Dennis regarding main account
				reconciliation and transmittal of 2010 statement (.5); consult with M. Napoli and A. Brown regarding
				investor inquiries regarding PL1140 (.2); review fax
	Nanoli M D			from J. O'Neill (.2)
1/1./1	Napoli, M. D.	9.20	4,496.04	Prepare response to Bejcek motion (2.0); prepare
				correspondence to James Settlement and Wilmington
J				Trust regarding PL1140 claim (1.0); correspondence
				with J. Pavlovcak regarding PL1140 claim (.1);
				review correspondence from (.2); prepare form response to investors regarding PL1140
				claim (.5); telephone conference with G. Weisbart
				ciami (.5), terephone conference with G. Weisbart

February 18, 201 a Invoice: 2335854

	tate of Texas vs. Retatter #: 1203981.000		Value LLC, et.	al. Page: 7 February 18, 201 a Invoice: 2335654
<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description (.4); review faxed orders from court (.1); telephone conference on tax issues (1.7); telephone conference with M. Nelson regarding PL1 ¹ 40 claim (.5); working session regarding hearing on Bejcek claim and Gray motion (2.7)
1/11/11	Nelson, M. S.	0.70	300.92	Telephone conference with M. Napoli regarding insurance issues (.4): conference with D. Osipovich regarding same (.3)
1/11/11	Osipovich, D. R.	0.20	No Charge	Conference with 14 Nelson regarding "insurable interest" research.
1/11/11	Quinn, M. J.	0.80	427.16	Telephone configurate with counsel representing licensees concerning potential settlement; review and analyze read documents
1/11/11	Riley, P.	4.10	2,133.56	Review 'ax returns and other background materials; analy 'e ax issues related to the Company's 2009 and 20 0 ax years; meeting with E. Espinosa and M. Lopoli to discuss the same; telephone conference with E. Espinosa, M. Napoli, Robert Kipp and other members of the BKD accounting firm to discuss the same.
1/12/11	Brown, A. G.	1.20	222.64	Review and organize exhibits in preparation for filing Response to Intervenor Motion to Release Funds (.5); file motion with court and prepare documents for service to parties (.3); conduct and respond to e-mail requests from and (.4)
1/12/11	Dietel, K.	3.90	1,086.00	Finalize Response to Bejcek Intervenors' Motion to Release Funds and prepare for filing (3.0)
1/12/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.90	1,888.00	Telephone conference with B. Reid, B. Baillotte and M. Napoli regarding contingency counsel (.7); request premium payment for HCF, correspond with C. Bradford regarding same (.3); review Chase Fraud Alert regarding check payment (.1); review and revise draft response to Bejcek Motion (1.8); telephone conference with M. Kaye (investor) (.5); telephone conference with S. Roy (QVest) (.8); review correspondence with Pac Life and JSS regarding PL1140 (.6); correspond with M. Fellers at B. Donagan regarding insurance (.1); follow-up on responses to a grading insurance (.1); follow-up on directly from ICB with C. Bradford (.2); identify K&L contact at Wilmington Trust (PL1140) (.5); correspond with ASG regarding funds forecast (.1)
1/12/11	Fine, J.		No Charge	Research 5th circuit bankruptcy cases on escrow funds and ownership issues

	State of Texas vs. Reti latter #: 1203981.0000		Value LLC, et.	Page: 3 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335854
<u>Date</u> 1/12/11	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 7.30	<u>Amount</u> 3,567.51	Description Prepare response to Bejcek motion (6.5); telephone conference with B. Reid regarding contingency fee for licensee suits (.5); conference with E. Espinosa regarding proposed fee for Reid (.2); e-mail correspondence with regarding PL1140 claim (.1)
1/13/11	Brown, A. G.	0.60	111.32	Conduct research and respond to email inquiry from (.3); review incoming documentation and update files (.3)
1/13/11	Cunningham, E.	0.20	54.30	Draft e-mail to N. Napoli regarding status of charge, chephone call to A. Karpf regarding the same.
1/13/11	Fine, J.	3.00	No Charge	Prepare fo. and meet with M. Napoli regarding escrow cases from the bankruptcy courts and their
1/13/11	Napoli, M. D.	3.50	1,710.45	application to how the funds are treated in this case; E- na.' correspondence with E. Cunningham togarding claim (.1); confer with B. Baillotte regarding licensee claims (.2); review Intervenors' response to Bejcek motion (.2); e-mail
				correspondence with A. Goldate (.1); telephone
			80.	conference with C. Bradford regarding motion to release funds and other issues (.3); review order setting motion (.1); confer with J. Fine regarding treatment of escrows in bankruptcy and liquidating trusts (.5); prepare for hearing on Bejcek motion (.5); prepare response to Gray motion to release funds
1/13/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.20	487.81	(1.5) Legal research regarding fraud exclusion to homestead exemption
1/14/11	Cunningham, E	0.20	54.30	Telephone conference with M. Napoli regarding T. Moss's EEOC charge; review T. Moss's
1/14/11	Dietel, K.	2.50	905.00	unemployment application. Confer with M. Napoli regarding preparation for hearing on Intervenors' Motion to Release Funds (.5); prepare exhibits for hearing (2.0)
1/14/11	Esta osa, E. S.	0.20	64.00	Correspond with P. Dennis regarding Chase and ICB bank statements (.1); multiple correspondence
1/14/1	Napoli, M. D.	6.10	2,981.07	regarding 1/19 hearing (.1) Prepare response to Gray motion to release funds (4.5); correspondence with (Wilmington Trust) regarding PL1140 policy (.1); review tax memo (.2); report to R. Pulie (Hartford) regarding claim (.3); research regarding Retirement
1/14/11	Quinn, M. J.	1.40	No Charge	Value corporate documents (1.0) Telephone conference and correspondence with D. Kozich, counsel for several licensees, in connection with potential settlement; correspondence with

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335854

.	•			
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
1/1/1/11	Diaga M. I	1.90	200.11	counsel for other licensees
1/14/11	Riggs, M. L.	1.80	399.11	Legal research regarding fraud exclusion to
1/1/1/11	Riley, P.	1.00	520.38	homestead exemption Paviant the Tay Metters Memore property by PKD:
1/14/11	Kiley, P.	1.00	320.38	Review the Tax Matters Memo prepared by BKD;
				analyze and research variousatters related to the
1/17/11	Riggs, M. L.	4.30	953.44	same. Analyze the sub-accounts which were withdrawn
1/1//11	Riggs, M. L.	4.30	933.44	
				from to fund the PL1140 account (2.3); analyze the
				amounts withdray: from the PL1140 account to fund other policy sub-accounts (2.0)
1/18/11	Prouve A G	1.60	296.85	-
1/10/11	Brown, A. G.	1.00	290.63	Conduct and reground to telephone inquiry from
				, l'cense regarding client (.4); review
				incoming Commentation and update client files (.2);
				conduct research for case law cited in Bejcek's
1/18/11	Cunningham E	1.20	325.80	Motions in preparation for hearing (1.0)
1/10/11	Cunningham, E.	1.20	323.60	Te ephone conferences with Texas Workforce Commission regarding unemployment
				craim; draft e-mails to M. Napoli regarding the same;
				telephone conference with Texas Workforce
				Commission regarding change of address; draft letter
				to Texas Workforce Commission regarding the
			0	same.
1/18/11	Dietel, K.	9.30	3,3(6.60	Review and analyze documents for hearing on
1/10/11	Dictor, IX.	9.50	5,50.00	Bejcek Intervenors Motion to Release Funds (1.5);
		. ('	prepare exhibits for hearing on Motion to Release
				Funds (4.3); review and analyze case authority cited
				by Bejceks' Motion and Supplemental Brief (3.0);
				draft e-mail correspondence to M. Napoli regarding
				analysis of same (.5)
1/18/11	Dietz, M.S.	1.40	747.53	Review motions and pleadings in preparation of
				hearing.
1/18/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.30	1,376.00	Telephone conference with
			Ź	Coppell, Texas (.5); correspondence with Tax team
				regarding tax matter memo and filing of 2009
				1120S(.3); review ASG January invoice and Premier
				Projections (.5); compile records for Bejcek's hearing
				(2.8); monitor scheduling emails (.2)
1/18/11	N: poli, M. D.	9.30	4,544.91	Prepare for hearings on Bejcek motion and Gray
				motion to sell assets (9.0); telephone conferences
	•			with J. Hohengarten (.2); telephone conference with
O				A. Garcia regarding PL1140 claim and Harrison suit
				(.1)
1′18/11	Riggs, M. L.	6.60	1,463.42	Prepare memo regarding
				(3.7); legal research regarding effect of

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 10 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335854

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
1/19/11	Brown, A. G.	1.10	204.08	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
				and conduct research reg. dir g request and
				draft e-mail response (.6); review and respond to e-
				mail from (.3); review recoming
1/10/11	D' / MC	(50	2 470 60	documentation and update the (.2)
1/19/11	Dietz, M.S.	6.50	3,470.68	Court hearings on Bejce! Anotion and Gray motion
				(6.2); meet with E. Fsp nosa and M. Napoli
1 /1 0 /1 1	E : E C	6.50	2 000 00	regarding status and strategy (.3)
1/19/11	Espinosa, E. S.	6.50	2,080.00	Hearing on Bejce: Motion to Release Funds and
				Gray's Motion to Seil assets (6.2); consult with M.
				Napoli and M. Detz regarding hearings, proposed
1/10/11	Eminara E C	5.00	N. Chara	trial dates (.3)
1/19/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.00	No Charge	Travel to Austin (2.5); travel to Dallas (2.5)
1/19/11	Napoli, M. D.	6.80	3,323.16	Attend Learings on Bejcek motion and Gray motion
				to relyas (6.2); confer with G. Weisbart (.3); consult
1/19/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.00	No Charge	with M. Dietz and E. Espinosa (.3) Layel to Austin (2.0); travel to Dallas (2.0)
1/19/11	Nelson, M. S.	0.80	343.90 •	
1/1//11	recison, w. s.	0.00	343,70	Pennsylvania coverage issues (.6); conference with
				D. Osipovich regarding same (.2)
1/19/11	Osipovich, D. R.	3.30	836.22	Research Pennsylvania life insurance law; draft and
1, 15, 11	osipovien, z. re.	2.20	- 0	send memo to M. Nelson, M. Napoli and E. Espinosa
				regarding research results
1/20/11	Brown, A. G.	0.80	148.42	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from
	,		69	e and (.3) conduct research
				regarding client document request (.4); review and
				respond to e-mail from (.1)
1/20/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.50	800.00	Consult with P. Riley regarding 2009 taxes (.3);
				consult with M. Napoli, B. Reid and J. Collins
	•			regarding licensee claims (2.0); consult with P.
				Dennis regarding update QBB (.2)
1/20/11	Napoli, M. F	6.30	3,078.81	Telephone conference with J. Hohengarten (.3);
				telephone conference with M. Nielsen (.6); e-mail
	G			correspondence regarding mediation (.1); meet with
				B. Reid and J. Collins regarding licensee claims
	. 0			(2.0); prepare confidentiality order (2.5); review
				discovery control plan (.3); review order resetting
	O			trial (.1); review memo regarding Pennsylvania
				insurance law regarding PL1140 claim (.4)
1/20/11	Riggs, M. L.	1.10	243.90	Review spreadsheet of the subaccounts related to the
				PL1140 policy (.2); create spreadsheets with
				formulas for calculating the funding and
100 /1.1	ח וים	0.20	15611	expenditures related to the PL1140 policy (.9)
1/20/11	Riley, P.	0.30	156.11	Meeting with E. Espinosa to discuss tax issues
1/21/11	D 4 C	0.60	111 22	related to the Company and it's 2009 refund claim.
1/21/11	Brown, A. G.	0.60	111.32	Draft email response to L. Tiscione (.3); review
				incoming documentation and update files (.3)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 11 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335854

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Description
1/21/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.40	213.58	Review order of Court setting trial and proposed Protective order (.2); review emails regarding trial
				setting order and other matters (2).
1/21/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.70	544.00	Consult with A. Brown regarding
				(investor) (.2), correspond with ASG regarding
				PL1140 (.1); various em a s regarding Proposed
				Confidentiality Order, Cheduling (.4); correspond with C. Bradford regarding Safety Deposit Box (.2);
				review Drinker B. 1.2 correspondence (.2); review
				WF 2010 Tax St. tement and forward to BKD; (.4)
				correspond will and C. Bradford
				regarding πCt 's \$15,000 to John Hancock (.4)
1/21/11	Napoli, M. D.	6.60	3,225.42	E-mail compondence and calls regarding proposed
				discove y plan and confidentiality order (1.2); revise
				disco e y control plan (.2); revise proposed co-ficentiality order (.4); research regarding claims
				against McDermott (3.0); review documents from
				cific Life investigation (.5); telephone conference
				with M. Nielsen (.4); review L. Harrison intervention
				(.3); review objections to discovery control plan by
			*	Kiesling and Defendants (.3); telephone conference
			G	with of Wilmington Trust regarding PL1140 (.3)
1/21/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.10	465.63	Legal research regarding availability of homestead
			9	exemption for securities fraud claims under the
1/21/11	Riley, P.	0.30	156.11	bankruptcy code Review materials related to the Company's taxes.
1/24/11	Brown, A. G.	0.5	129.87	Draft email response to (.3); conduct
1/2 1/11	Diowii, 71. G.	0.70	125.07	and respond to telephone inquiry from
	· ·			(.2); review incoming documentation and update
				files (.2)
1/24/11	Dietel, K.	3.00	1,086.00	Review and analyze Defendants' Request for
	20			Production to Receiver and draft responses to same
	G			(2.3); confer with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa
	CO			regarding same (.2); exchange e-mail correspondence with J. Halter regarding production
				of documents in response to Defendants' Request for
	G			Production (.2); review and analyze Intervenor L.
				Harrison's discovery requests to Receiver and
				calendar deadline for responses to same (.3)
1/24/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.40	213.58	Review emails regarding protective order and other
				matters; email to all regarding protective order signatures.
1/24/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.70	544.00	Consult with M. Napoli and K. Dietel regarding
1, = 1, 11	, <u></u> . <u></u> . <u></u> .	1.70	211.00	various litigation matters (confid, scheduling,
				interrogatories)(.3); consult with T. Moran and J.
				Swanson regarding (.5); telephone

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 12 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335854

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Description
				conference with regarding outstanding
				item and RV032 (.4); email C. B. df ord regarding
				SDB (.1); correspond with T. Harper at ICB (.1);
				review B. Gray's correspondence regarding Provident (.1); review various emails regarding
				scheduling and production (.2)
1/24/11	Goodfried, M.B.	1.00	No Charge	Conduct analysis of do unent collection issues for purposes of responding to inquiry from K. Dietel
1/24/11	Halter, J.A.	0.30	No Charge	Review and respect to email from K. Dietel regarding request for W. Rogers and D. Gray hard
				drive material, follow up with team regarding same
1/24/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.20	1,075.14	Revise comidentiality order (.4); review Weisbart
	•			correspondence to court regarding discovery plan
				(.2); texphone conference with G. Weisbart (.5);
				revie v and consider response to discovery from
				Harrison intervenors (.4); correspondence with A.
				Cyrcia regarding discovery (.2); research regarding
1/24/11	Diaga M I	2.00	No Chaus	Iv cDermott claims (.5)
1/24/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.80	No Charg	Prepare memo regarding
1/25/11	Brown, A. G.	0.30	No Charge	Review and revise certificates of service on RFP and
			60	Interrogatory responses with new intervenor
1 /2 5 /1 1	D 4.0	0.20	07.11	information
1/25/11	Brown, A. G.	0.20	37.11	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
1/25/11	Dietel, K.	2.26	796.40	Telephone conference with J. Halter and M. Napoli
		20		regarding production of electronic documents in
		<		response to Defendants' Request for Production (.2);
				draft Receiver's Responses to Defendants' Request
1/25/11	Dietz, M.S.	2.50	1,334.88	for Production (2.0) Review status of Proposed Protective Order (.1);
1/23/11	Dietz, Wi.S.	2.30	1,334.00	review Status of Proposed Protective Order (.1), revise Protective Order and re-circulate (.9); work on
				setting up interviews with plaintiffs attorney to
				handle licensees matter on contingency (1.1); email
				from mediator/follow-up with M. Napoli and
	CO			mediator regarding mediator question (.2); email
				from Jack Hohengarten regarding revision to
	C)			proposed Protective Order; revise same; circulate to
				all parties (.1); telephone conference with James
	•			Parsons regarding status of Proposed Protective
1/2 /11	F : F C	2.00	006.00	Order (.1).
1.52/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.80	896.00	Respond to K. Gray regarding W-2 inquiry (.1) call
				Dommford Inc. regarding Profit Sharing Plan and
				email regarding same (.3); monitor various email regarding scheduling, discovery and confidentiality
				(.5); consult with M. Napoli and K. Dietel regarding
				various litigation matters, review of PL1140

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

D - 4 -	A44	TT	A	Description of the second of t
<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u> remittance analysis (1.2); review Gray cross claim
				(.7)
1/25/11	Halter, J.A.	0.90	No Charge	Conference with M. Napoli and K. Dietel regarding
	, -			request to reproduce copies of W. Rogers and D.
				Gray material; coordinate vid. team regarding same;
				communicate with team carding request for data
				associated with loose electronic files in order to
				prepare cost estimate and risk analysis related to
1/05/11	п. С	0.40	N. CI	same
1/25/11	Hein, G.	0.40	No Charge	Prepare HDD fo. shipment to K. Dietel in Dallas
1/25/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.20	2,541.24	office Telephon conference with M. Nielsen (.3); e-mail
1/23/11	Napon, M. D.	3.20	2,341.24	correspondence with J. Parsons regarding orders (.1);
				attentic. to confidentiality order (.5); attention to
				production of policy binders (.3); research regarding
				(2.0); prepare cross-claim against Gray
				a. d Rogers (1.5); conference with E. Espinosa
				regarding contingency counsel (.3); telephone
				conferences with M. Dietz regarding interviews with
1/05/11	D. M.I	1.40	210.42	contingency counsel (.2)
1/25/11	Riggs, M. L.	1.40	310.42	Review draft discussion of all the relevant case law
				explaining when a court will use equitable remedies to foreclose on a homestead (1.1); determine internal
				coding for all sub-accounts contributing to the
		4.	6	PL1140 (.3)
1/25/11	Sanchez, J. R.	2.16	294.59	Prepare supplemental document production.
1/26/11	Brown, A. G.	0.90	148.42	Draft email response to (.4); conduct
		10		and respond to telephone inquiry from
				(.2); review incoming documentation and
1/26/11	Dietel, K.	3.80	1,375.60	update files (.2) Draft Receiver's Responses to Defendants' Request
1/20/11	Dictor, IX.	5.00	1,575.00	for Production of Documents (3.0); confer with M.
				Napoli regarding responses (.5); exchange e-mail
				correspondence with J. Dotson and S. Meisel
				regarding documents responsive to Defendants'
	. 0			requests (.3)
1/26/11	Dirtz, M.S.	0.80	427.16	Work on schedule of plaintiffs' attorney to meet with
	O			M. Napoli (.3); work on protective order revisions
1/26/	Dotgon I D	0.70	215.20	and final (.5).
1/26/11	Dotson, J. R.	0.70	215.39	Document review regarding request for production of communications between Receiver and Pacific
				Northwest
1′26/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.70	1,504.00	Review and revise Receiver's cross-claims regarding
	, ,	-	,	D. Gray, W. Gray, C. Gray (3.2); correspond with B.
				Rose (ASG) regarding RV031 (.2); follow up with
				M. Napoli regarding discussion of 1/25 (.1); consult
				with A. Brown regarding and and

Page: 13 February 18, 2011 Invoice: 2335854

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 17 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2335854

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Description
				(.3); correspond with P. Dennis and W.
				Giles regarding March 2010 state per t for account #7212 (.4); review correspondence regarding
				Confidential Order (.2); consut with M. Napoli
				regarding discovery production (.3)
1/26/11	Goodfried, M.B.	0.90	264.72	Coordinate and oversee in rauction of documents;
				address production issues to J. Halter
1/26/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.60	2,736.72	Telephone conference with J. Halter regarding
	•			responses to Gray 1 EP (.3); review and revise
				responses to Gra, RFP (.4); prepare memo to J.
				Hohengarter and A. Goldate (3.5); confer with M.
				Riggs reg ading PL1140 payment analysis (.2);
				review est, " ate for additional data analysis and
				search (2); correspondence with A. Goldate
				regar in g investor LMG (.2); consider documents for
				pr duction to defendants and intervenor (.5); prepare
1/26/11	Nelson, J. D.	0.70	114.03	to counsel interviews (.3) Onference with J. Dotson regarding Pacific
1/20/11	Neison, J. D.	0.70	114.03	Northwest request; email with K. Dietel regarding
				same; review emails and correspondence file
				regarding same.
1/26/11	Riggs, M. L.	4.10	9(9)9	Create spreadsheet tracking all funds from all sub-
				accounts that were used to fund the policy
				(LFG183-111109-MR) including the percent of the
		4,6	7	policy funded by each sub-account (.9); create
				spreadsheet tracking all funds expended from the
				sub-account and where the funds went (1.2);
		4.0		create spreadsheet tracking all funds from all sub-
				accounts used to fund the Cramer policy (AXA091-
		•		012110-PC) (1.0); create spreadsheet tracking all
				expenditures from the r sub-account and
1/26/11	Sanchez, (.1).	1.10	154.31	where the funds went (1.2) Finalize RV production
1/20/11	Dietel, K.	0.20	72.40	Exchange e-mail correspondence with C. Bradford,
1/2//11	Dictor, X.	0.20	72.40	counsel for Defendants, regarding production of
				electronic files in response to Request for Production
	****			(.2)
1/27/11	Dietz, M.S.	1.50	800.93	Staff attorney visit regarding entry of protective
				order (.4); meet with M. Napoli regarding strategy
				and plaintiffs' lawyer for claims against licensee's
				(1.1).
1/27/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.50	480.00	Consult with M. Napoli and M. Dietz regarding
)				judge's rulings and action items (.7); correspond with
_				M. Fellers regarding insurance renewal (.2); monitor
				discovery plan emails (.2); review inquiry from C.
				Bradford regarding Andrew's account (.2);
				correspond with B. Reid regarding contingency

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

	Page: 15
	February 18, 201
	Invoice: 2335854
scription_	0
ciipuon	

.	.	**		
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description
1/27/11	Napoli, M. D.	6.70	3,274.29	engagement (.2) Meet with and regarding
1/2//11	Napon, M. D.	0.70	3,214.29	licensee claims (.8); meet with regarding
				licensee claims (1.5); meet with regarding
				licensee claims(.8); meet viii, regarding
				licensee claims (1.1); prepare cross-claim against
				Gray and Rogers (2.0); review and revise discovery
				responses to Gray and Rogers (.5)
1/27/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.00	No Charge	Travel to Austin (? (); travel to Dallas (2.0)
1/27/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.40	532.15	Review documents regarding claims against
1/28/11	Brown, A. G.	0.20	No Charge	File Receivers Cross Claim against Dick Gray,
				Catherine Gray and Wendy Rogers with court
1/28/11	Brown, A. G.	0.80	148.42	Review documents in preparation for filing with
				court (2); arrange for service of claim on Catherine
				Gr (y4); conduct and respond to telephone inquiry
1/20/11	D' - 1 II	1.70	617.40	them (.2)
1/28/11	Dietel, K.	1.70	615.40	S S
				D. Gray, C. Gray and W. Rogers (.5); confer with A.
				Brown regarding filing and service of same (.3); exchange e-mail correspondence to C. Bradford,
			0	counsel for Defendants regarding acceptance of
				service for C. Gray (.2); revise Receiver's Responses
				to Defendants' Request for Production (.7)
1/28/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.50	266.98	Review orders from Court (.2); telephone conference
	,			with staff attorney regarding dismissal order (.2);
				telephone message to mediator regarding fee (.1).
1/28/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.20	1,056.00	Telephone conference with regarding
				updates (.7); telephone conference with P. Dennis
				regarding W-2's/1099's; bank reconciliation (.4);
	3			respond to request for production (.6); correspond
	~ ~ .			with L. Eduards regarding 707 Walnut (.2); consult
	20			with M. Napoli regarding 707 Walnut; Andrew
				Gray's funds (.4); correspond with W. Giles and P. Dennis regarding Wells Fargo account #7212 (.1);
				monitor correspondence regarding Discovery Plan;
	·20			finalize response (.1); review Answer
				to Verification to D. Gray's Counterclaim (.4);
				correspond with P. Dennis regarding Chase and ICB
				statements (.2); review response to Garcia (.2)
1/2 (/1)	Espinosa, E. S.	0.20	No Charge	Review Life Partner current events
1/28/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.50	2,199.15	E-mail correspondence with A Garcia regarding
2				discovery, mediation and other issues (.3); prepare
				memo to A Garcia (1.5); confer with E Espinosa
				regarding Andrew Gray account (.2); revise cross-
				claim against Gray (1.0); consider revisions to
				Discovery Control Plan (.2); review/revise responses

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

	Page: 15
February	18, 201 t
Invoice:	2335854

				mvoice. 233 3834
Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description
	<u></u>			to Gray RFP (1.0); review Kiesling motion to strike
				(.3);
1/28/11	Riggs, M. L.	1.80	399.11	Review documents regarding claims against
1/28/11	Sanchez, J. R.		No Charge	Prepare ESI for loading in to Ringtail for review.
1/30/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.20	64.00	Review memo from D. Cs.povich
1/31/11	Brown, A. G.	1.50	278.30	Follow-up regarding se vice of cross-claim on
				Catherine Gray (.2); conduct research for licensee
				agreement per M. apoli request (1.1); conduct and
1/21/11	D' 4 1 17	0.00	200.60	respond to inquity from investor (.2)
1/31/11	Dietel, K.	0.80	289.60	Finalize Receive's Responses to Defendants'
				Request for Production and prepare same for service
				on counse. (2); confer with R. Sanchez regarding
				status c. supplemental document production (.2);
				conferv ith M. Napoli regarding same (.1); draft correspondence to C. Bradford, counsel for
				Letendants, regarding production of documents on
				i. rd drive and prepare same for production (.3)
1/31/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.90	1,568.00	Telephone conference with P. Dennis regarding
1,01,11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.20	1,2 00.0	1099's and W-2's (.5); telephone conference with
				at ICB regarding Hill Country Funding
			-O'	(.4); telephone conference with K. Hinkle regarding
				Confidentiality Agreement (.3); consult with A.
				Brown regarding (.4); telephone
			So	conference with M. Feller at BDF regarding property
				insurance (.5); consult with M. Napoli regarding
				content value of 707 N. Walnut (.2); consult with M.
		14.0.		Napoli regarding contingency fee bids;
	(letter regarding ICB (.5); correspondence with C.
				Moore and C. Bradford regarding ICB (.9);
				telephone conference with
				regarding inquiry into portfolio (.6); consult with M.
	_0 `			Napoli regarding Sales force data and delegate (.4);
	G			Tax ID coordination to A. Brown and P. Dennis;
				consult with M. Napoli regarding contingency
1/21/11	N M. D.	5 90	2 924 46	counsel (.2) Province domain diletters from ECD (.2), conformatible E
1/31/11	Napon, M. D.	5.80	2,834.46	Review demand letters from FCB (.3); confer with E. Espinosa regarding same (.1); review/revise response
				to Gray RFP (.3); telephone conference with M.
				Nielsen (.2); prepare information packets for
0				potential contingency fee counsel (1.0); e-mail
				correspondence with and and (.3);
1/31/11				review proposals by and (.5);
				confer with E. Espinosa regarding same (.3); analysis
				of receiver's authority to sell assets and necessary
				approvals (.5); confer with E. Espinosa regarding
				missing SS#s for licensee 1099s (.2); research

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 17 February 18, 201 Invoice: 2325854

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	Hours Amoun	nt Description regarding SS#s (.3); confer with E. Espinosa regarding property insurance and valuation of miscellaneous assets (.3); prepare for strategy session (1.5)
1/31/11	Riggs, M. L.	0.20 No Char	Schedule research training with Ringtail advisor to work through searches regarding
1/31/11	Sanchez, J. R.	1.10 154.3	-
		TOTAL HOUR	362.30
		TOTAL FOR S	ERVICES \$131,272.61

TIMEKEEPER SUMMARY

		.	4
<u>Timekeeper</u>	Hou's	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Quinn, M. J.	£ 5.00	533.95	1,922.22
Dietz, M.S.	15.20	533.95	8,116.06
Riley, P.	8.00	520.38	4,163.04
Napoli, M. D.	133.80	488.70	65,388.06
Nelson, M. S.	1.50	429.88	644.82
Dietel, K.	42.60	362.00	15,421.20
Espinosa, E. S.	74.00	320.00	23,680.00
Dotson, J. R.	0.70	307.70	215.39
Goodfried, M.B.	0.90	294.13	264.72
Cunningham, E.	1.60	271.50	434.40
Osipovich, D. R.	3.30	253.40	836.22
Riggs, M. L.	28.90	221.73	6,407.98
Brown, A. G.	16.50	185.53	3,061.26
Nelson, J. D.	0.70	162.90	114.03
Sanchez, J. R.	4.30	140.28	603.21
Total for All Time keepers	362.30	\$362.33	\$131,272.61

INVOICI TOTAL

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Current Charges	131,272.61	0.00	131,272.61
	TOTAL BA	\$131.272.61	

Judicial copylials of the state of the state



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

т 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353867 Matter Desc.: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. C'i "'/Matter #: 1203981.00001

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered for your occumt during the period ending 02/28/2011. Detailed information regarding these fees is attached.

Current Charges:

Fees

96,576.13

Total Current Charges

\$96,576.13

PAYMENT FOR "CUP. ENT AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE APRIL 30, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to K&L Gates, RCAC, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98104-1158, Reference Invoice: 2353867



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018 T 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353867

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 02/231:

Matter: 1203981.00001

Matter Description: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value I L . . t. al.

Date	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Les cription
$\overline{2/1/1}$ 1	Dietel, K.	0.20	72.40	Exchange e-mail correspondence with M. Napoli and
				A. Brown regarding service of Cross-Claim on C.
				Gray and Defendants' counsel agreement to accept
			40	service regarding same (.2)
2/1/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.00	540 00	Review Real Estate Brokerage Agreement, execute
				and forward to L. Edwards (.5); telephone conference
			5	with regarding balance (.4); correspond
				with T. Harper and C. Moore regarding 707 N.
				Walnut (.3); revise proposed order regarding CTW
		4.0		and LLB&L (.2); correspond with GCU and Chase
				Bank regarding February living expenses (.4); review
				ISC invoice; correspond with BKD (.2)
2/2/11	Brown, A. G.	2.60	482.38	Conduct research for licensee agreements per M.
	•	7		Napoli request (2.1); conduct and respond to inquiries
	0			and (.50)
2/2/11	Espinosa E S.	4.10	1,312.00	Consult with M. Napoli regarding property value and
				resale, taxes, mediation and other issues (.9);
				telephone conference with K. Hinkle at ASG
	* A***********************************			1: D2C 1 (C1: 1 (: (0) 1)

regarding D3G and portfolio valuations (.9) consult with A. Brown regarding investor inquiry regarding and licensees (.4); review and revise Suppl. correspond with L. Eduards regarding 707 N. Walnut and other real estate appraisal (.3); correspond with Chase, ICB and C. Bradford regarding living expense (.2); review WTC documentation regarding McKay (.4); review correspondence from A. Garcia and M. Napoli regarding (.3); correspond with G. Qunioner regarding website update (.2)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 2 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353861

<u>Date</u> 2/2/11	Attorney Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 8.30	<u>Amount</u> 4,056.21	Telephone conference with a correspondence with J George (.1), for fer with E Espinosa regarding taxes, property sales, FAQs, mediation and other issues (.9), e mail correspondence with G Weisbar, regarding ASG and other issues (.1); revise FA (.5), e-mail correspondence with A Carcia regarding nature of investment and production (.8): review documents from Wilmington That (.5); telephone conference with J (.5); telephone conference with J (.5); telephone conference with J (.5) to the phone conference with J (.5) to the phone conference with J (.5) to the phone conference with J (.5); telephone conference with J (.5); telephone conference with J (.5); telephone conference with J (.6); prepare notion of Gray deposition (.3); prepare notion of Gray deposition (.3); prepare notion of Gray deposition (.3); prepare for strategy meeting (1.0)
2/3/11	Brown, A. G.	4.30	797.78	Con uc. research for licensee Social Security or Tax ID . unbers to assist in preparation of tax forms and t.p.o.ate spreadsheet regarding same (3.3); conduct research for licensee agreements per M. Napoli request (.8) review incoming documentation and forward to attorneys for review (.2)
2/3/11	Dietz, M.S.	1.50	800 9:	Conference with M. Napoli regarding status of plaintiffs contingency contracts and strategy (1.3); review emails regarding status of litigation/discovery (.2).
2/3/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.70	544.00	Correspond with R. Kipp regarding 1120S draft (.5); review and comment on BKD tax memo (.9); review correspondence (.1); correspond with ASG and L&E regarding open items (.2)
2/3/11 2/3/11	Napoli, M. D. Napoli, M. D.	5.50 4.00	No Charge 1,954.80	Travel to Austin (3.0) travel to Dallas (2.5) Attend strategy meeting with State and Intervenors
2/4/11	Dietel, K.	1.00	362.00	(3.0); meet with J. Hohengarten and A Goldate (1.0) Review Agreed Discovery Control Order and calendar deadlines regarding same for defense team (1.0)
2/4/11	Napai M. D.	8.20	4,007.34	Work on year-end report (3.5); prepare mediation materials (3.5); review analysis of policy payments (.5); e-mail correspondence regarding KPKF mediation (.2); analyze contingency fee proposals (.5);
2/4/1	Riley, P.	0.60	No Charge	Review Tax Matters Memo prepared by BKD and
2//11	Brown, A. G.	1.50	278.30	various emails concerning the same. Review and finalize research for licensee Social Security or Tax ID numbers to assist in preparation of tax forms and finalize spreadsheet regarding same
2/7/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	106.79	Emails from and to Jack Hohengarten regarding orders from Court (.2)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

March 31, 201 t Invoice: 2353867

Date		<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
2/7/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.60	512.00	Correspond with R. Kipp and M. Napoli regarding
				tax matters, review various attachn. entr from BKD
				(.7); telephone conference with T Moran regarding
				expert testimony (.4); consult vat M. Napoli regarding experts, litigation deadline (.5); correspond
				with A. Brown and P. Den is regarding TIN's for
				1099's
2/7/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.60	2,248.02	E-mail correspondence with R. Kipp regarding tax
	_			issues (.2); e-mail expression with J. Lee and S.
				Gibson regarding covert testimony and due date for
				analysis (.2); telest one conference with T. Moran
				(.3); confer nce with E. Espinosa regarding strategy
				meeting and "diation (.6); prepare memo to E.
				Espinosa regarding contingency fee proposals (.3); prepare mediation memo and gather information for
				med ation (3.0)
2/7/11	Riggs, M. L.	0.60	133.04	Research documents on Ringtail
2/7/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.00	443.46	Coss-reference all wire transfer data used for the
				LFG566/183, AXA091, and PL1140 policy
				spreadsheets (2.0)
2/7/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.20	487.81	Review & analyze documents as to issues & sub-
				issues pertaining to , Dick Gray,
				, and (2.2)
2/7/11	Riley, P.	1 60 ∧ N	Charge	Review memo and other materials prepared by BKD
_, , ,	, ,		g.	concerning tax issues of the Company; review
				various emails concerning these tax issues and
		14.0		various GAAP accounting issues related to the same.
2/8/11	Brown, A. G.	3.50	649.36	Conduct research for licensee agreements per M.
		Ť		Napoli request (3.1); conduct and respond to
				telephone inquiries from investors (.3); respond to email inquiry from (.1)
2/8/11	Espinosa, F. S	1.40	448.00	Various discussion with NBU and L. Edwards
2,0,11	Espinosa, F. E	1.10	110.00	regarding water leak @ 707 N. Walnut (.6);
				correspondence and consultation with R. Kipp and
				M. Napoli regarding tax (.4); correspondence with G.
				Quinones regarding website, correspond with J.
				Savanson regarding PWC request (.2); address
2/9/11	NI I'MD	0.20	4.057.21	returned 1099s
2/8/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.30	4,056.21	Review and analyze memo and spreadsheets from tax
				accountants (1.0); research, correspondence and comments to tax accountants regarding 2009 through
				2011 tax scenarios (2.5); prepare mediation
				memorandum (3.5); analysis of documents provided
				to PacLife by JSS regarding PL1140 policy (.8);
				prepare correspondence to of Abacus
				Settlements regarding PL1 140 policy (.5)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 7 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353861

<u>Date</u> 2/8/11	Attorney Riggs, M. L.	Hours 3.80	<u>Amount</u> 842.57	Description Review documents related to Dick Gray's
2/8/11	Riley, P.	3.80	No Charge	Review memo, spreadsheet and other materials prepared by BKD concerning the tax issues related to the Company's 2009 and 20 to ax years and the
				proposed amended returns for the Company's 2009
2/9/11	Brown, A. G.	0.40	74.21	tax year; analyze and research these tax issues. Conduct and respond to email inquiry from and (.4)
2/9/11	Dietel, K.	0.70	253.40	Confer with M. Na you regarding petition concerning
	,			McKay policy (.) follow-up with R. Sanchez
				regarding status of production (.1); review
				documentation regarding PL1140 policy (.4)
2/9/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.60	512.00	Telephor. conference with G. Quinones regarding
				updates (.); telephone conference with M. Fellers
				rega ding insurance on 707 W. Walnut (.3); consepondence with P. Dennis regarding A/P (.3);
				So sult with M. Napoli regarding status report
				p.ogress (.4); address HCF request for premiums (.2);
				review PWC correspondence/consult with M. Napoli
				(.2)
2/9/11	Napoli, M. D.	6.10	2,981 0	Prepare text for website updates (.5); prepare letter to Abacus Settlement regarding McKay policy (.5);
				prepare mediation packet (1.5); research regarding
				Hess report (.8); prepare e-mail correspondence
			7	regarding same (.2); prepare response to Quest
		.70		auditors (.4); e-mail correspondence with (.2); analysis and communication with R Kipp
		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		regarding taxes (2.0)
2/9/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0.40	56.11	Load documents to Ringtail in preparation for RV
2/ // 11	Suriencz, J. R.	0.10	30.11	production.
2/10/11	Dietel, K.	0.20	72.40	Review e-mail correspondence from R. Sanchez
				regarding document production and draft response
				regarding same (.2)
2/10/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.50	160.00	Telephone conference with J. Lee, S. Gibson and M.
	. 0			Napoli regarding actuarial report
2/10/11	Na _Ի Դո, M. D.	1.50	733.05	Telephone conference with R. Kipp regarding taxes
				(1.0); telephone conference with J. Lee and S. Gibson
2/10/1:	WI D	2.50	N. CI	regarding expert testimony (.5)
2/10/13	Riley, P.	2.50	No Charge	Review memo and other materials prepared by BKD
				concerning various tax issues related to the Company
				and the proposed filing of amended returns for 2009; analyze the same.
2/10/11	Sanchez, J. R.	3.00	420.84	Collect e-mail from E. Espinosa in preparation for
_, _, _,		2.00	.20.01	document production; prepare and load client data to
				Ringtail; prepare copies of audio CDs and video
				DVDs for RV production.

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353861

Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
2/11/11	Dietel, K.	4.70	1,701.40	Draft pleading asserting claim against Pacific Life
				Insurance Company regarding PL1 40 policy (3.9);
				draft e-mail correspondence to M Napoli regarding
				same (.1); review Intervenor L. H. rison's discovery
				requests to Receiver (.2); review and analyze
				documents regarding same (3), follow-up with R.
				Sanchez regarding supplemental document
				production and review e-mail correspondence from
				M. Napoli regarding ame (.2)
2/11/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.20	106.79	Work on mediation scneduling
2/11/11	Napoli, M. D.	1.10	537.57	Telephone conference with regarding
				expert testir iony (.9); e-mail correspondence with C.
				Bradford (.2)
2/11/11	Quinn, M. J.	3.20	No Charge	Prepare correspondence to licensees and counsel for
			_	license so oncerning status of settlement discussions;
				telephone conferences with counsel for licensees;
				co. espondence to M. Napoli; review and update
				Le isee contact chart
2/11/11	Sanchez, J. R.	7.10	995.99	Frepare and load client data to Ringtail; prepare client
				data for document production; prepare copies of
				audio CDs and video DVDs for RV production
2/14/11	Brown, A. G.	0.80	148 42	Review incoming documentation and update client
				files and index (.3); review and organize Receiver's
				First Amended Cross-Claim and prepare for filing
			.9	with court (.3); conduct and respond to telephone
				inquiry from regarding status of case (.2)
2/14/11	Cunningham, E.	0.70	190.05	Review request from New Jersey Department of
		14,0		Labor for separation information regarding
				review file to confirm appropriate response; draft e-
				mail to E. Espinosa regarding the same; revise letter
				to New Jersey Department of Labor.
2/14/11	Dietel, K.	0.50	181.00	Review and revise Amended Cross-Claim against
				Defendants Gray and Rogers (.2); research
	G			and draft e-
				mail correspondence to M. Napoli regarding same
				(.3)
2/14/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.70	1,504.00	Telephone conference with S. Lankford regarding
				insurance coverage (.5); telephone conference with
				M. Fellers at BDI regarding Hartford policy (.2);
				consult with M. Napoli regarding insurance coverage
				and exclusion (.2); review KPKF damage analysis
	Espinosa, E. S.			(.3); transfer JSS funds from Wells Fargo to Chase
O'				Operations (.4); remit insurance premium to J.
				Hancock regarding 707 N. Walnut (.2); correspond
				with and fund account at First Commercial Bank (.3);
				deposit at Chase operating account funds (.4); consult
				with M. Napoli regarding amended cross claims,

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 March 31, 2/1 L Invoice: 2353867

Data	Attomov	Цания	Amount	Description
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description
				allegations and cause of action (.5); correspond with
				BKD regarding accounting entries, review accounting
				experts CV (.3); review RV023-CPG option; consult
				with M. Napoli and correspond with ASG (.5);
				consult with E. Cunninghar, regarding
				correspondence from NJ (5), review bids from
				contingency counsel and consult with C. Budner
				regarding outsourcing (.6)
2/14/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.10	3,958.47	E-mail correspondence with E. Gonzalez of Abacus
	•			regarding PL1140 Jaim (.2); revise claim against
				Pacific Life regarding PL1140 (1.5); e-mail
				correspondence is M. Miller regarding PL1140 claim
				(.2); e-mail correspondence with of
				Wilming (1); prepare materials for experts (2.6);
				review 81 rehett CV (.3); prepare 1st amended cross-
				clair (1.5); confer with E. Espinosa regarding
				property insurance for 707 Walnut (.1); analyze
				p.c. nium guarantee option on policy (.3); confer with
				E. Espinosa regarding premium guarantee proposal
				(.1); review State's amended petition and Intervenors
			0,	cross-claim against Gray and Rogers (.7); Confer
				with E. Espinosa regarding contingency fee proposals
2/14/11	O : M I	1.20 N		(.5)
2/14/11	Quinn, M. J.	1.20 N	o Charge	Analysis of issues related to potential settlement with
0/14/11	C 1 ID	0.40	76.11	licensees and related claims of estate
2/14/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0.40	56.11	Load production documents to Ringtail.
2/15/11	Brown, A. G.	1.70	315.40	Draft letter to and forward to E. Espinosa
		1		for review (.3); conduct and respond to telephone
				inquiry from and regarding
		*		case status (.3); review incoming email and draft
				responses to same (.4); update investor files and
				index (.7)
2/15/11	Espinosa, F. S	2.60	832.00	Review PacLife correspondence and consulted with
	G			M. Napoli regarding PacLife and discussion
				regarding original briefing (.7); consult with M.
	. 0			Napoli regarding KPKF damage analysis (.2); consult
				with M. Feller regarding Hartford inquiry; respond to
	, ()			regarding same (.4); telephone
				conference with Investor (.2); correspond
				with R. Kipp regarding execution of 1120S (.2);
	*			review draft correspondence to ; revise
				and finalize (.7); review correspondence from B.
	Nanali M. D.			Rose (.2)
2/15/11	Napoli, M. D.	3.10	1,514.97	Research documents and information for accountants
_,,	1.mpo11, 111. 15.	2.10	-, ,	(2.0); e-mail correspondence with
				regarding PL1140 claim (.2); research regarding
				insurable interest under PA law for PL1140 claim
				insurable interest ander 171 faw 101 1 L11 TO Claim

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 7 March 31, 201 Invoice: 2353861

				IIIV0100. 233 007
Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description
				(.5); research regarding LLC (.2); e-mail
				correspondence with R. Kipp and T. Burchett regarding accounting experts and taxes (.2)
2/15/11	Sanchez, J. R.	1.40	196.39	Prepare document CD's for experience eview; prepare
2, 10, 11	541101102, 0. 10.	1.10	1,0.0,	additional document for RV production
2/16/11	Brown, A. G.	1.80	333.95	Conduct research regardin, the 2007-
				1 Family LLC entity and draft request for Delaware
				Secretary of State documentation (1.0); conduct and
				respond to telephony equiries from and and
				and research investors issues (.6); update
2/16/11	Egninogo E C	1.70	544.00	investor spreads (2) Consult with regarding public records
2/10/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.70	344.00	request (.2); sult with M. Napoli regarding C.
				Brown's correspondence; research, RV payment to
				Andrey compile emails regarding prior reference to
				Gray's safety deposit box and discussion regarding
				Motor Home; address issue
				(.5) consult with L. Edwards regarding appraisals
				(.4); review correspondence from T. Barchett and
2/16/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.70	2,785.59	forward comments to M. Napoli (.3) Review actuarial analysis (1.5); respond to
2/10/11	-			miscellaneous issues raised by C. Bradford (1.5); e-
				mail correspondence with regarding
				Trust (.2); review and comment on Burchett
			5	engagement letter for expert services (.3); review DE
				documents regarding LLC (.1); review Gray
				and Rogers answers to various claims (.2); telephone
		7		conference with C. Bradford (.4); e-mail
				correspondence with Burchett regarding documents for review (.2); e-mail correspondence with M. Quinn
	4	1		regarding licensee settlements (.2); telephone
				conference with T. Burchett (.2); analysis of PL1140
				policy in light of PA insurable interest rule and
	G			conference with E. Espinosa regarding same (.3);
				review contract for sale of rental house (.2);
	. 70			correspondence with broker regarding valuation of
				house (.1); consider settlement demand (.2); confer
2/16/11	Qainn, M. J.	1.30	No Charge	with E. Espinosa regarding settlement demand (.2) Telephone conferences with counsel for licensees;
2,10,11	Vanini, 141. J.	1.50	1.0 Charge	correspondence with M. Napoli; review status of
_0	*			licensee negotiations
2/16/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0.30	42.08	Prepare and load additional production document to
	.	0.00	16600	Ringtail.
2/17/11	Brown, A. G.	0.90	166.98	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
				and (.5); review incoming email and
				respond to same (.2); review incoming documentation and update pleading files (.2)
				accumentation and apado produing mos (.2)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 3 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353867

				invoice. 233 667
Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
2/17/11	Dietel, K.	1.80	651.60	Confer with M. Napoli regarding Defendant R.
				Gray's Motion for Leave to Design, te Responsible
				Third Party (.2); research case authority regarding
				and objections to
0/17/11	D . I D	0.20 31	· CI	same (1.6)
2/17/11	Dotson, J. R.	0.20 N	o Charge	Team e-mails regarding coordination of meeting Dick
				Gray at Frost Bank in Ne v Braunfels to pick up
2/17/11	Esninoso E C	4.30	1,376.00	certain items in safe deposit boxes Telephone conferency with (investor) (.2);
2/17/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.30	1,370.00	Telephone conference with (investor) (.2); telephone conference with (investor) (.6);
				telephone confer of with P. Dennis and R. Carter
				regarding reconciling Purchase Price allocation
				between RV and KPKF books (.3); draft reply to
				regarding Investors (.5); consult with
				M. Nat of regarding SDB access and Frost contact
				info (.2); correspond with
				Har ford (.2); review proformas for December and
				January billings (.8); telephone conference with L.
				Edwards regarding appraisal (.4);
				consult with A. Brown regarding response
			O*	(.1); attention to RainMaker invoices (.2); review
				Roger's proposed order (.2); consult with M. Napoli regarding and coordination of SDB access
				(.2)
2/17/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.20	+)07.34	Review, analyze and comment on actuaries report
_, _,, _,				(3.0); prepare expert disclosures (1.0); confer with E.
				Espinosa regarding Gray/Rogers settlement demand
		.4.0		(.5); telephone conferences with T. Burchett (.3);
	4			review filing by Gray (.1); review Rogers order to
				release funds (.2); correspondence with P. Dennis
				regarding RV policy breakdown spreadsheets (.2);
				confer with E. Espinosa regarding actuarial analysis
	_0 `			(.4); work on settlement demand (); telephone
				conference with J. Hohengarten and G. Weisbart (.8);
				telephone conference and e-mail correspondence with C. Bradford (.3); telephone conference with R. Petry
	• 0			of Frost Bank (.1); e-mail correspondence regarding
				safe deposit box (.2); telephone conference with J.
				Hohengarten regarding expert disclosure (.1); review
				appraisal on (.2); consider
_O	*			designation of responsible third parties by Gray (.4);
2/17/11	Nelson, J. D.	0.50	81.45	Review emails and document inventory regarding
O	_			bank meeting at safety deposit box; scheduling same.
2/18/11	Brown, A. G.	1.70	315.40	Review and prepare for filing Receivers Objection to
				Gray Motion for Leave to Designate Responsible
				Third Parties and file same with court (.3); review
				and respond to emails from (.5);

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 5 March 31, 201 L Invoice: 2353867

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
2/18/11	Dietel, K.	4.50	1,629.00	Review and analyze case authority regarding and objections
2/18/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.10	1,312.00	to same (1.5); draft Objection to Defendant R. Gray's Motion for Leave to Design to Responsible Third Parties (1.5); draft Motion for Leave to Join Third Party Pacific Life Insurance Company and Agreed Order Granting Modica (1.5) Meeting with T. B. rehett of BKD and M. Napoli (2.0); consult with Administrative Partner (.3); consult with M. Napoli regarding "PLI140-Trust" LLC and Modica Simon (.4); telephone conference with CT Corp regarding LLC's agent for service (.2); track down Messr Simon; telephone conference with
2/18/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.60	4,202.82	him egarding the LLC (.6); review round table appraisal, discuss same with L. Edwards and M. L'ar oli (.3); request appraisal on (.1); request January statement from WF (.2) Prepare demand letter to Gray and Rogers (3.0); meet with T. Burchett regarding expert testimony (2.0); telephone conference with (.3); confer with E. Espinosa regarding same (.2); e-mail correspondence with regarding Family LLC (.3); telephone conference with (.3); telephone conference with (.4); telephone conference with (.5); telephone conference with (.6); tele
2/18/11	Quinn, M. Y	0.80	No Charge	G. Weisbart (.3); telephone conferences with C. Bradford (.4); confer with K. Dietel regarding responsible third parties (.2); review and revise objection to motion for leave to designate responsible 3d parties (.3); telephone conference with A. Garcia (.4); prepare expert disclosure (.5); review/revise motion for leave to join Pacific Life (.7)
2/10/11	Quini, W. J.	0.60	No Charge	Telephone conference with counsel for licensees; research and analysis of related issues
2/21/11	Brow A. G.	0.80	148.42	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from (.3); review incoming emails and respond to same (.2); review incoming documentation and update files (.3)
2/21/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.50	1,760.00	Meeting with J. Lee, S. Gibson and M. Napoli (3.4); review account balances from Quickbooks (.4); telephone conference with P. Dennis regarding QBB update (.2); telephone conference with B. Boarnet regarding (.3); prepare report reflecting bank balance as of 1/31/11 (.2); download and transmit 1/31/11 WF statement balance to P. Dennis (.3); correspond with W. Giles regarding release of Andrew Gray's funds(.2); review draft

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 13 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353867

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u>
				settlement demand; consult with M. Napoli regarding
2/21/11	Nanali M D	8.70	4 251 60	same (.5)
2/21/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.70	4,251.69	Meet with J Lee, S Gibson and F Espinosa regarding actuarial analysis (3.0); prepare e pert disclosure
				(2.0); prepare demand letter (5.5); confer with E.
				Espinosa regarding conting cocy fee counsel and
				settlement strategy (.3): correspondence with C.
				Bradford regarding various issues (.3);
				correspondence with Henderson regarding PL1140
				claim (.1)
2/21/11	Nelson, J. D.	0.80	130.32	Emails and telephone conference confirming safe
				deposit box meeting; draft signature page for removal
2/22/11	D A. C	0.70	120.97	of document, eview and prepare for meeting.
2/22/11	Brown, A. G.	0.70	129.87	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from J. (.4); review incoming email and
				draft responses to and (.3)
2/22/11	Dietel, K.	3.50	1,267.00	Review and analyze documents responsive to
_,,	,	- ,	_,	Lae venor Harrison's Request for Production of
				Locuments (1.5); draft Receiver's Responses to
				Intervenor Harrison's Request for Production of
			•	Documents and First Set of Interrogatories to
0/00/11	F : F G	1.60	61200	Retirement Value (2.0)
2/22/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.60	512 00	Telephone conference with B. Boardnet regarding
			Co	; email B. Boardnet and L. Edwards regarding same (.4); review comments to settlement
		X		demand (.2); correspond with
				Hartford (.2); correspond with (investor) (.1);
		.40		correspond with D. Nelson regarding Gray's safety
				deposit box (.1); correspond with W. Giles regarding
				Andrew Gray (.1); consult with M. Napoli regarding
				Capital One's proof of claim (.4); correspond with A.
0.10.0.11.1			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Brown regarding (.1)
2/22/11	Napoli, M. O.	4.70	2,296.89	Finalize demand letter (.5); prepare expert
	, O			designation (1.0); review and comment on motion to sell motor home (.2); prepare for mediation (3.0)
2/22/11	Nelso J. D.	2.50	407.25	Travel to Frost Bank in New Braunfels to meet with
2/22/11	Titols D. J. D.	2.30	407.23	R. Gray to retrieve limited contents from safety
				deposit box; forward signed list to M. Napoli.
2/22/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.10	465.63	Legal research re
				; draft memo re same.
2/22/1	Sanchez, J. R.	0.20	28.06	Prepare document CD for expert review.
2/23/11	Brown, A. G.	0.40	74.21	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
2.12/11	Distal V	2.20	1 150 40	and and (.4)
2/23/11	Dietel, K.	3.20	1,158.40	Revise Receiver's Responses to Intervenor Harrison's Request for Production to Retirement Value (.2);
				exchange e-mail correspondence with R. Sanchez
				regarding document production (.2); draft e-mail

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 17 March 31, 201 L Invoice: 2353867

_				
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
				correspondence to E. Espinosa regarding Receiver's
				Answers and Objections to Interve. or 'larrison's
				Interrogatories to Retirement Value and verification
				to same (.1); prepare case law research and
				documents for M. Napoli for mediation (2.5); draft e-
				mail correspondence to reticled experts regarding
2/22/11	Essimosa E C	4.50	1 440 00	expert designation (.2)
2/23/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.50	1,440.00	Telephone conference with R. Hughes regarding
				D3G's proposal (.3), Liphone conference with Matt
				at AXA re AXA777(.2); telephone conference with C. Bobick at JSC \(\text{garding AXA777 (.2)}; \) telephone
				conference with regarding case status (.4); telepho. conference with regarding
				status report (.7); preparation for 2/24 mediation
				(1.2); the old arrangements (N/C .2); consult with M.
				Nap in regarding mediation (.5); correspond with A.
				Br. vn regarding Licensee's 1099, research same (.3);
				10 view appraisal of (.2);
				correspond with M. Feller and S. Linkard regarding
				insurance on 707 (.3); review HCF receivership
				pleading (.2)
2/23/11	Napoli, M. D.	7.00	3,420 90	Review and revise responses to Harrison discovery
_,,	- \ - p ,			(.5); finalize mediation memo (.5); prepare for
				mediation (5.5); prepare expert designation (.5)
2/24/11	Brown, A. G.	0.90	166.98	Review Receiver's expert witness designations,
	,	0.90		collect and organize exhibits for same (.5); conduct
				and respond to telephone inquiry from
		. 4.0		(.2); review incoming court filings and forward to
				attorneys for review (.2)
2/24/11	Dietel, K.	1.50	543.00	Review e-mail correspondence from expert witnesses
				regarding expert designation (.2); exchange e-mail
	•			correspondence with expert T. Moran regarding
	0			expert designation (.2); follow-up with M. Napoli
				regarding same (.1); review expert designation and
				coordinate with A. Brown regarding preparation of
				expert designation for filing with court (1.0)
2/24/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.00	No Charge	Travel to/from Austin (5.0)
2/24/11	L sp nosa, E. S.	9.00	2,880.00	Attend mediation of claims with R.H. Gray and W.
				Rogers (9.0).
2/24/1	Napoli, M. D.	4.50	No Charge	Travel to Austin (2.0); travel to Dallas (2.5)
2/24/11	Napoli, M. D.	9.00	4,398.30	Attend mediation (9.0)
2/25/11	Brown, A. G.	1.00	185.53	Revise exhibits for Receiver's expert witness
O				designations, prepare for filing and service (.4);
				conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
				(.4); review incoming documentation and
				update client index (.2)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 12 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353861

<u>Date</u> 2/25/11	Attorney Dietel, K.	<u>Hours</u> 1.30	<u>Amount</u> 470.60	Description Confer with M. Napoli regarding expert designation and expert bios and follow-up rega. din same (.3); telephone conference with expert witness T. Moran regarding expert designation (.?); revise and finalize expert witness designation (.5), Loordinate with A. Brown regarding service of expert witness designation (.3)
2/25/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.90	1,568.00	Correspond with J. Lee and M. Napoli regarding ASG/RV code convenient (.4); meeting with of D3G and S. Gibson or L&E (2.6); consult with M. Napoli and arrison's interrogatories; execute and deliver verification (.5); address Hartford Policy with M. Feller (4); correspond with P. Dennis regarding January to emiums (.2); review correspondence from inventors and consult with A. Brown (.4); consult and convergence with K. Hinkle and M. Napoli regarding Cvest's request for illustrations (.3)
2/25/11	Napoli, M. D.	7.50	3,665.25	Prepare Settlement Agreement (6.0); e-mail correspondence with and J. Pavolovic regarding PL1140 (.3); confer with E. Espinosa regarding PL1140 claim (.1); confer with E. Espinosa and P. Riley regarding redemption of interests and tax issues (.3); e-mail correspondence regarding Qvest request for illustrations (.3); telephone conference with A. Goldate (.3); finalize expert
2/25/11	Riley, P.	0.40 N	o Charge	designation (.2) Telephone conference with E. Espinosa to discuss tax issues related to the Company and its S corporation status.
2/27/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.90	608.00	Reconcile March premium activity (.6); review A/P for Real Estate appraisals and professional invoices (.5); transfer March premium funds to ASG (.4); correspond with P. Dennis regarding remittance (.4)
2/28/11	Brown A. G.	0.50	92.76	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from E. Richards and research documentation regarding same (.5)
	Lie el, K.	0.20	72.40	Finalize Receiver's Responses to Intervenor Harrison's discovery requests and prepare same for service (.2)
2/28/1	Espinosa, E. S.	3.20	1,024.00	Telephone conference with R. Kipp and M. Napoli regarding RV's tax situation; and Settlement with D. Gray and redemption of his shares (.6); correspond with M. Fellers and D. Susing regarding Hartford Insurance (.4); review and execute W-3, 1099s and NJ tax filings (.5); consult with M. Napoli regarding coverage issues and Gray settlement (1.2); review

K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

March 31, 201 Invoice: 2353861

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	Description	Q'
2/28/11	Napoli, M. D.	6.60	3,225.42		Kipp regarding tax agreement (4.0); i.e. nent agreement egarding depositions
				property insurance (.5)	ogaranig taxos ana
		TOT	AL HOURS		287.50
		TOT.	AL FOR SER	VICES	\$96,576.13

TIMEKEEPER SUMMARY

I IVIEREEI ER SUMMARI			
<u>Timekeeper</u>	Homes	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	4.60		
Dietz, M.S.	1.90	533.95	1,014.51
Napoli, M. D.	719.30	488.70	58,301.91
Dietel, K.	23.30	362.00	8,434.60
Espinosa, E. S.	60.90	320.00	19,488.00
Cunningham, E.	0.70	271.50	190.05
Riggs, M. L.	10.70	221.73	2,372.51
Brown, A. G.	23.50	185.53	4,359.95
Nelson, J. D.	3.80	162.90	619.02
Sanchez, J. R.	12.80	140.28	1,795.58
.40			
Total for All Timekeepers	287.50	\$335.92	\$96,576.13

Total for All Timekeepers	287.50	\$335.92	\$96,576.13		
6					
INVOICE TOTAL					
. 6	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>		
Current C	Charges 96,576.13	0.00	96,576.13		
	TOTAL B	ALANCE DUE	\$96,576.13		
PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE APRIL 30, 2011					

Judicial Cody Havis Co. District Clerk Polyon Line Co. Distric



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

Tax ID No. 25 0921018

т 214.939.5500

www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 March 31, 2011 Invoice: 2353868 Matter Desc.. David & Elizabeth Gray

Client/Iv.atter #: 1203981.00003

This statement covers fees for legal services rendered for your account during the period ending 02/28/2011. Detailed information regarding these fees is attached.

Current Charges:

Fees

3,468.75

Total Current Charges

\$3,468.75

PAYMENT FOR "CUP.' ENT AMOUNT" IS DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE APRIL 30, 2011

Please Return a Copy of This Page With Your Payment to K&L Gates, RCAC, 925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900, Seattle, Washington 98104-1158, Reference Invoice: 2353868



K&L Gates LLP 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

March 31, 2011

Invoice: 2353868

Tax ID No. 25 0921018 T 214.939.5500 www.klgates.com

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eddy Espinosa K&L Gates, LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 02/22/1:

Matter: 1203981.00003

Matter Description: David & Elizabeth Gray

Date	Attorney I	Hours	Amount •	Les cription
$\frac{1}{2/1/1}$ 1	Espinosa, E. S.	0.30	96.00	Attention to request for production
2/1/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0.40	No Charge	Prepare Ringtail documents and ESI for production.
2/7/11	Dietel, K.	1.50	543.00	Review and respond to e-mail correspondence from N.
			40 °	Laurent, counsel for Defendants, regarding status of
				document production (.1); confer with R. Sanchez
				regarding same (.2); draft Receiver's Answers and
			.9	Objections to Defendant's Second Set of
				Interrogatories (.9); draft correspondence to counsel
		0		for Defendants regarding supplemental production in
		, 4.0		response to Defendants' Request for Production and
				prepare same for service (.3)
2/7/11	Napoli, M. D.	1.90	928.53	Revise response to second interrogatories (1.0);
				research (.4); e-mail
	~ ~ .			correspondence with regarding same (.2);
	20 1			confer with E. Espinosa regarding 2nd amended
2/7/11	Complex D	1.70	No Chausa	petition (.3)
2/7/11 2/8/11	Sanchez, J. R.	1.70 0.20	No Charge	Prepare Retirement Value document production.
2/0/11	Di∈v.¹ K.	0.20	No Charge	Review and respond to e-mail correspondence from N.
				Laurent, counsel for Defendants, regarding supplemental document production (.1); conference
				with A. Brown regarding status of responses to
				Defendants' second set of discovery (.1)
2/9, 11	Dietel, K.	3.70	1,339.40	Revise Second Amended Original Petition and finalize
2/2/	Dictor, IX.	5.70	1,555.10	same for filing with Court (1.7); finalize Receiver's
				Responses to Defendants' second set of discovery
				requests (1.7); prepare same for service on Defendants'
				counsel and draft correspondence regarding same (.3)
2/9/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.50	160.00	Review response to Defendant's Interrogatories;
	• ′			finalize verification

K&L GATES

Matter: David & Elizabeth Gray Client/Matter #: 1203981.00003

March 31, 201 L Invoice: 2353 868

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	Hours	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
2/9/11	Napoli, M. D.	0.30	No Charge	Conference with E. Espinosa regarding interrogatory
				responses (.3)
2/10/11	Brown, A. G.	0.40	No Charge	Review and organize Second Amended Petition in
				preparation for filing with court. i e same with court
2/10/11	Dietel, K.	0.30	108.60	Draft correspondence to De candints' counsel regarding
				service of Second Amende Original and prepare
				pleading regarding service or same (.2); review and
				respond to e-mail correspondence from N. Laurent,
				counsel for Defend. * tz, regarding request for copy of
				responses to second set of discovery requests (.1)
2/11/11	Napoli, M. D.	0.10	No Charge	Telephone conference with L. York
2/16/11	Napoli, M. D.	0.60	293.22	Conference with E. Espinosa regarding transfer of
				venue to Au. *i.1 (.2); telephone conference with L.
				York regarding transfer of venue, discovery and
				settlem in (.4)

TOTAL HOURS

11.90

TOTAL FOR SFAUCES

\$3,468.75

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Napoli, M. D.	2.50	488.70	1,221.75
Dietel, K.	5.50	362.00	1,991.00
Espinosa, E. S.	0.80	320.00	256.00
Total for All Timekeepers	11.90	\$291.49	\$3,468.75

INVOICE TOTAL

	<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Current Charges	3,468.75	0.00	3,468.75
	TOTAL BA	ALANCE DUE	\$3,468.75