Filed
11 May 23 P4:22
Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza
District Clerk
Travis District
D-1-GV-10-000454

### Cause No. D-1-GV-10-000454

STATE OF TEXAS,	§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	\$ \$ \$
V.	\$ \$
RETIREMENT VALUE, LLC,	<b>§</b>
RICHARD H. "DICK" GRAY, HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, LLC, a	<b>§</b> §
Texas Limited Liability Company, HILL COUNTRY FUNDING, a Nevada	§ TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS §
Limited Liability Company, and WENDY ROGERS,	§
	<b>§</b> <b>§</b>
Defendants,	§ §
and	§ 6 8
KIESLING, PORTER, KIESLING, & FREE, P.C.,	§ 8
Relief Defendant.	126 <sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT

# DEFENDANT WENDY ROGERS' OBJECTION TO THE SIXTH APALACATION FOR FEES BY THE RECEIVER AND RECEIVER'S COUNSEL

### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Defendant Wendy Rege's objects to the Sixth Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel as follows:

I.

The October 26, 2010 Order Regarding the First Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel and November 4, 2010 Agreed Second Order Regarding the First Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel require that "[a]ny objection [to the Receiver's fee application] must state with specificity the particular items of the Receiver's r quest to which objection is made." (Oct. 26, 2010 Order at p. 2; Nov. 4, 2010 Order at p. 2.) Defendant has highlighted in Exhibit A the particular items in the Receiver's March invoice to which she objects.

With the exception of a charge for 1.5 hours by Mr. Napoli on March 1, 2011, each of the charges highlighted by Defendant is for work done by Mr. Napoli and Mr. Espinosa to p. er are and review the Receiver's invoices. Defendant has highlighted a total of 12.4 hours by Mr. Napoli and a total of 5.4 hours by Mr. Espinosa for this work. At Mr. Napoli's rate of \$488.70 per hour and Mr. Espinosa's rate of \$320 per hour, the Receiver charged a total of \$7,786.95 in March 2011 simply for reviewing and preparing the Receiver's fee applications.

This charge is unreasonable and should be disallowed. First, the preparation of the Receiver's fee application is not a service that benefits the estat. By definition, it benefits only the Receiver and his law firm. Second, the work on the fee application should have been performed by paralegals or junior attorneys charging less than \$488.70 per hour (Mr. Napoli) or \$320 per hour (Mr. Espinosa). Finally, the amount of time and charges are excessive in comparison to the total invoice. Almost exactly 10% of the total invoice amount of \$79,574.56 is for the Receiver and his firm's request to be paid.

Mrs. Rogers also objects to a charge for 1.5 hours by Mr. Napoli on March 1, 2011 to "[w]ork on application for property coverage." This description is insufficiently specific and, if related to an insurance application, should have been done by a less expensive paralegal.

In summary, Defendant requests that the Court disallow \$8,520.93 in fees, reducing the Receiver's allowable Sees \$71,053.63.

П.

Defendant renews her request, set forth in her December 28, 2010 Objections to the Fourtl Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel, that the Receiver be required to post all of the Receiver's fee applications on the website he maintains at y ww.rvllcreceivership.com (accessed May 23, 2011).

As stated by the Receiver on the web site's home page (Exhibit B-1), the web site "was established to facilitate the dissemination of information regarding the status of the case a vell as our efforts to recover assets for the investors' benefit." Although the Receiver ha rubicized his efforts, he has not informed investors how much those efforts cost.

The Receiver's fee applications are not posted on the Receiver's we'vete today. The home page contains links to eight pages other than the home page. The vebsite includes a page for "case information" which includes a number of pleadings and undates filed in the case. See http://www.rvllcreceivership.com/Case Info.htm (accessed May 23, 2011) (Exhibit B-2). The Receiver has chosen not to post his fee applications on this page. Nor has he chosen to post his fee applications "mrestor Communications." See the page entitled http://rvllcreceivership.com/Investor\_comm.htm (accessed May 23, 2011) (Exhibit B-5). None of the other pages (all last accessed on Mev 23, 2011) contains a link to the Receiver's fee applications. In fact, the Receiver does not even disclose the amount of fees he has already collected nor the fees he is now seeking.

The Receiver should be required to disclose these fees for the program participants' sake. Although a small number of Retirement Value's program participants have intervened here, each has a relatively small stake in each fee application. The Receiver's fees affect the pool of all investors and all investors deserve to be apprised of the fees purportedly being spent on their behalf.

The foceiver has uploaded copies of other pleadings and motions to the web site. It would be a trivial and costless or nearly costless task to upload copies of the fee applications to the Poceiver's website and create links to them on either the "Case Information" or "Investor Communications" pages. Defendant requests that the Receiver be required to do so.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Wendy Rogers requests that her objection to the Sixth Application for Fees by the Receiver and Receiver's Counsel be sustained, that the Receiver be ordered to post all of his fee applications on the web site maintained by the Receiver, and for such other relief to which she may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

CHRIS (O"HER B. BRADFORD

State Bar No. 00783700 2620 Kinney Oaks Ct.

Ausun, Texas 78704

(512) 809-8564

(512) 308-6425 (fax)

chrisbbradford@gmail.com

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT WENDY ROGERS

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2011, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent to the following electronically via eFiling for Courts and/or facsimile

Attorneys for Plaintiff.
Jack Hohengarten
Charles B. McDonald
Financial Litigation Division
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
300 W. 15<sup>th</sup> Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Flr.
P.O. Box 12548
Austin TX 78711-2548
jack.hohengarten@oag.state.tx.us

Attorneys for Relief Defendant
Kiesling Porter:
Matthew G. Nielsen
Spencer C. Barasch
ANDREWS KURTH, LLP
1717 Main Street, Ste. 3700
Dallas TX 75201
matthewnielsen@andrewskurth.com
sbarasch@andrewskurth.com

Attorneys for Intervenors
Grant W. and Opal E. Bejce\*
(via email only, by agreenery):
Patrick S. Richter
SHANNON, GRACEY
98 San Jacinto Block Ste. 300
Austin TX 78701-4245
prichter@shannon.gracey.com

Attorneys for Receiver of Retirement Value, LLC
Michael Napoli
K&L GATES, LLP
1717 Main Street, Sec. 2800
Dallas TX 7520
michael.napoli@klgates.com

Attorneys J. r Intervenors
Dr. G.C. v., B. Edelstein and
Ovest 'n Master Fund, LLC:
Te. v. Scarborough
Ceoffrey D. Weisbart
FANCE SCARBOROUGH, LLP
111 Congress Avenue, Ste. 500
Austin TX 78701
tscarborough@hslawmail.com
gweisbart@hslawmail.com

Attorneys for Intervenor
Ladell Harrison:
Alberto T. Garcia III
Adrian R. Martinez
GARCIA & MARTINEZ, L.L.P.
5211 W. Mile 17 1/2 Road
Edinburg TX 78541
albert@garmtzlaw.com
adrian@garmtzlaw.com

Christopher B. Bradford

Exhibit A clerkolyal. Price Co. Dishicit Co.



Tax ID No. 25 0921018

K&L Gates re 1717 Main Street Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

т 214.939.5500

www.kleates.com

### PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT DISCLOSE

The Estate of Retirement Value, LLC c/o Eduardo S. Espinosa, Receiver 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201

April 19, 2011 Invoice: 2368605

### FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RECORDED AS OF 03/. 1/1 :

Matter: 1203981.00001

Matter Description: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	De zern tion
3/1/11	Brown, A. G.	0.70	129.87	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
27 1	2012, 11			(.4); conduct research regarding deposit discrepancy (.4)
3/1/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.50	800.00	elephone conference with Hohengarten and M. Napoli
3/1/11	Lapinosa, D. O.	2.00	000100	regarding Gray settlement (.6); review 3/9/10 deposit,
			- 0	consult with P. Dennis and A. Brown regarding same
				(.7); correspond with P. Dennis regarding A/P
				(mediation and NBU) (.4); consult with M. Napoli
				(mediation and NBO) (.4), consult with M. Napon
				regarding, Insurance coverage (.8)
3/1/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.60	1,270.62	Work on application for property coverage (1.5); e-mail
				correspondence regarding illustrations for (.2);
		. 4 0		telephone conference with J. Hohengarter regarding
				settlement strategy and discovery (.6); e-mail
				correspondence and telephone conference with S.
				Lankford regarding property insurance for 707 Walnut
				(.3)
3/2/11	Brown, A. G.	0.50	92.77	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from
3/2/11	Diowii, 7	0.50	,2.,,	r and (.5)
2/2/11	Espino. 2 E. S.	2.50	800.00	Review J. Lee's revised analysis (.3); consult with M.
3/2/11	Espino. 4 E. S.	2.30	800.00	Napoli and IT regarding XLSX files (.4); review D.
				Gray's prepared settlement (.8); correspond with J.
	*.'O'			Wiennbrenner regarding Roger's March expenses (.2);
				Wiening regarding Roger's March expenses
				correspond with P. Dennis booking of March expenses
				(.1); execution and transmittal of A/P checks (.2);
				correspond with B. Boarnet regarding 707 N. Walnut
O				(.2); correspond with K. Henderson regarding maturity
				report (.1); review correspondence with S. Hankford (.2)
3/2/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.00	977,40	Review actuary report (1.5); telephone conference with
314111	mpon, m Di			J. Lee regarding report (.5)

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 2 April 19, 2011 Invoice: 206, 605

<u>Date</u> 3/3/11	Attorney Brown, A. G.	<u>Hours</u> 1.20	<u>Amount</u> 222.64	Description  Review incoming email from and and and draft responses regarding same (.5);  conduct and respond to telephone in quiries from
3/3/11	Espinosa, E. S.	8.80	2,816.00	and (.4); rev. w and load tax documentation to ringtail data (2.3) (.3) Correspond with P. Quiglev egarding dismissing request for TDI hearing (.2); correspond with W. Giles regarding A. Gray dist a genent (.1); consult with A. Brown regarding (Investor) (.4); correspond with (Investor) (.2); correspond with P.
				Dennis regarding A. P batch (.1); analyze K&L invoices for Jan & Feb 201. (1.6); draft Fee Application #5 (.8); draft Affidenit in support of Fee Application #5 (.7); draft motion for payment of profession (non-KLG) expense. (1.2); consult with M. Napoli regarding L&E Analysis, release of TDI hearing and other matters
			4	(2.5): telephone conference with at AXA Equitable (.'); review correspondence regarding Pac-Life material (.'); review and execute letter withdrawing request for DI hearing (.2); review insurance proposal and compare with B. Donagan's (.3); correspond with R.
			(0)	Evans regarding Office Depot (.3); research and respond to R. Therborn regarding 1099 (.4)
3/3/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.00	977.40	Review proposal for insurance on 707 Walnut (.2); confer with E. Espinosa regarding same (.2); e-mail correspondence with counsel regarding settlement agreement (.1); review and confer with E. Espinosa regarding actuarial analysis (1.5)
3/4/11	Brown, A. G.	0.70	129.87	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from documentation and update files (.3)
3/4/11	Napoli, M. F.	6.90	3,372.03	Telephone conference with J. Lee and S. Gibson (.6); review insurance offer, prepare memo and complete insurance application (2.0); e-mail correspondence with J. Pavolvcak regarding PL1140 (.1); telephone conference with J. Pavolvcak regarding PL1140 (.2); telephone conference with S Lankford regarding 707
				Walnut (.2); e-mail correspondence with L Edwards regarding 707 Walnut (.1); telephone conference with A Brown regarding investor call regarding Vertical Capital offer (.1); revise draft settlement agreement for Gray (.3); correspondence with W. Timby regarding PL1140 claim (.2); correspondence with P. Keel regarding mediation for KPKF (.4); prepare for mediation (2.2);
3/4/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0,40	56.11	work on application for non-KLG professional fees (.5) Prepare and load RV tax documents to Ringtail for review

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Date	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Description</u>
3/7/11	Brown, A. G.	0.40	74.21	Conduct and respond to telephone inquir. from
				and (.4)
3/7/11	Espinosa, E. S.	0.20	64.00	Telephone conference with M. Nap degarding
				mediation with Rogers
3/7/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.50	No Charge	Travel to/from Austin
3/7/11	Napoli, M. D.	9.50	4,642.65	Attend mediation for KPKF and Rogers (9.5)
3/8/11	Brown, A. G.	1.30	241.19	Review and organize motion in preparation for filing
				with court and file Fou. * Motion to Pay Professional
				Fees (.4); conduct and respond to telephone inquiries
				from (.2): 1 view incoming documentation and update client files and index (.7)
2/0/11	E ' . P C	1.00	320.00	Telephone con Service with M. Napoli regarding results
3/8/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.00	320.00	of KPK&F rediation; W. Rogers 2nd mediation and
				Lewis & 'alis analysis
3/8/11	Napoli, M. D.	7.40	3,616.38	Correspondence with J. Hohengarten and P. Quigley
3/0/11	Napon, W. D.	7.40	5,010.50	regarding mediation (.3): research regarding John
				House incensee (.2); e-mail correspondence to A.
				Coldate regarding Hodge (.1); finalize application to
				p, y professionals (.2); prepare receiver's fee app (3.5):
				confer with E. Espinosa regarding mediation, Rogers
			0	settlement offer, plan of distribution, tax and actuarial
			70	issues (1.1); respond to investor correspondence (2.0)
3/9/11	Napoli, M. D.	7.10	3,4 59.17	E-mail correspondence with J. Lee regarding actuarial
				analysis (.1); telephone conference with S. Gibson and
			3,459.77	J. Lee regarding actuarial analysis (.5); review latest
		4	7,	report from Gibson (.4); research regarding approval of
		.0		sale of property by receiver (.5); correspondence with J.
		15		Pavolvack regarding PL1140 claim (.2); work on fee
		~ `		application (4.2); e-mail correspondence with G. Weisbart and P. Keel regarding settlement (.2);
				telephone conference with J. Hohengarten regarding
		4		Rogers and KPKF settlements (.5); review W. Rogers
	Q			financial information and research value of real property
	.0			(.5)
3/10/11	Brown, A. G.	0.30	55.66	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from
5/10/11	brown, c. or	0100	22.22	(.3)
3/10/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.00	320.00	Telephone conference with M. Napoli, J. Hohengarten,
				A. Goldate and P. Quigley regarding W. Roger's offer
				and counter offer
3/10/11	Napoli, M. D.	8.40	4,105.08	Work on fee application (2.0); telephone conference
	-			with J. Hohengarten, A. Goldate, P. Quigley and E.
3/10/11				Espinosa regarding Rogers settlement (1.0); telephone
				conference with J. Hohengarten regarding Rogers
				settlement (.2); telephone conference with investor
				(.8); work on plan of distribution and
				motion to approve (4.4)

April 19, 2 (1) Invoice: 2 (6) 605

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

CHellin	viatiei #, 1203901	.00001		Invoice: 2 · 6 · 605	
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	Hours	Amount	Description	
3/10/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0.30	42.08	Prepare "Wendy Rogers" documents from kingtail to send to AG.	
3/11/11	Morenoff, D.	0.40	152.04	Confer with M. Napoli on structure of potential	
				liquidation pleading	
3/11/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.80	2,834.46	E-mail correspondence with C 3 adford regarding Gray settlement agreement (.1); ter phone conference with R. Kipp and J. Lee regarding actuarial and tax analysis (1.5); prepare motion to approve plan of distribution (3.8); conference with D. Morenoff regarding plan (.4)	
3/11/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.10	465.63	Conference with M Napoli regarding wire transfer spreadsheets (2); review and revise regarding same (1.9)	
3/11/11	Sanchez, J. R.	0.30	42.08	Prepare "W ndy Rogers" documents from Ringtail to send to A 1.	
3/14/11	Brown, A. G.	0.60	111.32	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from (.2); retrieve and review incoming case filings and forward to attorneys for review (.3); update case calendar (.1)	
3/14/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.40	448.00	Consult with M. Napoli and S. Elrod regarding deed for /07 (.2); prepare and transmit replacement check for Lipscomb & Cathy (.4); review series of Motions from C. Bradford (.5); review L&E invoice (.1); correspond with G. Quinones regarding website being down (.2)	
3/14/11	Morenoff, D.	0.20	76.02	Email team concerning strategy	
3/14/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.10	1.526.27	E-mail correspondence with S. Elrod regarding deed for 3606 Comal Springs (.1); conference with E. Espinosa (.5); e-mail correspondence with J. Pavlovcak regarding PL1140 claim (.2); work on motion in support of plan (1.5)	
3/15/11	Brown, A. G.	1,20	222.64	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from and (.4); review incoming documentation and update client files and index (.3); review pleading files and prepare index of recent filings for attorney review (.5)	
3/15/11	Espinos, E. S.	5.10	1,632.00	Transfer funds from subaccounts to Wells Fargo main account for February and March premium (1.8); correspond with G. Quinonies regarding website status and modification and update to same (.5); consult with M. Hadaway regarding January and February invoice (.2); consult with D. Morenoff and M. Napoli regarding proof of claim (.5); prepare deposit of PL1140 proceeds, Hartford's refund and miscellaneous funds (.7); correspond with P. Dennis regarding \$1,000,000 payment to JSS/PNW and accounting for above deposits LFG735 (.7); telephone conference with (investor) (.3); correspond with ASG regarding PL1140 (.2); consult with A. Brown and M. Napoli regarding	

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

April 19 2 11 Invoice: 2 6 .605

<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description court filing (.2)
3/15/11 3/15/11	Morenoff, D. Napoli, M. D.	0.50 5.50	190.05 2,687.85	Confer with M. Napoli and E. Espings, on strategy Review filings and discovery reque, to by W. Rogers (.4); e-mail correspondence with "Pavolveck regarding PL1140 claim (.1); confer with "Espinosa and D. Morenoff regarding plan effortstribution (.5); e-mail correspondence to counsel regarding PL1140 claim (.1); prepare motion to appre soplan (4.4)
3/15/11	Riggs, M. L.	0.60	133.04	Review wire transfer a tivity for the Laub account (.5); meet with M. Na to 'r garding same (.1)
3/16/11	Brown, A. G.	0.50	92.77	Review and or ganize documents to be posted to the website and draft email to web coordinator regarding posting (.5)
3/16/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.70	544.00	Correspond with M. Feller regarding insurance (.2); telephone conference with K. Hinkle at ASG regarding and "Settlement Services" (1.0); consult with a Brown and G. Quineris regarding website neetings (.2); review "complaint" to regulators (.3)
3/16/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.80	2,345.76	Work on motion to approve plan (4.5); confer with E. Espinosa regarding plan (.2); e-mail correspondence with R. Kipp regarding PL1140 payment (.1)
3/16/11	Riggs, M. L.	2.00	4 '3 .6	Review wire transfer activity for the Laub account (1.0); create spreadsheet regarding same (1.0)
3/17/11	Brown, A. G.	0.50	92.77	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from and and an
3/17/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.80	427.16	Conference call with M. Napoli regarding facts and strategy.
3/17/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.00	960.00	Consult with M. Napoli regarding withdrawal of C. Bradford and W. Rogers Motion for Continuance (.7); consult with M. Napoli regarding flow of funds, collapsing the portfolio; issues surrounding the plan of distribution; contingency counsel; order releasing W. Rogers funds, etc. (2.1); telephone conference with investor) (.2)
3/17/11	Najvili, M. D.	4.50	2,199.15	Work on motion to approve plan of distribution (3.5); confer with E. Espinosa regarding Bradford withdrawal and motion for continuance (.3); confer with M. Dietz regarding continuance (.3); e-mail correspondence with C. Bradford regarding continuance and Rogers release of funds (.2); review agreed order regarding release of funds (.2)
3/18/11	Espinosa, E. S.	4.00	1,280.00	Review appraisal of 707 N. Walnut (.3); correspond with R. Kipp, M. Napoli regarding 2009/2010 1120S (.4); consult with M. Napoli regarding response to investor inquiries regarding PLI140 proceeds (.4);

## K&L GATES

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Client/	Matter #: 1203981.	10000		April 19, 2011
				Invoice: 2 · 6 · 605
<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	Amount	<u>Description</u> review and revise fee app #5 invoices (2.3), correspond
3/18/11	Napoli, M. D.	1.80	879.66	with G. Quinoness regarding update:d website (.2); correspond with P. Dennis regarding Chase and 1CB statements (.2); review Chase on line alerts (.2) Review and respond to investor requiry (.5); prepare FAQ addressing plans for finds (.5); e-mail correspondence with G. Weisbart regarding PLI140, continuance and Roger. Clease of funds (.2); e-mail correspondence with Kipp regarding Collins
3/21/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.00	640.00	settlement and 201 (6.1 (.2); research regarding payment of interest on death benefit for PL1140 (.4) Consult with N apoli regarding Motion to Withdraw/Continuance (1.2); telephone conference with T. Moran regarding proposal; Moran's availability (.6); very us emails regarding continuance and parties position (.2)
3/21/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.70	2,296.89	Review appraisal on 707 Walnut (.3); respond to
	•			in estor inquiry (.5); review George & Brothers e .gagement agreement (.3); review and consider Gray
				revisions and comments to settlement agreement and PI
			~O°	(.5); prepare response to inquiry from J Parsons regarding motion for continuance (.3); review additional
			O	information from actuaries (.3); prepare interim report
		٠,	6	(1.5); confer with E. Espinosa regarding actuaries, taxes, Gray comments to settlement agreement, and plan
				of distributions (1.0)
3/22/11	Brown, A. G.	0.20	148.42	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiry from r (.3); review and respond to emails from and (.5)
3/22/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.50	266.98	Review emails regarding motion to withdraw, continuance, and hearings.
3/22/11	Espinosa, F S.	3.80	1,216.00	Telephone conference with R. Kipp P. Dennis, M. Napoli regarding 2009/2010 1120S, State of RV's books and discuss capitalization of expenses (3.1); telephone conference with (Licensee/Investor) regarding status update (.5); correspond with S. Lankford regarding insurance binder on 707 N. Walnut
3/22/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.70	2,785.59	(.2) Telephone conference with R. Kipp, P. Dennis and E. Espinosa regarding tax and accounting issues (3.1); telephone conference with C. Bradford regarding Rogers (.5); work on interim report (2.0); review correspondence from J. Parsons regarding withdrawal and continuance (.1);
3/23/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.90	1,248.00	Telephone conference with and and regarding proposed for capital infusion (.9); consult with M. Napoli regarding Lewis & Ellis's

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 7 April 19, 2011 Invoice: 2758635

D-4-	A 44=	Hanna	4 4	Description
<u>Date</u>	Attorney	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description analysis, tax implications, cash manage nen'
				(Language (2.1);
				telephone conference with reg in Jing
				(.3); correspond with M. Doss at Ch. se regarding "add-
				on" rate (.2); consult with S. Elvoc regarding title policy
				on Comal Springs (.2); correspond with K. Hinkle at
				ASG regarding Capital (2)
3/23/11	Lee, T.	1.00	199.10	Conference with S. Elrot regarding preparation of draft
				deed from R. and C. Cra, to E. Espinosa, as receiver;
				review e-mail corres, ordence from M. Napoli
				regarding appraisal coport and title insurance to be
				obtained; revi w a praisal report; draft deed conveying
				title to 3606 Comal Springs Drive to receivership;
				conference with S. Elrod regarding title commitment
				ordered
3/23/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.30	1,124.01	Confer with S. Elrod regarding deed to Comal Springs
				house (%); review insurance policy for 707 N. Walnut
				(.2,; v ork on plan of distribution and attendant tax and
				ca arial issues (1.9)
3/24/11	Brown, A. G.	0.40	74.21	Conduct and respond to telephone inquires from
			_ ^	in and a second
3/24/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.30	160.19	Emails regarding resetting trial and motions to
				withdraw/continuance.
3/24/11	Espinosa, E. S.	1.50	482.50	Telephone conference with T. Platsky at Austin
			.60	American Statesman (.6); correspond with C. Bradford
				and C. Cervantes regarding HCF Premium payment
		4	7	(.2); telephone conference with P. Lynch regarding 707
		.70		N. Walnut (.2); consult with M. Napoli regarding C.
		1	/	Bradford's points on settlement language (.4); telephone
				conference with P. Dennis regarding 1099's (.1)
3/24/11	Lee, T.	0.30	59.73	Review and revise draft deed; conference with S. Elrod
		4		regarding draft deed
3/24/11	Napoli, M. E	3.60	1,759.32	Revise Gray settlement agreement (2.5); work on Gray
				PI regarding Retirement Value (.7); confer with E.
				Espinosa regarding Gray settlement and David Gray
				(.4);
3/25/11	Dicz, M.S.	0.10	No Charge	Review various emails regarding continuance/setting.
3/25/11	Est nosa, E. S.	1.70	544.00	Telephone conference with P. Dennis regarding the
				1099 to (licensee); Investigate amount
				paid in 2010, review canceled checks and correspond
				with P. Dennis regarding same (.5); correspond with P.
				Dennis regarding data file regarding Receiver's books
				and records; (.3); review draft update for R. Kipp (.2)
3/25/11				review 707 N. Walnut appraisal from B. Boarnet (.3);
				review correspondence from regarding
				transfer of IRA custodial services and reply via A.
				Brown.

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

Page: 8 April 19, 2011 Invoice: 2758095

D.,4-	<b>1</b> 44	TT	4 4	Description
<u>Date</u> 3/25/11	<u>Attorney</u> Napoli, M. D.	<u>Hours</u> 2.70	<u>Amount</u> 1,319.49	<u>Description</u> Prepare memo regarding tax issues (.5), prepare draft PI
3/23/11	нароп, м. о.	2.70	1,319.49	for Gray (1.0); review and comment on P <sub>1</sub> for HCF (.4);
				review State's revisions to Gray settle 1 ant agreement
				(.3); work on interim report (.5)
3/28/11	Brown, A. G.	0.30	55.66	Conduct and respond to telephone inquiries from
3/20/11	Brown, 71, 0.	0,50	33.00	and and
3/28/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.80	896.00	Telephone conference with (investor) (.5);
0.50.11	Bopillosa, Bi Bi	2.00	0,0.00	review and release January and February invoices for
				printing (.3); correspond with P. Dennis at BKD
				regarding Fee App/cry of Services (.3); address
				premium with ASG and LE (.2); consult with B. Rose
				regarding HC! policies (.5); review draft Gray
				Settlement (.6),dress funds management with M.
				Ross at Chas (.4)
3/28/11	Napoli, M. D.	5.20	2,541.24	Revise se. le nent agreement (1.5); revise PI regarding
				Retirement Value (.5); prepare comments to settlement
				agree, vent (.3); prepare report (2.8); prepare certificate
				of bjections (.1);
3/29/11	Brown, A. G.	0.70	129.87	Periew and organize documents in preparation for
				fi ing and file same with court (.3); review and respond
				to email from and and (.4)
3/29/11	Dotson, J. R.	0.30	No Charge	Search Austin files regarding HCF policies; r-mail to M.
				Napoli and E. Espinosa regarding HCF policies; e-mail
2/20/11	F : F0	0.70	-0.64.00	from E. Espinosa regarding same
3/29/11	Espinosa, E. S.	2.70	864.00	Correspond with P. Dennis, A. Brown and M. Napoli
				regarding next A/P run and payment of professional services pursuant to Fee App (.7); review proposed
				transmittal to C. Bradford regarding settlement language
			7	(.3); transfer April premium amounts among Wells
				Fargo accounts and wire funds to ASG (1.2);
				correspond with and K. Hinkle regarding
				proposal (.3); consult with J. Dotson regarding
	•			HCF policies.(.2)
3/29/11	Lee, T.	0.70	139.37	Review e-mail correspondence from S. Elrod regarding
				title commitment received; brief review of title
				commitment; e-mail correspondence with title company
				requesting copics of vesting deed and exception
				documents; review e-mail correspondence from the title
. (	67			company regarding copies of exception documents;
				review exception documents received against title
N. J.	CO			commitment; e-mail correspondence with the title
		5.00	0.505.50	company regarding copy of plat needed
5711	Napoli, M. D.	5.70	2,785.59	E-mail correspondence with counsel regarding Gray
				settlement agreement (.2); revise settlement agreement (.3); prepare report (2.5); work on fee app (2.7)
3/30/11	Brown, A. G.	0.80	148.42	Conduct and respond to email inquiry from
<i>3/3</i> 0/11	DIOWII, A. U.	U.AU	140.42	(.3); review incoming documentation and update client
				(15), 14,144 mediants decementation and aparte offent

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al. Client/Matter #; 1203981.00001

Date	A 64 a v m a v	Hanna	A	Description
<u>Date</u>	<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Description index (.2); conduct and respond to telephone inquiry
				from (.3)
3/30/11	Dietz, M.S.	0.10	No Charge	Emails regarding status.
3/30/11	Espinosa, E. S.	3.50	1,120.00	Consult with M. Napoli regarding b adford's
3130711	Espiriosa, El El	5.00	1,120.00	withdrawal and D. Gray (.4); consult with M. Napoli
				and regarding (2.0); review
				correspondence with R. Ki, 7 (2); correspond with P.
				Dennis at BKD regarding NBU invoices (.2); consult
				with C. Budner (.4); consult with
				M. Napoli regarding cach verses accrual basis
				accounting (.3)
3/30/11	Lee, T.	0.30	59.73	E-mail correst ond race with title company regarding
				copy of plat; review e-mail correspondence from title
				company reparding copy of plat ordered
3/30/11	Napoli, M. D.	4.10	2,003.67	Prepare notion to approve Gray settlement (1.0); e-mail
				to J George re fee agreement (.1); telephone conference
				with Goorge re fee agreement (.2); prepare report
				(2.1), prepare response to e-mail from C Bradford re tax
3/30/11	Nanali M. D	2.00	977.40	iss les (.6); correspondence with R Kipp and J Lee (.2)  N eet with and E. Espinosa regarding cash
3/30/11	Napoli, M. D.	2.00	977.40	management strategies
3/31/11	Brown, A. G.	0.30	55,€ 5	Conduct and respond to email inquires
3/31/11	Espinosa, E. S.	5.40	1,720.00	Consult with M. Napoli, R. Kerridge regarding tax
				issues (2.0); meet with M. Napoli, and and
			Co	regarding cash management (1.5); respond to
				inquiry regarding PL1140 (.7) correspond with
		4	7	R. Kipp regarding Tax issues (.1); review invoice for
		.90		February fees (.7) Review correspondence from C.
		7		Bradford (.3); consult with M. Napoli regarding
2/21/11	rz. "M n	2.20	1.951.62	engagement of contingency fees counsel (.1)
3/31/11	Kerridge, R.	3.30	1,851.63	Work sessions with M. Napoli and E. Espinosa; research and analyze tax issues.
3/31/11	Lee, T.	0.30	59.73	Review e-mail correspondence from title company
3/3////	1500, 11	0.50	37.73	regarding delay in obtaining copy of plat; e-mail
				correspondence with title company regarding same
3/31/11	Napoli, D.	4.00	1,954.80	Confer with E. Espinosa and R. Kerridge regarding tax
				issues (2.0); review draft settlement agreement for
	·.'0			KPKF (.3); e-mail correspondence with counsel
				regarding KPKF settlement (.1); meet with
				regarding cash management (1.5);
	,			correspondence with C. Bradford regarding tax issues
O	Napoli, M. D.			(.1)

199.40 TOTAL HOURS

TOTAL FOR SERVICES

\$79,574.56

Matter: State of Texas vs. Retirement Value LLC, et. al.

Page: 1 April 19, 20 i Client/Matter #: 1203981.00001

THMEKEEP	ĿК	SUMMARY
Timekeener		

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Kerridge, R.	3.30	561.10	1,851.63
Dietz, M.S.	1.60	533.95	854.33
Napoli, M. D.	110.40	48o.? )	53,952.48
Morenoff, D.	1.10	380.10	418.11
Espinosa, E. S.	58.50	_20.00	18,720.00
Riggs, M. L.	4.70	221.73	1,042.13
Lee, T.	2.60	199.10	517.66
Brown, A. G.	11.20	185.53	2,077.95
Sanchez, J. R.	1.00	140.28	140.27
Total for All Timekeepers	199.40	\$399.07	\$79,574.56

### INVOICE TOTAL

		<u>Fees</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Total</u>
Current Charges	-O*	79,574.56	0.00	79,574.56
TO BALANCE DUE THIS INVOICE				\$79,574.56

PAYMENT DUE IN FULL ON OR BEFORE MAY 19, 2011

Exhibit B Clerk Price Obsticit Co. District Co. District

# Exhibit B-1

AC, K
Alcreceiver
Home Page Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcrece: ership.com)

03/15/11

Policy #PLI140-111109-DM has matured. Pacific Life has paid RV's alms under that

policy to the Receiver.

03/15/11

Policy #PLI140-111109-DM has matured. Pacific Life has paid RV's claims under that

policy to the Receiver.

Home

Case Information

Welcome to the Retirement Value LLC, Receivership website. This website was established to facilitate the dissemination of information regarding the status of the case as well as our efforts to recover assets for the invesions' benefit.

Ancillary **Proceedings** 

The Receiver

Investor

Communications

On the Case Information page you can find copies of the State's Petitions, the First Amended Temporary Restraining Order and Order Appointing Receiver; the Agreed Temporary Injunction and Order Appointing Re siver and other court documents. The website also contains information regarding the Receiver, communications with investors, Frequently Asked Questions. And other links of interest.

Contact Information

of Interest

Frequently Asked

Questions

Forms and Links

comments regarding the proposed Plan to the Receiver before that date, so you comments can be considered and, if deemed appropriate, incorporated.

Disclaimer

The trial setting of State of Texas v. Retirement Value, LLC et al.,

5/19/2011 prenously set for trial on May 16, 2011 has been continued until August

Below, please find a link to a uraft of the Proposed Plan of Distribution that the

receiver will be filing with the Court on or about June 1, 2011. Please submit any

22 2011.

5/18/2011 roposed Plan of Distribution

5/18/2011 Summary of Proposed Plan of Distribution 4/30/24 11 Report of Receiver Eduardo S. Espinosa

03/15/11 Policy #PLI140-111109-DM has matured. Pacific Life has paid RV's

claims under that policy to the Receiver.

21/20/11 Judge Triana-Doyal has set State of Texas v. Retirement Value, LLC et

al., for trial on May 16, 2011.

# Exhibit B-2 LLC, Receiver 'receiver' Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcrecegyership.com)

"Case Intormation"

(www.rvllcreceivership.com/Case Info.htm) ACT.

**Home** 

**Case Information** 

Ancillary Proceedings

The Receiver

Investor Communications

Contact Information

Forms and Links of Interest

Frequently Asked Questions

Disclaimer

On May 5, 2010, the State of Texas filed suit against Retirement Value, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, Bruce Collins and Richard H. Gray. The 126th Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas, issued a Temporary Restraining Order and appointed me as Temporary Receiver over Retirement Value's assets. On May 18, 2010, the Court entered, by agreement of the parties, a temporary injunction again, t Gray and Retirement Value and continued the Receiver's appointment. The State's ibsequently amended its suit to include Wendy Rogers as a defendant, and to seek a receivership over Hill Country Funding, LLC, a Texas limited liability company and Hill Country Funding, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company each a Retirement value affiliate. Also named in the lawsuit as a relief defendant is the law firm of Kinsking, Porter, Kiesling & Free, P.C. Kiesling Porter served as escrow agent in cornection with the alleged investment scheme. The temporary injunction and the receivership will remain in place until the end of the trial of this matter, which is currently scheduled for May 16, 2011.

The Receiver has asserted a cross-claim against Richard Gray, Wendy Rogers and Catherine Gray, the wife of Richard Gray. In his cross-claim, the Receiver alleges that Mr. and Mrs. Gray and Mrs. Rogers diverce substantial sums of money from Retirement Value in violation of the Texas Butiness Organizations Code and the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act. In addition, he Receiver has sued Mr. Gray and Mrs. Rogers to recover the damages caused to retirement Value due to the fraudulent scheme alleged by the State.

A group of investors, acting on behalf of a class of all investors, has asserted claims against Kiesling, Poter, Kiesling & Free, P.C. A second group of investors has intervened and asserted individual claims against Kiesling Porter. We anticipate that the claims against Kiesling Porter will be tried separately from those against Retirement Value, Richard G. ay and Wendy Rogers.

The lawsuit flieges that the Defendants orchestrated a fraudulent investment scheme in which several hundred individuals invested as much as \$65 million. The State has alleged, among other things, that the defendants: sold unregistered securities; are not registered to offer or sell securities; made material misrepresentations and omissions in contraction with the offer or sale of securities; and otherwise violated the Texas State Scorines Act and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act. The Petition, for example, states that the defendants made material misrepresentations or omissions regarding the calculation of the insured's life expectancy; the character of Midwest Medical's control persons; Midwest Medical's analysis' accuracy, reliability and historical performance; defendant Gray's repute, qualifications and experience; and the securities' value.

Bruce Collins has agreed to the entry of a permanent injunction which the Court entered on June 17, 2010. He has also entered into a settlement with the Receiver under which

Collins transferred approximately \$319,000 in cash and other assets to the Receiver. On June 17, 2010, the Court approved the settlement between Collins and the Receiver.

### **Documents**

2-24-2011

Receiver's First Amended Cross-Claim Against Dick Gray, Catherine Gray and Wendy Rogers

1-28-2011

Receiver's Cross-Claim Against Dick Gray, Catherine Gray and Wendy

### Untitled Document

/23/2011		Untitled Document
	1- <u>21-2011</u>	<u>Rogers</u> Harrison Or <u>iginal Plea in Intervention</u>
		Agreed Order Continuing the Hearing on the Receiver's Motion to
	11-4-2010	Consolidate the Portfolio
	9-27-2010	Receiver's Motion to Consolidate.
	6-24-2010	State's First Amended Petition.
	6-24-2010	Exhibits to First Amended Petition
	6-17-2010	Order Granting Receivers Motion for Approval of Settlement with Collins
	6-17-2010	Order Granting Severance of Claims Against Bruce Collins
	6-17-2010	<u>Agreed Permanent Injunction and Final Judgment as                                   </u>
	6-2-2010	Second Agreed Order to Extend TRO Against Colins
	6-2-2010	Order Granting Motion for Temporary Sealing
	5-28-2010	Agreed Temporary Injunction order agains Fetirement Value, Gray and Kiesling Porter and Appointment of Receiver
	5-20-2010	Order Granting Amended Motion to Modify TRO
	5-12-2010	Agreed Order Extending 1st Amonged TRO and Order Appointing Receiver
	5-5-2010	Verified Original Petition with out exhibits
	5-5-2010	Exhibits to Plaintiffs Original Petition
	5-5-2010	First Amended Temporar Restraining Order and Order Appointing Receiver- Certified
	5-5-2010	Order Granting Plain. 4c Motion for Expedited Discovery
	6007	
Joseph		
JROILLIO		

# Exhibit B-3 LLC, Receiverer 'receiver Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcreceivership.com)

"Ancillary Proceedings"

(www.rvllcreceivership.com/Ancillary Proceedings.htm)

### **Ancillary Proceedings**

<u>Home</u>

Case Information

Documents

Ancillary Proceedings

9-14-2010

Receiver's Amended Original Petition re David Cras

The Receiver

<u>Investor</u>

<u>Communications</u>

Contact

<u>Information</u>

Forms and Links

of Interest

Frequently Asked

Questions

Disclaime<u>r</u>

# Exhibit B-4 LLC, Receiver 'receiver Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcreceivership.com)

"The Receiver"

Alcre Alcoholistic Annual Control of the Control of (www.rvllcreceivership.com/the receiver.htm)



### **Home**

Case Information

Ancillary Proceedings

The Receiver

Investor Communications

Contact Information

Forms and Links of Interest

Frequently Asked Questions

Disclaimer

Mr. Espinosa's practice includes corporate, domestic and international business transactions, mergers & acquisitions, securities and securities enforcement. Mr. Espinosa has advised public companies on their public reporting requirements and has represented various market participants before the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission in enforcement proceedings.

Mr. Espinosa advises clients with the benefit of his experience in the government, public and private sectors. Mr. Espinose began his legal career as an Enforcement Attorney with the Securities and Exchange Commission, where he investigated and prosecuted violations of the federal securities laws. In private practice, Mr. Espinosa has represented clients in a multitude of commercial transactions ranging from the enterprise-wide to the operational levels. In addition, he has served as Ceneral Counsel to a multi-million dollar distribution company and Senior Transactional Counsel to a multi-national telecommunications company. Mr. Espinosa compliments his legal credentials with a Masters of Business Auministration and significant accounting experience.

# Exhibit B-5 LLC, Receiver Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcreceivership.com)

"Investor Communications"

<u>acreceiv</u> (www.rvllcreceiversaip.com/investor comm.htm)

		Receiver's Reports
<u>Home</u>	5-09-2011	Receiver's Letter to Investors re April 30, 2011 Report
Case Information	4-30-2011	Report of Receiver Eduardo S. Espinosa
<del>-</del>	8-16-2010	List of Retirement Value's Insurance Policies
Ancillary Proceedings	8-11-2010	Investors' Webinar
<u>Froceedings</u>	7-29-2010	Initial Report of Receiver Eduardo S. Espinos
The Receiver	7-29-2010	Appendix and Exhibits to Receivers Initia. Report
Investor		Investor Communications
<u>Communications</u>	8-03-2010	Receiver Letter to Investors re Initial ? eport and notice of webcast
<u>Contact</u>	6-10-2010	Letter to Investors regarding Proposed Settlement with Bruce Collins with
<u>Information</u>	0 10 20 10	Settlement Motion attached
Forms and Links	5-18-2010	Investor Questionnaire regarding Retirement Value LLC
<u>of Interest</u>	5-14-2010	Letter to Investors from Receiver
Frequently Asked	2-11-2010	Retirement Value Policies
Questions		
<u>Disclaimer</u>		

# Exhibit B-6 LLC, Receiver receiver Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcrece; ership.com)

"Contact information"

Allcreceix (www.rvllcreceivership.com/contact info.htm)

<u>Home</u>

Case Information

<u>Ancillary</u>

**Proceedings** 

The Receiver

Investor

**Communications** 

Contact Information

Forms and Links

of Interest

Frequently Asked

Questions

<u>Disclaimer</u>

Eduardo Espinosa

Receiver for Retirement Value, LLC

**Mailing Address** 

P.O. Box 131050,

Dallas, Texas 75313

**Physical Address** 

1717 Main Street,

Suite 2800

Dallas, TX 75201

Phone: 214-939-5414

Facsimile: 214-939-5849

Email: receiver@rvllc.e.e.vership.com

# Exhibit B-7 LLC, Receivershorecei Retirement Value LLC, Rezeivership Website

(www.rvllcreceivership.com)

"Forms and Links of Interest"

Acreceive. (www.rvllcreceiv vship.com/forms&links.htm)

<u>Home</u>

**Forms** 

Case Information

Investor Questionnaire

Ancillary Proceeding

Proceedings
The Receiver

Websites

**Investor** 

**Communications** 

K&L Gates, LLP

Contact Information U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Forms and Links

of Interest

Texas State Securities Board

Frequently Asked

Questions

**Other Links** 

<u>Disclaimer</u>

SEC Investor Bulletin on Life Settlements

SEC Releases Report of the Life Settlements Task Force

# Exhibit B-8 LLC, Receiver Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcreceivership.com)

"Frequently Asked Questions"

(www.rvllcreceivership.com/faq.htm) 

Is my money gone?



# Frequently Asked Questions RETIREMENT VALUE LLC

FAQ's from Investors

<u>Home</u>

Case Information

Ancillary Proceedings

The Receiver

<u>Investor</u> <u>Communications</u>

Contact Information

Forms and Links of Interest

Frequently Asked Questions

Disclaime<u>r</u>

Not entirely. Your initial investment went to Kiesling Porter. Kiesling Porter set up bank accounts that appear to have been intended to regregate funds for each insurance policy. Your contribution and the contribution of the other investors were allocated among the various bank accounts. Commissions, fees, administrative expenses and Retirement Value's profits were paid out of these accounts. The balance was used to: (i) acquire policies; and (ii) fund reserves for premium payments.

How much of my investment was paid out in commissions, fees, administrative expense and profits?

The amount varied from investor to investor. Generally, 30%-40% of each investor dollar went towards commissions tees, administrative expenses and profits.

Do the policies even exict?

Yes, we have found that colicies do exist and that funds were reserved to make premium payments. Whether Retirement Value's premium reserve is adequate to cover premium payments for the remainder of the measuring life is uncertain.

If so, are the policies being maintained or allowed to lapse?

The Receiver's objective is to protect and preserve Retirement Value's assets, including the policies. Accordingly, we are paying the premiums on the policies owned by Retirement Value, as they come due, in order to maintain the policies and prevent their lapse.

My agent says this is just about whether Life Settlements are securities?

Whether the investments sold by Retirement Value constitute a security is one aspect of the case. However, a more significant aspect of the case is whether Retirement Value, its agents, representatives and licensees provided you with false information when selling the investment to you.

Is investing in Life Settlements illegal?

No. The issue of the case is not life settlements in general but rather how Retirement Value went about structuring the investment opportunity, and how Retirement Value, its agents, representatives and licensees marketed and sold it.

I never put the money into policies, so can I just get it back?

Or I sent my money in but then the Cease & Desist order was issued, so it never went into any specific policies, where is my money?

Actually, no one ever really put money into policies. What Retirement Value's investment documents reflect is that you gave money to Retirement Value so that Retirement Value could buy policies, in exchange for repayment of a fixed amount upon the underlying insured's demise. Once your 10 day "free look" period expired, your investment in Retirement Value became an asset of Retirement Value. Regardless of whether your funds were actually used to acquire one or more policies, you are in the same position as all other investors. Each investor has a claim against the estate of Retirement Value for entire amount of his or her investment, to we are in the process of determining the amount of each investor's investment, so as to fully understand the claims against the estate.

## I never received a confirmation that I had acquired any policies? Can I get confirmation of what policies I own?

Again, no investor owns a policy or policies. What vou bought was Retirement Value's promise to pay you a certain amount. Regardless of whether or not you received confirmation that policies were acquired with the funds you provided, you have a claim against Retirement Value for the amount of your contribution.

### Can I just take my money out now?

No. The policies acquired by Retiremen' Value are illiquid investments. We are attempting to ascertain the value of Retirement Value's assets and to determine how to maximize the return from those assets. Once we have fully assessed the options available to us, we will propose a pain of distribution to the Court and schedule a hearing at which time the court will determine whether to approve the plan. No payments to investors will be made until the Court approves a plan of distribution.

### When will I get my money back?

At this point, we do not κι ow when distributions may be made or the amount of any distribution.

# If an insured on a nolicy I invested in passes away while this is going on, will I get my payou'n om that policy?

If an insured covered by the policies owned by Retirement Value should pass away, the projects of that policy will be paid to Retirement Value's estate. The Receiver will hold that money, like all other Retirement Value assets, for the benefit of the inventors until a distribution plan is confirmed by the Court.

# ♥ y do I need to send you my documents, shouldn't Retirement Value have copies?

Among other things, so that we may verify the accuracy of Retirement Value's books and records. By comparing your records with the companies we are attempting to verify RV's assets and liabilities. We need your documents to assure that the amount you say you invested and the policies you invested matches up with the documents from RV and the escrow agent.

### Death of insured under Policy# PL1140-111109-DM

The insured under policy PLI140-111109-DM has passed away. Pacific Life has paid the Receiver's claim. However, our receipt of funds does not change the situation with respect to distributions to Retirement Value's investor-victims. The estate still does not have sufficient funds to repay all of the victims. Generally speaking, the victims share in all estate assets pro rata based on their investment. When and how

### Untitled Document

distribution. Until the court approves a plan of distribution, the Receiver cannot make distributions to the investor victims.

### I received an invoice from Provident or IRA Plus Southwest for a maintenance fee on an IRA account, do I need to pay that bill?

Though your claims against the Retirement Value estate are currently in your RA, your relationship with the IRA's custodian -- is outside of the receivership. The fee you reference is the company's annual fee to act as the IRA custodian. Failure to maintain a custodian may result in the dissolution of your IRA. If your IRA is dissolved, then the IRS may deem that to be a distribution which may have adverse to consequences for you. Quite simply, I do not know if the custodian will waive the form if you can substitute a custodian, or if you can otherwise maintain the IPA without paying the fee. Moreover, I cannot provide you (or, for that matter, any or the investors) with tax advice.

Accordingly, I encourage you to consult with a tax professional of your choosing. The Internal Revenue Service provides information and unswers to common questions on its website. For your convenience, here is a link <a href="http://www.irs.gov/retirement/article/0,.id=11.1.2.00.html">http://www.irs.gov/retirement/article/0,.id=11.1.2.00.html</a> to a page from IRS.gov dealing with IRAs. You may also contact the IRS through its toll-free tax assistance line at (800) 829-1040.

### Value of IRA account?

We have received multiple questions regarding the "fair market value" of the IRA accounts. We understand that it you are unable to provide your IRA custodian with a "fair market value," the custodian may continue to report the investment's "fair market value" at the IRA's cost. As or yet, we have not undertaken a formal valuation of RV's estate or more particularly your claims against the estate. Moreover, for a myriad of reasons, including without limitation, the lack of a market for your investment and the illiquidity associated with the underlying assets, we cannot provide you with a "fair market value" for your investment. The following information is offered solely so that you may make your own valuation and assessment as to whether you wish to report "fair market value" at cost or at some other amount.

RV's a<sup>t</sup>ility to repay the investors depends on our ability to capitalize on the insurance policies that RV acquired. As of 12/31/10, the estate of Retirement Value had:

- 1) sh on hand equal to \$20,449,471;
- Unmatured policies with aggregate face value of \$124,835,000 (aggregate purchase price of \$25,640,000);
- 3) Claims for matured policies equal to \$10,000,000;
- 4) Claims against various parties of an undeterminable value; and
- 5) Investors' claims against the estate totaling approximately \$77,000,000.

The policies, for which RV paid approximately \$28 million, have relatively little market value and their current liquidation value does not begin to approach the \$77 million that RV raised from investors. We have secured current medical records from the obtained updated. reliable. majority of the insureds and expectancy estimates. Our actuaries are analyzing that information to give us a more reliable understanding of the portfolio's anticipated future stream of cash flows. We anticipate that analyses will be completed during 1Q2011. Upon completion, we anticipate that the actuarial analysis will provide additional insight as to each policies' and the portfolio's respective value.

All this is to say, that I cannot, at this time, advise you as to the fair market value for your IRA investment. You should consult with your tax advisor as to what valuation position you should take.

### Should I sue my licensee or investment advisor?

A number of investors have asked whether they should sue their licensee. Cannot advise you as to whether you should or should not sue your licensee. You should be aware, however, that the law generally requires that lawsuits be field within a set period of time. Exactly how long you have to bring a claim varies between state and federal law and among the various states.

To obtain advice on these issues, you should consult win, an attorney of your choosing. While I cannot recommend an attorney to you, I can tell you that the State Bar of Texas operates a Lawyer Referral Information Service (www.texasbar.com), which can assist you in locating a suitable lawyer. The par associations of other states may provide similar services. There are also a number of legal directories (including the Yellow Pages) that you may also wish to consider.

# Exhibit B-9 LLC, Receivershir receivershir Retirement Value LLC, Receivership Website

(www.rvllcreceivership.com)

"Disclaimer"

Alcrece (www.rvllcreceivership.com/disclaimer.htm)



### Home

Case Information

Ancillary Proceedings

The Receiver

Investor Communications

Contact Information

Forms and Links of Interest

Frequently Asked Questions

**Disclaimer** 

### Disclaimer

This website is created and maintained by the Receiver, solely in his capacity as the Receiver of Retirement Value LLC. The information contained on this website is provided for informational purposes only and should not be construed as legal, financial or other professional advice or, unless expressly stated, as the Receiver's official position on any subject matter. Users of this site should not take or refrain from taking any action based upon content included in the site without seeking legal counsel on the particular facts and circumstances at issue from a licensed attorney.

The Receiver does not guarantee or warrant the activities, completeness, or currency of the information that is provided herein and shall not be liable to you for any loss or injury arising out of or caused in whole or in perceive by the acts, errors or omissions of the Receiver whether negligent or otherwise, in procuring, compiling, collecting, interpreting, reporting, communicating or calibering the information contained on this website. The Receiver expressly does not undertake any obligation to update, modify, revise or re-categorize the information provided herein, or to notify you or any third party should the information be upraced, modified, revised or re-categorized. In no event shall the Receiver be liable to you or any third party for any direct, indirect, incidental, consequential or special damages (including, but not limited to, damages arising from the disallowance of a potential claim against the Debtors, or damages to business reputation, lost business or lost profits), whether foreseeable or not and however caused, even if the Receiver is advised of the possibility of such damages. This website should not be relied on as a substitute for financial, legal or other professional advice.

It is your obligator to maintain accurate records. This website is being made available merely as a convenience to interested parties and the public.

Electronic mail or other communications through this site or otherwise to the Receival his counsel, or professional advisors in connection with these or other matters will not be treated as privileged or confidential. Transmission and receipt of the information in this website and/or communication with the Receiver or his legal counsel via email is not intended to solicit or create, and does not create, an attorney-them relationship between the Receiver's legal counsel and any person or entity. The Peceiver does not endorse or warrant and are not responsible for any third-party content that may be accessed from this website.